Reading off the non-geometric scalar potentials via topological data of the Calabi Yau manifolds

Pramod Shukla [1]

ICTP, Strada Costiera 11, Trieste 34151, Italy

arXiv:1603.01290v3 [hep-th] 31 Aug 2016

Abstract

In the context of studying the 4D effective potentials of type IIB non-geometric flux compactifications, this article has a twofold goal. First, we present a modular invariant symplectic rearrangement of the tree level non-geometric scalar potential arising from a flux superpotential which includes the S-dual pairs of non-geometric fluxes (Q, P), the standard NS-NS and RR three-form fluxes (F_3, H_3) and the geometric flux (ω). This 'symplectic formulation' is valid for arbitrary numbers of Kähler moduli, and the complex structure moduli which are implicitly encoded in a set of symplectic matrices.

In the second part, we further explicitly rewrite all the symplectic ingredients in terms of saxionic and axionic components of the complex structure moduli. The same leads to a compact form of the generic scalar potential being explicitly written out in terms of all the real moduli/axions. Moreover, the final form of the scalar potential needs only the knowledge of some topological data (such as hodge numbers and the triple intersection numbers) of the compactifying (CY) threefolds and their respective mirrors. Finally, we demonstrate how the same is equivalent to say that, for a given concrete example, various pieces of the scalar potential can be directly read off from our generic proposal, without the need of starting from the Kähler- and super-potentials.

[1]Email: shukla.pramod@ictp.it

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 A short review of the relevant preliminaries **5**
2.1 The Kähler potential (K) 6
2.2 Modular completed non-geometric superpotential (W) 7
2.3 Some useful modular-completed generalized flux-orbits 8
2.4 Some important symplectic identities 9

3 A modular completed symplectic formulation of the 4D scalar potential **11**
3.1 Simplifying the scalar potential in three steps 11
3.2 The main proposal of the 'symplectic formulation' 14
3.3 Another representation with a new flux combination 16

4 Examples to demonstrate the applicability of the 'symplectic formulation' **17**
4.1 Example A: Type IIB $\hookrightarrow \mathbb{T}^6/(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$-orientifold 17
4.2 Example B: Type IIB $\hookrightarrow \mathbb{T}^6/(\mathbb{Z}_4)$-orientifold 21

5 Expressing the symplectic ingredients in terms of the c.s. moduli **23**
5.1 Symplectic quantities through the axionic/saxionic components of c.s. moduli 23
5.2 Scalar potential with explicit dependence on saxions and axions of c.s. moduli 26

6 Reading off scalar potential via the topological data of CY and its mirror **28**

7 Summary and conclusions **29**

A A summary of various symbols and redefinitions **31**

B Expanded version of the symplectic formulation of the scalar potential **33**

C Proof of the crucial symplectic relations for generic prepotentials **35**
C.1 Verifying the peculiar symplectic relation given in eqn. (2.30) 35
C.2 Verifying the other non-trivial symplectic identities given in eqns. (2.32)-(2.33) 36

D Expanded version of the explicit c.s. moduli dependent scalar potential **39**

1 Introduction

In the context of moduli stabilization, the study of four dimensional effective potentials arising from type IIB superstring compactifications have continuously absorbed a lot of attention since long [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7]. In this regard, non-geometric flux compactification scenario has emerged as an interesting playground for model builders [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20]. The existence of non-geometric fluxes are rooted through a successive application of T-duality on the three form H-flux of the type II orientifold theories, where a chain with geometric and non-geometric fluxes appears as [21],

$$H_{ijk} \longrightarrow \omega_{ij}{}^{k} \longrightarrow Q^{jk}{}_{i} \longrightarrow R^{ijk} \,. \tag{1.1}$$

Moreover, S-duality invariance of type IIB superstring compactification demands for including additional P-fluxes, which are S-dual to the non-geometric Q-fluxes [22, 23, 24, 25, 22, 26, 27].

If one could consistently turn-on these fluxes on the compactification background, one induces a 4D-effective potential which generically depends on all of such flux parameters, creating the possibility of such fluxes being utilized for moduli stabilization and in search of string vacua [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20]. Moreover, the inclusion of various kinds of flux parameters with a diverse superpotential coupling makes the compactification background richer and more flexible for model building.

The magnitude of their importance can be illustrated by the fact that generically speaking, one can stabilize all moduli at the tree level, including the Kähler moduli which, in conventional flux compactification, are protected by the No-scale structure. However, the complexity with introducing many flux parameters not only facilitates a possibility for the easier samplings to fit the values, but also backreacts on the strategy itself via putting some inevitably hard challenges, which sometimes can make the situation worse. For example the resulting 4D scalar potential are very often so huge in concrete examples (say in Type IIB on $\mathbb{T}^6/(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$ orientifold) that even it gets hard to analytically solve the extremization conditions, and one has to look either for simplified ansatz by switching off certain flux components at a time, or else one has to opt for an involved numerical analysis [22, 23, 24, 11, 13, 14]. On top of solving the extremization conditions, another obstacle comes with imposing a huge amount of quadratic flux constraints coming from a set of Bianchi identities and tadpole cancellation conditions. Nevertheless, the possibility of stabilizing all moduli at tree level still put the non-geometric flux compactification scenarios quite attractive as well as relevant framework for future investigations.

Apart from the direct model building motivations, the interesting relations among the ingredients of superstring flux-compactifications and those of the gauged supergravities have significant relevance in understanding both sectors as fluxes in one setting are related to the gauging in the other one [8, 28, 21, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 9, 10, 34]. In the conventional approach of studying 4D type II effective theories in a non-geometric flux compactification framwork, most of the studies have been centered around toroidal examples; or in particular with a $\mathbb{T}^6/(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$ orientifold. A simple justification for the same lies in their relatively simpler structure to perform explicit computations, which led toroidal setups to serve as promising toolkits in studying concrete examples. However, the very recent developments in [15, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 38] regarding the formal developments along with applications towards moduli stabilization, searching de-Sitter vacua as well as building inflationary models have boosted the interests in setups beyond toroidal examples, say Calabi Yaus. As the explicit form of the metric for a Calabi Yau threefold is not known, while understanding the ten dimensional origin of the 4D effective scalar potential, one should preferably represent the same in a framework where one could bypass the need of knowing the Calabi Yau metric. This can be done via trading the lack of knowledge about the Calabi Yau metric against knowing the period matrices along with the moduli space matrices for the Kähler moduli [40, 41, 42].

Moreover, there have been close connections between the symplectic geometry and effective potentials of type II supergravity theories [43, 44, 40], and as motivated above, the role of symplectic geometry gets crucially important while dealing with Calabi Yau orientifolds. For example, in the context of type IIB orientifolds with the presence of standard NS-NS three-form flux (H_3) and RR three-form flux (F_3), the two scalar potentials, one arising from the F/D-term contributions while the other being derived from the dimensional reduction of the ten-dimensional kinetic pieces, could be matched via merely using the period matrices and without the need of knowing CY metric [40, 41]. This strategy was also recently extended with the inclusion of non-geometric Q-flux in [42] which we plan to further generalize into a modular invariant non-geometric framework in this article.

Motivation and goals

In the context of non-geometric flux compactifications, there have been great amount of studies via considering the 4D effective potential merely derived by knowing the Kähler and super-potentials [11, 12, 13, 14, 45, 34, 46, 16, 47], and without having a complete understanding of their ten-dimensional origin. Some significant steps have been taken towards exploring the form of non-geometric 10D action via Double Field Theory (DFT) [48, 49, 50] as well as supergravity [34, 45, 47, 51, 52] [2]. In this article, our main aim is to provide a modular completion of the symplectic formulation proposed for expressing the type IIB non-geometric scalar potentials in [42]. The same may be considered as another iterative step taken on the lines of attempts for finding a compact and 'suitable' rearrangement [3] of the scalar potential as enumerated below,

- In the context of standard type IIB flux compactification with the usual NS-NS and RR fluxes, H_3, and F_3, the four dimensional scalar potential has been compactly rewritten in a symplectic manner in [40, 41].

- Motivated by the type IIA flux compactification with geometric flux in [34], a rearrangement of the scalar potential induced via the inclusion of Q-fluxes on top of the standard H_3/F_3 within a type IIB non-geometric framework, has been proposed in [45].

- The proposal of [45] was further extended with the inclusion of P-flux as a modular completion counterpart of non-geometric Q-flux [47]. However the analysis in [45, 47] were limited to a toroidal ($\mathbb{T}^6/(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$-orientifold) setup without odd axions. Though an extension of the scalar potential rearrangement with the odd axions has been proposed later on in [51] within a type IIB compactification on $\mathbb{T}^6/\mathbb{Z}_4$-orientifold, however by now all the attempts made in [45, 47, 51] needed the explicit knowledge of the internal background metric.

- With the motivation to promote the aforementioned studies towards the non-toroidal non-geometric backgrounds, a 'symplectic formulation' of the non-geometric scalar potential (induced from a generic tree level generalized flux superpotential and the D-term contributions,) having all the NS-NS (non-)geometric fluxes, has been proposed recently in [42]. This formulation is valid for arbitrary number of complex structure moduli as well as Kähler moduli, and on top of it, this does not need the knowledge of internal (CY) metric.

- Meanwhile, some crucial attempts have also been made from DFT framework to understand the ten-dimensional origin of the 4D effective non-geometric potentials involving all the NS-NS fluxes and also incorporating the odd axions B_2/C_2 [50].

- As a next step in this iterative chain we enumerated as above, in this article, we provide a modular completion of the symplectic formulation proposed in [42] by including the non-geometric RR flux, namely P-flux which is S-dual to the NS-NS Q-flux.

- Finally, after rewriting the symplectic quantities in terms of saxion/axion parts of the complex structure moduli, we present the most general form of the tree level scalar potential which can be explicitly written for a particular compactification by merely knowing (some of) the topological data (such as hodge numbers and intersection numbers) of the compactifying (CY) threefolds and their mirrors.

[2] See [53, 54, 55, 56] also.

[3] On the lines of [45, 47, 51, 52, 42], by a 'suitable' rearrangement of the four dimensional scalar potential, we mean an equivalent collection of its terms which gives some insights about their 10D origin.

The article is organized as follows: In section 2 we provide some limited (but self-contained) preliminaries regarding type IIB non-geometric flux compactification in a modular invariant framework. Subsequently, in section 3, using a set of new generalized flux orbits and some non-trivial symplectic identities, we rewrite the generic tree level scalar potential using symplectic quantities such as period matrices of the compactifying (CY) threefolds. We demonstrate the applicability of the symplectic proposal for two explicit toroidal examples in section 4. In section 5, we further extend the analysis to another representation of the scalar potential by expanding out the symplectic ingredients in terms of the complex structure moduli, and subsequently we provide a final and very compact version. Subsequently, in section 6, we demonstrate how one can directly read-off the various scalar potential pieces (for a given particular compactification) via simply knowing some of the topological data of the compactifying CYs and their respective mirrors. Finally, in section 7 we present an overall conclusion. In addition, we provide four appendices. In appendix A we provide a list of various distinct symbols utilized in writing down our two proposals for non-geometric scalar potential. Further, we provide some lengthy intermediate steps for arriving at the 'symplectic formulation' proposals of the scalar potential in appendix B. In the next step, in appendix C, we provide the proof of some relevant symplectic identities (conjectured in [42]) for generic prepotentials in the absence of non-perturbative effects. Finally, we provide expanded version of the final proposal along with some additional details on the intermediate stages in the appendix D.

2 A short review of the relevant preliminaries

The F-term contributions to $N = 1$ scalar potential governing the dynamics of low energy effective supergravity are computed from the Kähler and flux induced super-potential via the following well known relation,

$$V = e^{K}\Big(K^{I\bar{J}}D_{I}W\,D_{\bar{J}}\overline{W} - 3\,|W|^{2}\Big)\,, \tag{2.1}$$

where the covariant derivatives are defined with respect to all the chiral variables on which the Kähler potential (K) and the holomorphic superpotential (W) generically depend on. The massless states in the four dimensional effective theory are in one-to-one correspondence with harmonic forms which are either even or odd under the action of an isometric, holomorphic involution (σ) acting on the internal compactifying Calabi Yau threefolds, and these do generate the equivariant cohomology groups $H^{p,q}_{\pm}(X)$. Let us fix our conventions, and denote the bases of even/odd two-forms as (μ_α, ν_a) while four-forms as ($\tilde{\mu}_\alpha$, $\tilde{\nu}_a$) where $\alpha \in h^{1,1}_{+}(X)$, $a \in h^{1,1}_{-}(X)$. Considering setups with $h^{1,1}_{-}(X) \neq 0$ are usually less studied as compared to the simpler case of $h^{1,1}_{-}(X) = 0$, and explicit construction of such orientifold odd two-cycles can be found in [57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62]. Also, we denote the zero- and six- even forms as $\mathbf{1}$ and Φ_6 respectively. In addition, the bases for the even and odd cohomologies of three-forms $H^{3}_{\pm}(X)$ are denoted as the symplectic pairs (a_K, b^J) and $(\mathcal{A}_\Lambda, \mathcal{B}^\Delta)$ respectively. Here we fix the normalization in the various cohomology bases as under,

$$\begin{gathered}\int_X \Phi_6 = f,\ \int_X \mu_\alpha\wedge\tilde{\mu}^\beta = \hat{d}_\alpha{}^\beta,\ \int_X \nu_a\wedge\tilde{\nu}^b = d_a{}^b,\quad \int_X \mu_\alpha\wedge\mu_\beta\wedge\mu_\gamma = k_{\alpha\beta\gamma},\\ \int_X \mu_\alpha\wedge\nu_a\wedge\nu_b = \hat{k}_{\alpha ab},\quad \int_X a_K\wedge b^J = \delta_K{}^J,\quad \int_X \mathcal{A}_\Lambda\wedge\mathcal{B}^\Delta = \delta_\Lambda{}^\Delta\end{gathered} \tag{2.2}$$

Here, for the orientifold choice with $O3/O7$-planes, $K \in \{1, ..., h^{2,1}_{+}\}$ and $\Lambda \in \{0, ..., h^{2,1}_{-}\}$ while for $O5/O9$-planes, one has $K \in \{0, ..., h^{2,1}_{+}\}$ and $\Lambda \in \{1, ..., h^{2,1}_{-}\}$. Also, let us note that

if four-form basis is appropriately chosen to be dual of the two-form basis, one will of course have $\hat{d}_\alpha{}^\beta = \delta_\alpha{}^\beta$ and $d_a{}^b = \delta_a{}^b$. However for the present work, we follow the conventions of [46], and take a bit more generic case.

Now, the various field ingredients can be expanded in appropriate bases of the equivariant cohomologies. For example, the Kähler form J, the two-forms B_2, C_2 and the RR four-form C_4 can be expanded as [63]

$$J = t^\alpha\,\mu_\alpha, \quad B_2 = b^a\,\nu_a, \quad C_2 = c^a\,\nu_a, \quad C_4 = D_2^\alpha \wedge \mu_\alpha + V^K \wedge a_K + U_K \wedge b^K + \rho_\alpha\,\tilde{\mu}^\alpha\,, \tag{2.3}$$

where t^α denotes the two-cycle volume moduli, while b^a, c^a and ρ_α are various axions. Further, $(V^K,\, U_K)$ forms a dual pair of space-time one-forms and D_2^α is a space-time two-form dual to the scalar field ρ_α. Also, since σ^* reflects the holomorphic three-form Ω_3, we have $h^{2,1}_-(X)$ complex structure moduli z^i appearing as complex scalars. Let us also mention that under the full orientifold action, we can only have the following flux-components of the standard fluxes (F_3, H_3), the geometric flux (ω) and the non-geometric fluxes (Q, P and R) [46, 36],

$$\begin{gathered} H \equiv \left(H_\Lambda, H^\Lambda\right),\ \omega \equiv \left(\omega_a{}^\Lambda, \omega_{a\Lambda}, \hat{\omega}_\alpha{}^K, \hat{\omega}_{\alpha K}\right),\ Q \equiv \left(Q^{aK},\, Q^a{}_K,\, \hat{Q}^{\alpha\Lambda},\, \hat{Q}^\alpha{}_\Lambda\right),\ R \equiv \left(R_K, R^K\right) \\ F \equiv \left(F_\Lambda, F^\Lambda\right), \quad P \equiv \left(P^{aK},\, P^a{}_K,\, \hat{P}^{\alpha\Lambda},\, \hat{P}^\alpha{}_\Lambda\right). \end{gathered} \tag{2.4}$$

We hereby state that for the present analysis we mainly focus on the cases where the orientifold involution is such that $h^{2,1}_+(X) = 0$, and so we do not have non-geometric R-flux and the subsequent possibly generated D-terms [46, 52, 51, 42, 50].

2.1 The Kähler potential (K)

Using appropriate chiral variables, a generic form of the tree level Kähler potential can be written as a sum of two pieces motivated from their underlying $N = 2$ special Kähler and quaternionic structure, and the same is give as under,

$$K = -\ln\left(i\int_X \Omega_3 \wedge \bar{\Omega}_3\right) - \ln\left(-i(\tau - \overline{\tau})\right) - 2\ln\left(\mathcal{V}_E\left(\tau, G^a, T_\alpha; \overline{\tau}, \overline{G}^a, \overline{T}_\alpha\right)\right). \tag{2.5}$$

where one has to supplement the following ingredients,

- Here, the involutively-odd holomorphic three-form Ω_3 generically depends on the complex structure moduli (z^k) and can be written out in terms of period vectors,

$$\Omega_3 \equiv \mathcal{X}^\Lambda\,\mathcal{A}_\Lambda - \mathcal{F}_\Lambda\,\mathcal{B}^\Lambda \tag{2.6}$$

  via using a genetic tree level pre-potential given as under,

$$\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{X}^0)^2\ f(z^i)\,, \qquad f(z^i) = \frac{1}{6}\,\hat{l}_{ijk}\,z^i\,z^j\,z^k + \frac{1}{2}\,a_{ij}\,z^i\,z^j + b_i\,z^i + \frac{1}{2}\,i\,\gamma\,. \tag{2.7}$$

  Here, the special coordinates $z^i = \frac{\delta^i_\Lambda\,\mathcal{X}^\Lambda}{\mathcal{X}^0}$ are used, and $\hat{l}_{ijk}$ *are triple intersection numbers on the mirror (Calabi Yau) threefold.* Further, the quantities a_{ij}, b_i and γ are real numbers where γ is related to the perturbative $(\alpha')^3$-corrections on the mirror side, and so is proportional to the Euler characteristic of the mirror Calabi Yau [64, 65, 66]. In general, $f(z^i)$ will also have an infinite series of non-perturbative contributions (say $\mathcal{F}_{\text{inst.}}(z^i)$), however for the current purpose, we are assuming the large complex structure limit to suppress the same.

- The overall internal volume $\mathcal{V}_E$ in the Einstein frame can be generically written in terms of two-cycle volume moduli as below,

$$\mathcal{V}_E = \frac{1}{6}\, k_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\, t^\alpha\, t^\beta\, t^\gamma \tag{2.8}$$

  One should represent $\mathcal{V}_E$ in terms of chiral variables (τ, G^a, T_α) given as under [67],

$$\tau \equiv C_0 + i\, e^{-\phi} = C_0 + i\, s\,, \qquad G^a = c^a + \tau\, b^a\,, \tag{2.9}$$
$$T_\alpha = \left(\rho_\alpha + \hat{\kappa}_{\alpha ab} c^a b^b + \frac{1}{2}\,\tau\,\hat{\kappa}_{\alpha ab} b^a\, b^b\right) - \frac{i}{2}\,\kappa_{\alpha\beta\gamma} t^\beta t^\gamma\,,$$

  where $\kappa_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = (\hat{d^{-1}})_\alpha^{\ \delta}\, k_{\delta\beta\gamma}$ and $\hat{\kappa}_{\alpha ab} = (\hat{d^{-1}})_\alpha^{\ \delta}\, \hat{k}_{\delta ab}$ are some rescaled triple intersection numbers surviving under the orientifold action [42].

Let us mention that the chiral variable T_α is simplified to be,

$$\begin{aligned} T_\alpha &= \left(\rho_\alpha + \hat{\kappa}_{\alpha ab} c^a b^b + \frac{1}{2}\, C_0\, \hat{\kappa}_{\alpha ab} b^a\, b^b\right) - i\left(\frac{1}{2}\,\kappa_{\alpha\beta\gamma} t^\beta t^\gamma - \frac{s}{2}\,\hat{\kappa}_{\alpha ab}\, b^a\, b^b\right) \\ &= \left(\tilde{\rho}_\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\,\hat{\kappa}_{\alpha ab} c^a b^b + \frac{1}{2}\, C_0\, \hat{\kappa}_{\alpha ab} b^a\, b^b\right) - i\left(\sigma_\alpha - \frac{s}{2}\,\hat{\kappa}_{\alpha ab}\, b^a\, b^b\right), \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

where in the second line we have introduced $\sigma_\alpha = \frac{1}{2}\,\kappa_{\alpha\beta\gamma} t^\beta t^\gamma$ which being purely the (Einstein-frame) four-cycle volume is invariant under S-duality. Moreover, the new axionic combination utilized as $\tilde{\rho}_\alpha = \rho_\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\hat{\kappa}_{\alpha ab} c^a b^b$, is an S-duality invariant collection which will be later observed to be useful for the manifestations of the S-dulality transformations of the new flux orbits.

2.2 Modular completed non-geometric superpotential (W)

The effective four dimensional scalar potential generically have an S-duality invariance following from the underlying ten-dimensional type IIB supergravity, and this corresponds to the following $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ transformation,

$$\tau \to \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d} \qquad \text{where} \quad ad - bc = 1\,; \quad a,\ b,\ c,\ d \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{2.11}$$

Under this $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ transformation, complex structure moduli and the Einstein frame Calabi Yau volume ($\mathcal{V}_E$) are invariant, and so the tree level Kähler potential given in eqn. (2.5) transforms as:

$$e^K \longrightarrow |c\,\tau + d|^2\, e^K\,, \tag{2.12}$$

and subsequently the S-duality invariance of physical quantities (e.g. gravitino mass-square which involves a factor of $e^K|W|^2$-type) suggests that the holomorphic superpotential, W should have a modularity of weight -1, and so one has [68, 69, 70]

$$W \to \frac{W}{c\,\tau + d} \tag{2.13}$$

Now, in a given flux compactification scenario, the various fluxes have to readjust among themselves to respect this modularity condition (2.13). Such $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ transformations involved in the flux adjustments have two physically different cases which, in our conventions [52], are described as under

- **First transformation ($\tau \to -\frac{1}{\tau}$):** This is popularly known as strong-weak duality, and in addition, results in the following transformations,

$$\begin{aligned} &B_2 \to C_2, \quad C_2 \to -B_2, \qquad G^a \to -\frac{G^a}{\tau}, \qquad T_\alpha \to T_\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\hat{\kappa}_{\alpha ab} G^a G^b}{\tau} \\ &H \to F, \quad F \to -H, \qquad Q \to -P, \quad P \to Q\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

- **Second transformation ($\tau \to \tau + 1$):** This corresponds to a shift in the universal axion $C_0 \to C_0 + 1$ along with the following additional transformations,

$$\begin{aligned} &B_2 \to B_2, \quad C_2 \to C_2 - B_2, \qquad G^a \to G^a, \qquad T_\alpha \to T_\alpha \\ &H \to H, \quad F \to F - H, \qquad Q \to Q - P, \quad P \to P\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

From the point of view of Kähler potential and the superpotential, the later case amounts to have some constant rescalings $e^K \to |d|^2\, e^K$ and $W \to W/d$ as $\tau \to \tau + 1$ simply implies $c = 0$. Hence, from now onwards our main focus will be only on the first case, i.e. on strong/weak duality.

With these ingredients in hand, a generic modular completed form of the flux superpotential can be given as under [29, 22, 24, 36],

$$W = -\int_X \left[(F + \tau\, H) + \omega_a G^a + \left(\hat{Q}^\alpha + \tau \hat{P}^\alpha\right) T_\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\hat{P}^\alpha\, \hat{\kappa}_{\alpha ab}\, G^a\, G^b \right]_3 \wedge \Omega_3. \tag{2.16}$$

Now using eqn. (2.6), this generic flux superpotential W can be equivalently written as,

$$W = e_\Lambda\, \mathcal{X}^\Lambda + m^\Lambda\, \mathcal{F}_\Lambda, \tag{2.17}$$

where the components of symplectic vector e_Λ and m^Λ are given as,

$$\begin{aligned} e_\Lambda &= (F_\Lambda + \tau\, H_\Lambda) + \omega_{a\,\Lambda}\, G^a + \left(\hat{Q}^\alpha{}_\Lambda + \tau\, \hat{P}^\alpha{}_\Lambda\right) T_\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\hat{P}^\alpha{}_\Lambda\, \hat{\kappa}_{\alpha ab}\, G^a\, G^b, \\ m^\Lambda &= \left(F^\Lambda + \tau\, H^\Lambda\right) + \omega_a{}^\Lambda\, G^a + \left(\hat{Q}^{\alpha\,\Lambda} + \tau\, \hat{P}^{\alpha\,\Lambda}\right) T_\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\hat{P}^{\alpha\Lambda}\, \hat{\kappa}_{\alpha ab}\, G^a\, G^b. \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

Using the superpotential (2.17), one can compute the various derivatives with respect to chiral variables, τ, G^a and T_α to be given as followings,

$$\begin{aligned} W_\tau &= \left(H_\Lambda + \hat{P}^\alpha{}_\Lambda\, T_\alpha\right) \mathcal{X}^\Lambda + \left(H^\Lambda + \hat{P}^{\alpha\Lambda}\, T_\alpha\right) \mathcal{F}_\Lambda, \\ W_{G^a} &= \left(\omega_{a\Lambda} - \hat{P}^\alpha{}_\Lambda\, \hat{\kappa}_{\alpha ab}\, G^b\right) \mathcal{X}^\Lambda + \left(\omega_a{}^\Lambda - \hat{P}^{\alpha\Lambda}\, \hat{\kappa}_{\alpha ab}\, G^b\right) \mathcal{F}_\Lambda, \\ W_{T_\alpha} &= \left(\hat{Q}^\alpha{}_\Lambda + \tau \hat{P}^\alpha{}_\Lambda\right) \mathcal{X}^\Lambda + \left(\hat{Q}^{\alpha\Lambda} + \tau\, \hat{P}^{\alpha\Lambda}\right) \mathcal{F}_\Lambda \quad . \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

Note that, apart from the standard H_3 and F_3 fluxes, only ω_a, $\hat{Q}^\alpha$ and $\hat{P}^\alpha$ components are allowed by the choice of involution to contribute into the superpotential.

2.3 Some useful modular-completed generalized flux-orbits

Let us consider the components of the three-form flux factor appearing in eqns. (2.17) and (2.18), which after using the definitions of chiral variables in eqn. (2.9) simplifies as under,

$$\begin{aligned} e_\Lambda &= \left(\mathbb{F}_\Lambda + s\, \hat{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha{}_\Lambda \sigma_\alpha\right) + i\, \left(s\, \mathbb{H}_\Lambda - \hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\alpha{}_\Lambda \sigma_\alpha\right) \\ m^\Lambda &= \left(\mathbb{F}^\Lambda + s\, \hat{\mathbb{P}}^{\alpha\,\Lambda} \sigma_\alpha\right) + i\, \left(s\, \mathbb{H}^\Lambda - \hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{\alpha\,\Lambda} \sigma_\alpha\right)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

where recall that $\sigma_\alpha = \frac{1}{2}\,\kappa_{\alpha\beta\gamma}t^\beta t^\gamma$ has been used along with the following new flux-orbits [52],

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{H}_\Lambda = \mathbf{h}_\Lambda\ , && \hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\alpha{}_\Lambda = \hat{\mathbf{q}}^\alpha{}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}} + C_0\,\hat{\mathbf{p}}^\alpha{}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}}\ ,\\
&\mathbb{F}_\Lambda = \mathbf{f}_\Lambda + C_0\ \mathbf{h}_\Lambda\ , && \hat{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha{}_\Lambda = \hat{\mathbf{p}}^\alpha{}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}}\ ;\\
&\mathbb{H}^\Lambda = \mathbf{h}^\Lambda\ , && \hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{\alpha\Lambda} = \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{\alpha\mathbf{\Lambda}} + C_0\,\hat{\mathbf{p}}^{\alpha\mathbf{\Lambda}}\ ,\\
&\mathbb{F}^\Lambda = \mathbf{f}^\Lambda + C_0\ \mathbf{h}^\Lambda\ , && \hat{\mathbb{P}}^{\alpha\Lambda} = \hat{\mathbf{p}}^{\alpha\mathbf{\Lambda}}\ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{h}_\Lambda &= H_\Lambda + (\omega_{a\Lambda}\,b^a) + \hat{Q}^\alpha{}_\Lambda\,\left(\frac{1}{2}\,\hat{\kappa}_{\alpha ab}b^a b^b\right) + \hat{P}^\alpha{}_\Lambda\,\left(\tilde{\rho}_\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\hat{\kappa}_{\alpha ab}c^a b^b\right)\\
\mathbf{f}_\Lambda &= F_\Lambda + (\omega_{a\Lambda}\,c^a) - \hat{P}^\alpha{}_\Lambda\,\left(\frac{1}{2}\,\hat{\kappa}_{\alpha ab}c^a c^b\right) + \hat{Q}^\alpha{}_\Lambda\,\left(\tilde{\rho}_\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\hat{\kappa}_{\alpha ab}c^a b^b\right)\ ,\\
\mathbf{h}^\Lambda &= H^\Lambda + (\omega_a{}^\Lambda\,b^a) + \hat{Q}^{\alpha\Lambda}\,\left(\frac{1}{2}\,\hat{\kappa}_{\alpha ab}b^a b^b\right) + \hat{P}^{\alpha\Lambda}\,\left(\tilde{\rho}_\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\hat{\kappa}_{\alpha ab}c^a b^b\right)\\
\mathbf{f}^\Lambda &= F^\Lambda + (\omega_a{}^\Lambda\,c^a) - \hat{P}^{\alpha\Lambda}\,\left(\frac{1}{2}\,\hat{\kappa}_{\alpha ab}c^a c^b\right) + \hat{Q}^{\alpha\Lambda}\,\left(\tilde{\rho}_\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\hat{\kappa}_{\alpha ab}c^a b^b\right),\\
\hat{\mathbf{q}}^\alpha{}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}} &= \hat{Q}^\alpha{}_\Lambda, \quad \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{\alpha\mathbf{\Lambda}} = \hat{Q}^{\alpha\Lambda}, \qquad \hat{\mathbf{p}}^\alpha{}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}} = \hat{P}^\alpha{}_\Lambda, \quad \hat{\mathbf{p}}^{\alpha\mathbf{\Lambda}} = \hat{P}^{\alpha\Lambda}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

Here note that $\tilde{\rho}_\alpha = \rho_\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\hat{\kappa}_{\alpha ab}c^a b^b$ is the S-duality invariant axionic combination. Moreover, we have modular completion of generalized geometric flux orbits as under,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mho_{\mathbf{a\Lambda}} &\equiv \omega_{\mathbf{a\Lambda}} = \omega_{a\Lambda} + \hat{Q}^\alpha{}_\Lambda\,\left(\hat{\kappa}_{\alpha ab}\,b^b\right) - \hat{P}^\alpha{}_\Lambda\,\left(\hat{\kappa}_{\alpha ab}\,c^b\right)\\
\mho_{\mathbf{a}}{}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}} &\equiv \omega_{\mathbf{a}}{}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}} = \omega_a{}^\Lambda + \hat{Q}^{\alpha\Lambda}\,\left(\hat{\kappa}_{\alpha ab}\,b^b\right) - \hat{P}^{\alpha\Lambda}\,\left(\hat{\kappa}_{\alpha ab}\,c^b\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

Using these crucial flux combinations will help us to club many terms of the scalar potential leading to a very compact representation as we will see later. Moreover, as a supplementary remark, let us recall (from [52]) that the new generalized flux combinations in eqns. (2.22)-(2.23) transform under S-duality: $\tau \to -\frac{1}{\tau}$ as,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{f^\Lambda} \to -\mathbf{h^\Lambda}, \quad \mathbf{h^\Lambda} \to \mathbf{f^\Lambda}, \quad \omega_{\mathbf{a}}{}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \to \omega_{\mathbf{a}}{}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{\alpha\mathbf{\Lambda}} \to -\hat{\mathbf{p}}^{\alpha\mathbf{\Lambda}}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{p}}^{\alpha\mathbf{\Lambda}} \to \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{\alpha\mathbf{\Lambda}}\\
&\mathbf{f_\Lambda} \to -\mathbf{h_\Lambda}, \quad \mathbf{h_\Lambda} \to \mathbf{f_\Lambda}, \quad \omega_{\mathbf{a\Lambda}} \to \omega_{\mathbf{a\Lambda}}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{q}}^\alpha{}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \to -\hat{\mathbf{p}}^\alpha{}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{p}}^\alpha{}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \to \hat{\mathbf{q}}^\alpha{}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

while under $\tau \to \tau + 1$ one finds the same transforming as,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{f^\Lambda} \to \mathbf{f^\Lambda} - \mathbf{h^\Lambda}, \quad \mathbf{h^\Lambda} \to \mathbf{h^\Lambda}, \quad \omega_{\mathbf{a}}{}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \to \omega_{\mathbf{a}}{}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{\alpha\mathbf{\Lambda}} \to \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{\alpha\mathbf{\Lambda}} - \hat{\mathbf{p}}^{\alpha\mathbf{\Lambda}}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{p}}^{\alpha\mathbf{\Lambda}} \to \hat{\mathbf{p}}^{\alpha\mathbf{\Lambda}}\\
&\mathbf{f_\Lambda} \to \mathbf{f_\Lambda} - \mathbf{h_\Lambda}, \quad \mathbf{h_\Lambda} \to \mathbf{h_\Lambda}, \quad \omega_{\mathbf{a\Lambda}} \to \omega_{\mathbf{a\Lambda}}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{q}}^\alpha{}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \to \hat{\mathbf{q}}^\alpha{}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}} - \hat{\mathbf{p}}^\alpha{}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{p}}^\alpha{}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \to \hat{\mathbf{p}}^\alpha{}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

which simply implies that all orbits with fluxes $\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{F}, \hat{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $\hat{\mathbb{P}}$ as defined in eqn. (2.21) are invariant under $\tau \to \tau + 1$. These will be the ones directly appearing in our symplectic formulation as we will see later in the upcoming sections.

2.4 Some important symplectic identities

Let us also recollect some relevant ingredients for rewriting the F-terms scalar potential into a symplectic formalism. The strategy we follow is an extension of the previous proposal made in [42]. To be specific, for simplifying the complex structure moduli dependent piece of the scalar potential, we will use the following symplectic ingredients,

- A symplectic identity [43],

$$K^{i\bar{j}}\,(D_i\mathcal{X}^\Lambda)\,(\overline{D}_{\bar{j}}\overline{\mathcal{X}^\Delta}) = -\overline{\mathcal{X}^\Lambda}\,\mathcal{X}^\Delta - \frac{1}{2}\,e^{-K_{cs}}\,\mathrm{Im}\mathcal{N}^{\Lambda\Delta} \tag{2.26}$$

where the period matrix $\mathcal{N}$ for the involutively odd (2,1)-cohomology sector is given as,

$$\mathcal{N}_{\Lambda\Delta} = \overline{\mathcal{F}}_{\Lambda\Delta} + 2\,i\,\frac{Im(\mathcal{F}_{\Lambda\Gamma})\,\mathcal{X}^\Gamma X^\Sigma\,(Im\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma\Delta})}{Im(\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma\Sigma})\mathcal{X}^\Gamma X^\Sigma} \tag{2.27}$$

- Using period matrix components, one can introduce the following definitions of the new-matrices ($\mathcal{M}$) for computing the hodge star of various odd three-forms [43],

$$\star\,\mathcal{A}_\Lambda = \mathcal{M}_\Lambda{}^\Sigma\,\mathcal{A}_\Sigma + \mathcal{M}_{\Lambda\Sigma}\,\mathcal{B}^\Sigma,\ \text{ and }\ \star\,\mathcal{B}^\Lambda = \mathcal{M}^{\Lambda\Sigma}\,\mathcal{A}_\Sigma + \mathcal{M}^\Lambda{}_\Sigma\,\mathcal{B}^\Sigma \tag{2.28}$$

where we also define the following useful components to be utilized later on,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{M}^{\Lambda\Delta} = \mathrm{Im}\mathcal{N}^{\Lambda\Delta}, && \mathcal{M}_\Lambda{}^\Delta = \mathrm{Re}\mathcal{N}_{\Lambda\Gamma}\,\mathrm{Im}\mathcal{N}^{\Gamma\Delta} \\
&\mathcal{M}^\Lambda{}_\Delta = -\left(\mathcal{M}_\Lambda{}^\Delta\right)^T, && \mathcal{M}_{\Lambda\Delta} = -\mathrm{Im}\mathcal{N}_{\Lambda\Delta} - \mathrm{Re}\mathcal{N}_{\Lambda\Sigma}\,\mathrm{Im}\mathcal{N}^{\Sigma\Gamma}\,\mathrm{Re}\mathcal{N}_{\Gamma\Delta}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

- It was observed in [42] that an interesting and very analogous relation as compared to the definition of period matrix (2.27) holds,

$$\mathcal{F}_{\Lambda\Delta} = \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\Lambda\Delta} + 2\,i\,\frac{Im(\mathcal{N}_{\Lambda\Gamma})\,\mathcal{X}^\Gamma X^\Sigma\,(Im\mathcal{N}_{\Sigma\Delta})}{Im(\mathcal{N}_{\Gamma\Sigma})\mathcal{X}^\Gamma X^\Sigma} \tag{2.30}$$

Moreover, similar to the definition of the period matrices (2.29), one can also define another set of symplectic quantities given as under,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{L}^{\Lambda\Delta} = \mathrm{Im}\mathcal{F}^{\Lambda\Delta}, && \mathcal{L}_\Lambda{}^\Delta = \mathrm{Re}\mathcal{F}_{\Lambda\Gamma}\,\mathrm{Im}\mathcal{F}^{\Gamma\Delta} \\
&\mathcal{L}^\Lambda{}_\Delta = -\left(\mathcal{L}_\Lambda{}^\Delta\right)^T, && \mathcal{L}_{\Lambda\Delta} = -\mathrm{Im}\mathcal{F}_{\Lambda\Delta} - \mathrm{Re}\mathcal{F}_{\Lambda\Sigma}\,\mathrm{Im}\mathcal{F}^{\Sigma\Gamma}\,\mathrm{Re}\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma\Delta}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.31}$$

- These two sets of matrices $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ provide the two sets of very crucial identities. The same will be important in our scalar potential rearrangement, and assuming the large complex structure limit, we will give proof of these relations for generic prepotential in the appendix C. These identities are given as under,

$$\begin{aligned}
Re(\mathcal{X}^\Lambda\,\overline{\mathcal{X}}^\Delta) &= -\frac{1}{4}\,e^{-K_{cs}}\,\left(\mathcal{M}^{\Lambda\Delta} + \mathcal{L}^{\Lambda\Delta}\right) \\
Re(\mathcal{X}^\Lambda\,\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\Delta) &= +\frac{1}{4}\,e^{-K_{cs}}\,\left(\mathcal{M}^\Lambda{}_\Delta + \mathcal{L}^\Lambda{}_\Delta\right) \\
Re(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda\,\overline{\mathcal{X}}^\Delta) &= -\frac{1}{4}\,e^{-K_{cs}}\,\left(\mathcal{M}_\Lambda{}^\Delta + \mathcal{L}_\Lambda{}^\Delta\right) \\
Re(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda\,\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\Delta) &= +\frac{1}{4}\,e^{-K_{cs}}\,\left(\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda\Delta} + \mathcal{L}_{\Lambda\Delta}\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{2.32}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
Im(\mathcal{X}^\Lambda\,\overline{\mathcal{X}}^\Delta) &= +\frac{1}{4}\,e^{-K_{cs}}\,\left[\left(\mathcal{M}^\Lambda{}_\Sigma\,\mathcal{L}^{\Sigma\Delta} + \mathcal{M}^{\Lambda\Sigma}\,\mathcal{L}_\Sigma{}^\Delta\right)\right] \\
Im(\mathcal{X}^\Lambda\,\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\Delta) &= -\frac{1}{4}\,e^{-K_{cs}}\,\left[\left(\mathcal{M}^\Lambda{}_\Sigma\,\mathcal{L}^\Sigma{}_\Delta + \mathcal{M}^{\Lambda\Sigma}\,\mathcal{L}_{\Sigma\Delta}\right) - \delta^\Lambda{}_\Delta\right] \\
Im(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda\,\overline{\mathcal{X}}^\Delta) &= +\frac{1}{4}\,e^{-K_{cs}}\,\left[\left(\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda\Sigma}\,\mathcal{L}^{\Sigma\Delta} + \mathcal{M}_\Lambda{}^\Sigma\,\mathcal{L}_\Sigma{}^\Delta\right) - \delta_\Lambda{}^\Delta\right] \\
Im(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda\,\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\Delta) &= -\frac{1}{4}\,e^{-K_{cs}}\,\left[\left(\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda\Sigma}\,\mathcal{L}^\Sigma{}_\Delta + \mathcal{M}_\Lambda{}^\Sigma\,\mathcal{L}_{\Sigma\Delta}\right)\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{2.33}$$

Now we end this section on review of the relevant preliminaries by mentioning the two main goals. First we represent the total F-term scalar potential in a completely symplectic manner via utilizing the modular invariant version of the *new* generalized flux orbits proposed in [51]. In this step, by considering the non-geometric P-flux, the present analysis will generalize the results of [42]. Subsequently, in the second step, we would further expand out all the symplectic ingredients in terms of the various saxionic and axionic parts of the complex structure moduli.

3 A modular completed symplectic formulation of the 4D scalar potential

3.1 Simplifying the scalar potential in three steps

The block diagonal nature of Kähler metric facilitates the following splitting of pieces coming from generic $N = 1$ F-term contribution,

$$e^{-K}\, V_F = K^{\mathcal{A}\overline{\mathcal{B}}}\, (D_{\mathcal{A}} W)\, (\overline{D}_{\overline{\mathcal{B}}}\, \overline{W}) - 3|W|^2 \equiv V_{cs} + V_k\,, \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$V_{cs} = K^{i\overline{j}}\, (D_i W)\, (\overline{D}_{\overline{j}}\, \overline{W}), \quad V_k = K^{A\overline{B}}\, (D_A W)\, (\overline{D}_{\overline{B}}\, \overline{W}) - 3|W|^2 \tag{3.2}$$

Here, the indices (i, j) corresponds to complex structure moduli z^i's while the other indices (A, B) are counted in rest of the chiral variables $\{\tau, G^a, T_\alpha\}$. Note that such an splitting of total scalar potential into two pieces is possible because of the block diagonal nature of the total (inverse) Kähler matrix in all moduli, in which complex structure moduli sector is decoupled from the rest. While we will use some symplectic ingredients to simplify the first piece V_{cs}, let us recollect the following inverse Kähler metric components, $K^{A\overline{B}}$ which are relevant to simplify V_k [63],

$$\begin{aligned}
&K^{\tau\overline{\tau}} = 4\, s^2, \quad K^{G^a\,\overline{\tau}} = 4\, s^2\, b^a, \quad K^{T_\alpha\,\overline{\tau}} = 2\, s^2\, \hat{\kappa}_{\alpha ab} b^a b^b, \\
&K^{G^a\,\overline{G}^b} = s\, \mathcal{G}^{ab} + 4\, s^2\, b^a b^b, \quad K^{T_\alpha\,\overline{G}^a} = s\, \mathcal{G}^{ab}\, \hat{\kappa}_{\alpha bc} b^c + 2\, s^2 \hat{\kappa}_{\alpha bc} b^b b^c\, b^a, \\
&K^{T_\alpha\,\overline{T}_\beta} = \frac{4}{9}\, k_0^2\, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\alpha\beta} + s\, \mathcal{G}^{ab}\, \hat{\kappa}_{\alpha ac} b^c\, \hat{\kappa}_{\beta bd} b^d + s^2\, \hat{\kappa}_{\alpha ab} b^a b^b\, \hat{\kappa}_{\beta cd} b^c b^d,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\alpha\beta} = \left((\hat{d}^{-1})_\alpha{}^{\alpha'}\, \mathcal{G}_{\alpha'\beta'}\, (\hat{d}^{-1})_\beta{}^{\beta'}\right)$ and $\hat{\kappa}_{\alpha ab} = (\hat{d}^{-1})_\alpha{}^\beta \hat{k}_{\beta ab}$ [42]. Moreover, we have used the following short hand notations for $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}^{-1}$ components,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{G}_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{k_{\alpha\beta}}{k_0} - \frac{3}{2}\frac{k_\alpha\, k_\beta}{k_0^2}\right), \qquad && \mathcal{G}^{ab} = -\frac{2}{3}\, k_0\, \hat{k}^{ab} \\
&\mathcal{G}^{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{2}{3}\, k_0\, k^{\alpha\beta} + 2\, t^\alpha\, t^\beta, && \mathcal{G}_{ab} = -\frac{3}{2}\frac{\hat{k}_{ab}}{k_0}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

In addition, we have introduced $k_0 = 6\, \mathcal{V}_E = k_\alpha\, t^\alpha$, $k_\alpha = k_{\alpha\beta}\, t^\beta$, $k_{\alpha\beta} = k_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\, t^\gamma$ and $\hat{k}_{ab} = \hat{k}_{\alpha ab}\, t^\alpha$. Apart from the inverse Kähler metric components, one would need the following Kähler derivatives to simplify the covariant derivatives in V_k,

$$K_\tau = \frac{i}{2\, s}\left(1 + 2\, s\, \mathcal{G}_{ab}\, b^a\, b^b\right) = -K_{\overline{\tau}} \tag{3.5}$$

$$K_{G^a} = -2\, i\, \mathcal{G}_{ab}\, b^b = -K_{\overline{G}^a}, \quad K_{T_\alpha} = -\frac{3\, i\, \hat{d}_\beta{}^\alpha\, t^\beta}{k_0} = -K_{\overline{T}_\alpha},$$

Now, considering the strategy of [42] and using the famous symplectic identity in eqn. (2.26), one can reshuffle the pieces V_{cs} and V_k of the total F-term scalar potential (3.1) into the following three pieces,

$$e^{-K}\,V_F = V_1 + V_2 + V_3 \tag{3.6}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
V_1 &:= -\frac{1}{2}\,e^{-K_{cs}}\,\left(e_\Lambda + m^\Sigma\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\Sigma\Lambda}\right)\,{\rm Im}\mathcal{N}^{\Lambda\Delta}\,\left(\overline{e}_\Delta + \overline{m}^\Gamma\mathcal{N}_{\Gamma\Delta}\right) \\
V_2 &:= -\left(e_\Lambda + m^\Sigma\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\Sigma\Lambda}\right)\,\left(\overline{\mathcal{X}}^\Lambda\mathcal{X}^\Delta\right)\,\left(\overline{e}_\Delta + \overline{m}^\Gamma\mathcal{N}_{\Gamma\Delta}\right) \\
&\quad + \left(K^{A\overline{B}}\,K_A\,K_{\overline{B}}|W|^2 - 3|W|^2\right) + K^{A\overline{B}}\,\left((K_A\,W)\,\overline{W}_{\overline{B}} + W_A\,(K_{\overline{B}}\overline{W})\right) \\
V_3 &:= K^{A\overline{B}}\,W_A\,\overline{W}_{\overline{B}}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

The reason for such a collection can be anticipated via some more investigations and a closer look which show that in the absence of any geometric and non-geometric fluxes (the simple setup with standard H_3/F_3 fluxes), one finds that the total scalar potential is contained in V_1 (for example, see [40, 41]), and $V_2 + V_3$ gets trivial via the No-scale structure condition ($K^{A\overline{B}}\,K_A\,K_{\overline{B}} = 4$) along with some more internal cancellations. The same will be elaborated later in the upcoming section.

Now, our goal is to rewrite these three pieces V_1, V_2 and V_3 of the scalar potential in terms of new generalized flux combinations on top of using some crucial symplectic identities.

Simplifying V_1

Using the modular completed generalized flux orbits mentioned in eqns. (2.21)-(2.23), the pieces in V_1 can be considered to split into the following two parts,

$$V_1 := V_1^{(a)} + V_1^{(b)}\,.$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
V_1^{(a)} &= -\frac{1}{2}\,e^{-K_{cs}}\,\left(e_\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}^{\Lambda\Delta}\,\overline{e}_\Delta - e_\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}^\Lambda{}_\Delta\,\overline{m}^\Delta + \overline{e}^\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}_\Lambda{}^\Delta\,m_\Delta - m^\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda\Delta}\,\overline{m}^\Delta\right) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\,e^{-K_{cs}}\,\Big[\left(\mathbb{F}_\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}^{\Lambda\Delta}\,\mathbb{F}_\Delta - \mathbb{F}_\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}^\Lambda{}_\Delta\,\mathbb{F}^\Delta + \mathbb{F}^\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}_\Lambda{}^\Delta\,\mathbb{F}_\Delta - \mathbb{F}^\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda\Delta}\,\mathbb{F}^\Delta\right) \\
&\qquad + s^2\,\left(\mathbb{H}_\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}^{\Lambda\Delta}\,\mathbb{H}_\Delta - \mathbb{H}_\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}^\Lambda{}_\Delta\,\mathbb{H}^\Delta + \mathbb{H}^\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}_\Lambda{}^\Delta\,\mathbb{H}_\Delta - \mathbb{H}^\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda\Delta}\,\mathbb{H}^\Delta\right) \\
&\qquad + \left(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}^{\Lambda\Delta}\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_\Delta - \hat{\mathbb{Q}}_\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}^\Lambda{}_\Delta\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\Delta + \hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}_\Lambda{}^\Delta\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_\Delta - \hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda\Delta}\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\Delta\right) \\
&\qquad + s^2\,\left(\hat{\mathbb{P}}_\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}^{\Lambda\Delta}\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}_\Delta - \hat{\mathbb{P}}_\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}^\Lambda{}_\Delta\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\Delta + \hat{\mathbb{P}}^\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}_\Lambda{}^\Delta\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}_\Delta - \hat{\mathbb{P}}^\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda\Delta}\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\Delta\right) \\
&\qquad - 2\,s\,\left(\mathbb{H}_\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}^{\Lambda\Delta}\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_\Delta - \mathbb{H}_\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}^\Lambda{}_\Delta\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\Delta + \mathbb{H}^\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}_\Lambda{}^\Delta\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_\Delta - \mathbb{H}^\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda\Delta}\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\Delta\right) \\
&\qquad + 2\,s\,\left(\mathbb{F}_\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}^{\Lambda\Delta}\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}_\Delta - \mathbb{F}_\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}^\Lambda{}_\Delta\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\Delta + \mathbb{F}^\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}_\Lambda{}^\Delta\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}_\Delta - \mathbb{F}^\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda\Delta}\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\Delta\right)\Big]
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
V_1^{(b)} &= \frac{i}{2}\,e^{-K_{cs}}\,\left(\overline{e}_\Lambda m^\Lambda - e_\Lambda\,\overline{m}^\Lambda\right) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\,e^{-K_{cs}}\,\Big[2\,s\,\left(\mathbb{F}_\Lambda\,\mathbb{H}^\Lambda - \mathbb{F}^\Lambda\,\mathbb{H}_\Lambda\right) + 2\,s\,\left(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\Lambda - \hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}_\Lambda\right) \\
&\qquad -2\,\left(\mathbb{F}_\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\Lambda - \mathbb{F}^\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_\Lambda\right) - 2\,s^2\,\left(\mathbb{H}_\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\Lambda - \mathbb{H}^\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}_\Lambda\right)\Big]
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

where $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_\Lambda := \hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\alpha{}_\Lambda \sigma_\alpha$ *and* $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\Lambda := \hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{\alpha\Lambda}\sigma_\alpha$ *have been utilized in these expressions, and this convention would be used wherever the* Q/P *fluxes are seen without an* $h^{1,1}_+(X)$*-index,* α*.*

Simplifying V_2

As we see, there are three pieces within V_2, and the first one coming from the complex structure sector contributions can be rewritten as under,

$$\begin{aligned} V_2^{(a)} &:= -\left(e_\Lambda + m^\Sigma \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\Sigma\Lambda}\right)\left(\overline{\mathcal{X}}^\Lambda \mathcal{X}^\Delta\right)\left(\overline{e}_\Delta + \overline{m}^\Gamma \mathcal{N}_{\Gamma\Delta}\right) \\ &= -e_\Lambda\left(\overline{\mathcal{X}}^\Lambda \mathcal{X}^\Delta\right)\overline{e}_\Delta - m^\Lambda\left(\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\Lambda \mathcal{X}^\Delta\right)\overline{e}_\Delta - e_\Lambda\left(\overline{\mathcal{X}}^\Lambda \mathcal{F}_\Delta\right)\overline{m}^\Delta - m^\Lambda\left(\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\Lambda \mathcal{F}_\Delta\right)\overline{m}^\Delta \\ &= -\left(e_\Delta\,\overline{\mathcal{X}}^\Delta + m^\Delta\,\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\Delta\right)\left(\overline{e}_\Lambda\,\mathcal{X}^\Lambda + \overline{m}^\Lambda\,\mathcal{F}_\Lambda\right) \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

The remaining two pieces of V_2 can be expressed as,

$$\begin{aligned} V_2^{(b)} &:= \left(K^{A\overline{B}}\,K_A\,K_{\overline{B}}|W|^2 - 3|W|^2\right) = |W|^2 \equiv \left(e_\Lambda\,\mathcal{X}^\Lambda + m^\Lambda\,\mathcal{F}_\Lambda\right)\left(\overline{e}_\Delta\,\overline{\mathcal{X}}^\Delta + \overline{m}^\Delta\,\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\Delta\right) \\ V_2^{(c)} &:= K^{A\overline{B}}\left((K_A\,W)\,\overline{W}_{\overline{B}} + W_A\,(K_{\overline{B}}\overline{W})\right) = -2\,|W|^2 + \Big\{W\left(e_\Delta\,\overline{\mathcal{X}}^\Delta + m^\Delta\,\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\Delta\right) \\ &+\overline{W}\left(\overline{e}_\Lambda\,\mathcal{X}^\Lambda + \overline{m}^\Lambda\,\mathcal{F}_\Lambda\right)\Big\} - 4\,s\Big\{W\left(\hat{P}_\Delta\,\overline{\mathcal{X}}^\Delta + \hat{P}^\Delta\,\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\Delta\right) + \overline{W}\left(\hat{P}_\Lambda\,\mathcal{X}^\Lambda + \hat{P}^\Lambda\,\mathcal{F}_\Lambda\right)\Big\}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step, we have used the following useful relations which can be easily proven by using eqns. (3.3)-(3.5),

$$\begin{aligned} K_A\,K^{A\overline{\tau}} &= (\tau - \overline{\tau}) = -K^{\tau\overline{B}}\,K_{\overline{B}} \\ K_A\,K^{A\overline{G^a}} &= (G^a - \overline{G}^a) = -K^{G^a\overline{B}}\,K_{\overline{B}} \\ K_A\,K^{A\overline{T_\alpha}} &= (T_\alpha - \overline{T}_\alpha) = -K^{T_\alpha\overline{B}}\,K_{\overline{B}} \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Note that the last piece in $V_2^{(c)}$ arises from the quadratic dependence of the superpotential on the odd moduli G^a which is absent in the analysis of [42] where no P-fluxes are present. However, let us mention that this is not the only new contribution in V_2 as the components of (e_Λ, m^Λ) (wherever they appear) also have implicit dependence on the P-flux as can be reminded from new flux orbits in eqns. (2.22)-(2.23). Now summing everything up, we get

$$\begin{aligned} V_2 = &(e_\Lambda - \overline{e}_\Lambda)Re(\mathcal{X}^\Lambda\overline{\mathcal{X}}^\Delta)(e_\Delta - \overline{e}_\Delta) + (e_\Lambda - \overline{e}_\Lambda)Re(\mathcal{X}^\Lambda\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\Delta)(m^\Delta - \overline{m}^\Delta) \\ &+(m^\Lambda - \overline{m}^\Lambda)Re(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda\overline{\mathcal{X}}^\Delta)(e_\Delta - \overline{e}_\Delta) + (m^\Lambda - \overline{m}^\Lambda)Re(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\Delta)(m^\Delta - \overline{m}^\Delta) \\ &-4\,s\Big\{W\left(\hat{P}_\Delta\,\overline{\mathcal{X}}^\Delta + \hat{P}^\Delta\,\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\Delta\right) + \overline{W}\left(\hat{P}_\Lambda\,\mathcal{X}^\Lambda + \hat{P}^\Lambda\,\mathcal{F}_\Lambda\right)\Big\} \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Now, the eqn. (3.12) can be further re-expressed using generalized flux orbits as,

$$\begin{aligned} V_2 = &\Big[-4\;Re(\mathcal{X}^\Lambda\overline{\mathcal{X}}^\Delta)\Big\{s^2\left(\mathbb{H}_\Lambda\,\mathbb{H}_\Delta + \hat{\mathbb{P}}_\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}_\Delta\right) + \left(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_\Delta + s^2\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}_\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}_\Delta\right) \\ &\qquad - s\,\mathbb{H}_\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_\Delta - s\,\mathbb{H}_\Delta\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_\Lambda + s\,\mathbb{F}_\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}_\Delta + s\,\mathbb{F}_\Delta\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}_\Lambda\Big\} \\ &+4\;Im(\mathcal{X}^\Lambda\overline{\mathcal{X}}^\Delta)\Big\{s^2\left(\mathbb{H}_\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}_\Delta - \hat{\mathbb{P}}_\Lambda\,\mathbb{H}_\Delta\right) + s\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}_\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_\Delta - s\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}_\Delta\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_\Lambda\Big\}\Big] \\ &+\Big[...\Big] + \Big[...\Big] + \Big[...\Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

where the three brackets [....] have the analogous terms involving the symplectic ingredients $\big(Re(\mathcal{X}^\Lambda\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\Delta), Im(\mathcal{X}^\Lambda\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\Delta)\big)$, $\Big(Re(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda\overline{\mathcal{X}}^\Delta), Im(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda\overline{\mathcal{X}}^\Delta)\Big)$ and $\big(Re(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\Delta),\, Im(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\Delta)\big)$ respectively. Here we observe that in the absence of non-geometric P-flux, the terms with factors $Im(\mathcal{X}^\Lambda\overline{\mathcal{X}}^\Delta)$, $Im(\mathcal{X}^\Lambda\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\Delta), Im(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda\overline{\mathcal{X}}^\Delta)$ and $Im(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\Delta)$ are absent, and therefore have not been observed in the analysis of [42]. In addition, we note that there are two pieces of $\mathbb{PP}$-type, each of them are clubbed with terms of $\mathbb{HH}$- and $\mathbb{QQ}$-types as seen in the first line of eqn. (3.13). The reason for the same will be clear after considering the simplifications in the third piece, V_3 of the potential which we discuss now.

Simplifying V_3

Now considering the inverse Kähler metric in eqn. (3.3) along with derivatives of the superpotential in (2.19), and using the new generalized flux orbits in eqns. (2.21)-(2.23), one gets the following rearrangement of V_3 after a very tedious clubbing of various pieces,

$$\begin{aligned} V_3 &:= K^{A\overline{B}}\, W_A\, \overline{W}_{\overline{B}} \qquad (3.14)\\ &= \Big[4\, Re(\mathcal{X}^\Lambda\overline{\mathcal{X}}^\Delta)\Big\{s^2\,\Big(\mathbb{H}_\Lambda\mathbb{H}_\Delta + \hat{\mathbb{P}}_\Lambda\hat{\mathbb{P}}_\Delta\Big) + \frac{s}{4}\mho_{\Lambda a}\mathcal{G}^{ab}\mho_{b\,\Delta} + \frac{k_0^2}{9}\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\alpha\beta}\,\Big(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\alpha_\Lambda\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\beta{}_\Delta + s^2\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha_\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\beta{}_\Delta\Big)\Big\}\\ &\quad -4\; Im(\mathcal{X}^\Lambda\overline{\mathcal{X}}^\Delta)\Big\{s^2\,\Big(\mathbb{H}_\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}_\Delta - \hat{\mathbb{P}}_\Lambda\,\mathbb{H}_\Delta\Big) + \,s\,\frac{k_0^2}{9}\,\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\alpha\beta}\,\Big(\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha{}_\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\beta{}_\Delta - \,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\beta{}_\Delta\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\alpha{}_\Lambda\Big)\Big\}\Big]\\ &\quad +\Big[...\Big] + \Big[...\Big] + \Big[...\Big]\,. \end{aligned}$$

where the three brackets [....] have analogous terms as to those of the first bracket similar to the case of V_2 in eqn. (3.13). Now one can observe that V_2 and V_3 have some interesting cancellations, and they sum up into the following terms,

$$\begin{aligned} V_2 + V_3 = \Big[4\, Re(\mathcal{X}^\Lambda\overline{\mathcal{X}}^\Delta)\Big\{&\frac{s}{4}\mho_{\Lambda a}\mathcal{G}^{ab}\mho_{b\,\Delta} + \frac{1}{4}\,\Big(\frac{4\,k_0^2}{9}\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\alpha\beta} - 4\sigma_\alpha\,\sigma_\beta\Big)\,\Big(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\alpha_\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\beta{}_\Delta + s^2\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha_\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\beta{}_\Delta\Big)\\ &+ s\,\mathbb{H}_\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_\Delta + s\,\mathbb{H}_\Delta\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_\Lambda - \,s\,\mathbb{F}_\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}_\Delta - \,s\,\mathbb{F}_\Delta\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}_\Lambda\Big\} \qquad (3.15)\\ -4\; Im(\mathcal{X}^\Lambda\overline{\mathcal{X}}^\Delta)\Big\{&\frac{1}{4}\,\Big(\frac{4\,k_0^2}{9}\,\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\alpha\beta} - 4\sigma_\alpha\,\sigma_\beta\Big)\,\Big(\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha{}_\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\beta{}_\Delta - \,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\beta{}_\Delta\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\alpha{}_\Lambda\Big)\Big\}\Big]\\ +\Big[...\Big] + \Big[...\Big] + \Big[...\Big]\,. \end{aligned}$$

One should observe that in the absence of (non-)geometric fluxes when only standard three-form fluxes H_3 and F_3 are present, the whole contribution of $|H|^2$ type is already embedded into V_1 [40, 41], and hence a cancellation of pure $\mathbb{H}$-flux pieces of V_2 and V_3 has been well anticipated, and the same can be now explicitly seen from our analysis.

It is worth to mention again at this point that the development in rearrangement of terms using new generalized flux orbits have been performed in an iterative work in a series of papers [45, 47, 51, 52, 42], which have set some guiding rules for next step intuitive generalization, otherwise the rearrangement even at the intermediate steps is very peculiar and it could be harder to arrive directly into a final form without earlier motivations.

3.2 The main proposal of the 'symplectic formulation'

To sum up the developments made so far, we arrive at the following collection of pieces in total F-term contributions to the scalar potential,

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_F = -\frac{1}{2}\, e^{K-K_{cs}} \Bigg[\Bigg\{ & \mathcal{M}^{\Lambda\Delta}\left(\mathbb{F}_\Lambda\,\mathbb{F}_\Delta + s^2\,\mathbb{H}_\Lambda\,\mathbb{H}_\Delta + \hat{\mathbb{Q}}_\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_\Delta + s^2\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}_\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}_\Delta - 2\,s\,\mathbb{H}_\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_\Delta + 2\,s\,\mathbb{F}_\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}_\Delta\right) \\
& -\mathcal{M}^{\Lambda}{}_{\Delta}\left(\mathbb{F}_\Lambda\,\mathbb{F}^\Delta + s^2\,\mathbb{H}_\Lambda\,\mathbb{H}^\Delta + \hat{\mathbb{Q}}_\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\Delta + s^2\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}_\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\Delta - 2\,s\,\mathbb{H}_\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\Delta + 2\,s\,\mathbb{F}_\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\Delta\right) \\
& +\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda}{}^{\Delta}\left(\mathbb{F}^\Lambda\,\mathbb{F}_\Delta + s^2\,\mathbb{H}^\Lambda\,\mathbb{H}_\Delta + \hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_\Delta + s^2\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}_\Delta - 2\,s\,\mathbb{H}^\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_\Delta + 2\,s\,\mathbb{F}^\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}_\Delta\right) \\
& -\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda\Delta}\left(\mathbb{F}^\Lambda\,\mathbb{F}^\Delta + s^2\,\mathbb{H}^\Lambda\,\mathbb{H}^\Delta + \hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\Delta + s^2\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\Delta - 2\,s\,\mathbb{H}^\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\Delta + 2\,s\,\mathbb{F}^\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\Delta\right)\Bigg\} \\
& +2\Bigg\{ s\left(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\Lambda - \hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}_\Lambda\right) + s\left(\mathbb{F}_\Lambda\,\mathbb{H}^\Lambda - \mathbb{F}^\Lambda\,\mathbb{H}_\Lambda\right) - \left(\mathbb{F}_\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\Lambda - \mathbb{F}^\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_\Lambda\right) - s^2\left(\mathbb{H}_\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\Lambda - \mathbb{H}^\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}_\Lambda\right)\Bigg\}\Bigg] \\
& +e^K\Bigg[Re(\mathcal{X}^\Lambda\overline{\mathcal{X}}^\Delta)\Bigg\{\left(\frac{4\,k_0^2}{9}\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\alpha\beta} - 4\sigma_\alpha\,\sigma_\beta\right)\left(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\alpha_\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\beta{}_\Delta + s^2\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha_\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\beta{}_\Delta\right) + 8\,s\,\mathbb{H}_\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_\Delta - 8\,s\,\mathbb{F}_\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}_\Delta \\
& \qquad +s\,\mho_{\Lambda a}\mathcal{G}^{ab}\mho_{b\,\Delta}\Bigg\} + Re(\mathcal{X}^\Lambda\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\Delta)\Bigg\{.....\Bigg\} + Re(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda\overline{\mathcal{X}}^\Delta)\Bigg\{.....\Bigg\} + Re(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\Delta)\Bigg\{.....\Bigg\}\Bigg] \\
& -e^K\Bigg[Im(\mathcal{X}^\Lambda\overline{\mathcal{X}}^\Delta)\Bigg\{\left(\frac{4\,k_0^2}{9}\,\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\alpha\beta} - 4\sigma_\alpha\,\sigma_\beta\right)\left(\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha{}_\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\beta{}_\Delta - \hat{\mathbb{P}}^\beta{}_\Delta\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\alpha{}_\Lambda\right)\Bigg\} + Im(\mathcal{X}^\Lambda\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\Delta)\Bigg\{.....\Bigg\} \\
& \qquad +Im(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda\overline{\mathcal{X}}^\Delta)\Bigg\{.....\Bigg\} + Im(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\Delta)\Bigg\{.....\Bigg\}\Bigg] \qquad (3.16)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now for arriving at a symplectic formulation, we further need to replace the quantities $Re(\mathcal{X}^\Lambda\overline{\mathcal{X}}^\Delta), Im(\mathcal{X}^\Lambda\overline{\mathcal{X}}^\Delta)$ etc. into the ingredients which could be written in some symplectic manner. This has been done by introducing a new class of matrices, namely $\mathcal{S}$ matrices constructed by using $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ matrices (as defined in eqn. (B.2)). The subsequent final result for scalar potential pieces being quite lengthy has been presented in eqn. (B.3) of the appendix B. However, assuming further that the various fluxes are constant fluctuations around the background, we can re-express the symplectic matrices into their integral forms which lead to the following very compact summary of the full scalar potential pieces collected in eqn. (3.16) or equivalently in eqn. (B.3),

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{\rm F} = -\frac{1}{4\,s\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\int_{CY_3}\Bigg[& \mathbb{F}\wedge *\mathbb{F} + s^2\,\mathbb{H}\wedge *\mathbb{H} + \hat{\mathbb{Q}}\wedge *\hat{\mathbb{Q}} + s^2\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}\wedge *\hat{\mathbb{P}} + \frac{s}{4}\,\mathcal{G}^{ab}\,\tilde{\mho}_a\wedge *\tilde{\mho}_b \qquad (3.17) \\
& + \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{4\,k_0^2}{9}\,\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\alpha\beta} - 4\,\sigma_\alpha\,\sigma_\beta\right)\left(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^\alpha\wedge *\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^\beta + s^2\,\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\alpha\wedge *\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\beta\right) - 2\,s\,\left(\mathbb{H}\wedge *\hat{\mathbb{Q}} - \mathbb{F}\wedge *\hat{\mathbb{P}}\right) \\
& -4\,s\,\left(\mathbb{H}\wedge *\tilde{\mathcal{Q}} - \mathbb{F}\wedge *\tilde{\mathcal{P}}\right) + \frac{1}{4}\times(-2\,s)\left(\frac{4\,k_0^2}{9}\,\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\alpha\beta} - 4\,\sigma_\alpha\,\sigma_\beta\right)\left(\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha\wedge\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^\beta - \hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\alpha\wedge\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\beta\right) \\
& -2\,s\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}\wedge\hat{\mathbb{Q}} + \left(2\,s\,\mathbb{F}\wedge\mathbb{H} - 2\,\mathbb{F}\wedge\hat{\mathbb{Q}} - 2\,s^2\,\mathbb{H}\wedge\hat{\mathbb{P}}\right)\Bigg]\ .
\end{aligned}
$$

It appears to be quite remarkable that the total four dimensional scalar potential of arbitrary number of complex structure moduli, Kähler moduli and odd-axions has been written out so compactly in terms of symplectic ingredients along with the moduli space metrics, and also without the need of knowing the Calabi Yau metric. Let us note here that by using $\mathcal{S}$ matrices (as defined in eqn. (B.2)), we have defined a new flux combination $\tilde{\mho}_a$, $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^\alpha$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\alpha$ as,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mho}_a &= -\left(\mathcal{S}^{\Sigma\Delta}\mho_{a\Delta} + \mathcal{S}^{\Sigma}{}_{\Delta}\mho_a{}^\Delta\right)\alpha_\Sigma + \left(\mathcal{S}_\Sigma{}^\Delta\mho_{a\Delta} + \mathcal{S}_{\Sigma\Delta}\mho_a{}^\Delta\right)\beta^\Sigma \qquad (3.18) \\
\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^\alpha &= -\left(\mathcal{S}^{\Sigma\Delta}\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\alpha{}_\Delta + \mathcal{S}^{\Sigma}{}_{\Delta}\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{\alpha\Delta}\right)\alpha_\Sigma + \left(\mathcal{S}_\Sigma{}^\Delta\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\alpha{}_\Delta + \mathcal{S}_{\Sigma\Delta}\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{\alpha\Delta}\right)\beta^\Sigma \\
\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\alpha &= -\left(\mathcal{S}^{\Sigma\Delta}\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha{}_\Delta + \mathcal{S}^{\Sigma}{}_{\Delta}\hat{\mathbb{P}}^{\alpha\Delta}\right)\alpha_\Sigma + \left(\mathcal{S}_\Sigma{}^\Delta\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha{}_\Delta + \mathcal{S}_{\Sigma\Delta}\hat{\mathbb{P}}^{\alpha\Delta}\right)\beta^\Sigma\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

Further, the moduli space metrices appearing in this compact version (3.17) of the scalar potential are given as,

$$\mathcal{G}^{ab} = -\frac{2}{3}\,k_0\,\hat{\kappa}^{ab} = -4\,\mathcal{V}_E\,\hat{k}^{ab}, \tag{3.19}$$
$$\left(\frac{4\,k_0^2}{9}\,\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\alpha\beta}\,-4\,\sigma_\alpha\,\sigma_\beta\right) = -\frac{2}{3}\,k_0\,(\hat{d}^{-1})_\alpha{}^{\alpha'}\,k_{\alpha'\beta'}\,(\hat{d}^{-1})_\beta{}^{\beta'} = -4\,\mathcal{V}_E\,(\hat{d}^{-1})_\alpha{}^{\alpha'}\,k_{\alpha'\beta'}\,(\hat{d}^{-1})_\beta{}^{\beta'}\,,$$

where the original definitions in eqn. (3.4) have been utilized along with the various intersections defined in eqn. (2.2).

3.3 Another representation with a new flux combination

We will close this section by providing a more compact version of the symplectic formulation presented in eqn. (3.17). Let us note that many terms of the collection given in eqn. (3.17) can be further clubbed together more compactly if we define the following quantities,

$$\mathfrak{F} = \mathbb{F} + s\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}\,, \qquad\qquad s\,\mathfrak{H} = s\,\mathbb{H} - \hat{\mathbb{Q}} \tag{3.20}$$

with appropriate indices, e.g. $\mathfrak{F}_\Lambda = \mathbb{F}_\Lambda + s\,\left(\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha{}_\Lambda\,\sigma_\alpha\right)$ and similarly the other ones. Subsequently, we find that

- some of the pieces of $(\mathcal{O}_1 \wedge *\mathcal{O}_2)$ type in the rearrangement are clubbed as under,

$$-\frac{1}{4\,s\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\int_{CY_3}\left[\mathbb{F}\wedge *\mathbb{F} + s^2\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}\wedge *\hat{\mathbb{P}} - 2\,s\,\left(\mathbb{H}\wedge *\hat{\mathbb{Q}} - \mathbb{F}\wedge *\hat{\mathbb{P}}\right) + s^2\,\mathbb{H}\wedge *\mathbb{H} + \hat{\mathbb{Q}}\wedge *\hat{\mathbb{Q}}\right]$$
$$= -\frac{1}{4\,s\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\int_{CY_3}\left[\mathfrak{F}\wedge *\mathfrak{F} + s^2\,\mathfrak{H}\wedge *\mathfrak{H}\right]. \tag{3.21}$$

  Moreover, some among the pieces of $(\mathcal{O}_1 \wedge \mathcal{O}_2)$ type are combined as under,

$$-\frac{1}{4\,s\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\int_{CY_3}\left[-2\,s\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}\wedge\hat{\mathbb{Q}} + 2\,s\,\mathbb{F}\wedge\mathbb{H} - 2\,\mathbb{F}\wedge\hat{\mathbb{Q}} - 2\,s^2\;\mathbb{H}\wedge\hat{\mathbb{P}}\right] \tag{3.22}$$
$$= -\frac{1}{2\,s\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\int_{CY_3} s\;\mathfrak{F}\wedge\mathfrak{H}\,.$$

  From these two simplifications, we find that the ten pieces of eqn. (3.17) can be written into just three pieces !

- Further, considering $(\mathfrak{F},\mathfrak{H})$ instead of using $(\mathbb{F},\mathbb{H})$, we have

$$-\frac{1}{4\,s\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\int_{CY_3}\left[-4\,s\,\left(\mathbb{H}\wedge *\tilde{\mathcal{Q}} - \mathbb{F}\wedge *\tilde{\mathcal{P}}\right)\right. \tag{3.23}$$
$$\left. +\frac{1}{4}\,\left(\frac{4\,k_0^2}{9}\,\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\alpha\beta} - \mathbf{4}\,\sigma_\alpha\,\sigma_\beta\right)\left(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^\alpha\wedge *\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^\beta + s^2\;\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\alpha\wedge *\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\beta\right)\right]$$
$$= -\frac{1}{4\,s\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\int_{CY_3}\left[-4\,s\,\left(\mathfrak{H}\wedge *\tilde{\mathcal{Q}} - \mathfrak{F}\wedge *\tilde{\mathcal{P}}\right)\right.$$
$$\left. +\frac{1}{4}\,\left(\frac{4\,k_0^2}{9}\,\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\alpha\beta} + \mathbf{4}\,\sigma_\alpha\,\sigma_\beta\right)\left(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^\alpha\wedge *\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^\beta + s^2\;\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\alpha\wedge *\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\beta\right)\right].$$

This way we have an equivalent representation of the total scalar potential which is slightly more compact than the one presented in eqn. (3.17),

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{\rm F} = -\frac{1}{4\,s\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\int_{CY_3}\Big[&\mathfrak{F}\wedge *\mathfrak{F} + s^2\,\mathfrak{H}\wedge *\mathfrak{H} - 4\,s\,\Big(\mathfrak{H}\wedge *\tilde{\mathcal{Q}} - \mathfrak{F}\wedge *\tilde{\mathcal{P}}\Big) \\
&+\frac{s}{4}\,\mathcal{G}^{ab}\,\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_a\wedge *\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_b + \frac{1}{4}\,\Big(\frac{4\,k_0^2}{9}\,\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\alpha\beta} + 4\,\sigma_\alpha\,\sigma_\beta\Big)\Big(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^\alpha\wedge *\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^\beta + s^2\,\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\alpha\wedge *\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\beta\Big) \\
&+\frac{1}{4}\times(-2\,s)\,\Big(\frac{4\,k_0^2}{9}\,\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\alpha\beta} - 4\,\sigma_\alpha\,\sigma_\beta\Big)\Big(\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha\wedge\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^\beta - \hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\alpha\wedge\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\beta\Big) + 2\,s\,\mathfrak{F}\wedge\mathfrak{H}\Big]\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.24}
$$

Now we demonstrate the validity of our symplectic formulation in two concrete examples.

4 Examples to demonstrate the applicability of the 'symplectic formulation'

Here we will consider two explicit examples to illustrate the insights of our symplectic formulation of the four dimensional scalar potential. These examples are developed in the framework of type IIB flux compactifications on $\mathbb{T}^6/(\mathbb{Z}_2\times\mathbb{Z}_2)$ and $\mathbb{T}^6/\mathbb{Z}_4$ orientifolds.

4.1 Example A: Type IIB $\hookrightarrow \mathbb{T}^6/(\mathbb{Z}_2\times\mathbb{Z}_2)$-orientifold

Let us fix our conventions for this setup of type IIB superstring theory compactified on an orientifold of a sixfold $X_6 = \mathbb{T}^6/\left(\mathbb{Z}_2\times\mathbb{Z}_2\right)$. The complex coordinates z_i's on each of the tori in $\mathbb{T}^6 = \mathbb{T}^2\times\mathbb{T}^2\times\mathbb{T}^2$ are defined as

$$
z^1 = x^1 + U_1\,x^2,\;\; z^2 = x^3 + U_2\,x^4,\;\; z^3 = x^5 + U_3\,x^6,
\tag{4.1}
$$

where the three complex structure moduli U_i's can be written as $U_i = v_i + i\,u_i,\; i = 1,2,3$. Further, the two $\mathbb{Z}_2$ orbifold actions are defined as

$$
\theta : (z^1,z^2,z^3)\to(-z^1,-z^2,z^3), \qquad \overline{\theta} : (z^1,z^2,z^3)\to(z^1,-z^2,-z^3)\,.
\tag{4.2}
$$

Moreover, the full orientifold action is: $\mathcal{O}\equiv(\Omega_p\,(-1)^{F_L}\,\sigma)$ in which the holomorphic involution σ is being defined as

$$
\sigma : (z^1,z^2,z^3)\to(-z^1,-z^2,-z^3)\,,
\tag{4.3}
$$

resulting in a setup with the presence of $O3/O7$-plane. The complex structure moduli dependent prepotential is given as,

$$
\mathcal{F} = \frac{\mathcal{X}^1\,\mathcal{X}^2\,\mathcal{X}^3}{\mathcal{X}^0} = U_1\,U_2\,U_3
\tag{4.4}
$$

which results in the following period-vectors,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{X}^0 = 1\,, \quad \mathcal{X}^1 = U_1\,, \quad \mathcal{X}^2 = U_2\,, \quad \mathcal{X}^3 = U_3\,, \\
&\mathcal{F}_0 = -\,U_1\,U_2\,U_3\,, \quad \mathcal{F}_1 = U_2\,U_3\,, \quad \mathcal{F}_2 = U_3\,U_1\,, \quad \mathcal{F}_3 = U_1\,U_2
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.5}
$$

Now, the holomorphic three-form $\Omega_3 = dz^1\wedge dz^2\wedge dz^3$ can be expanded as,

$$
\Omega_3 = \alpha_0 + U_1\,\alpha_1 + U_2\,\alpha_2 + U_3\alpha_3 + U_1\,U_2\,U_3\,\beta^0 - U_2\,U_3\,\beta^1 - U_1\,U_3\,\beta^2 - U_1\,U_2\,\beta^3\,,
\tag{4.6}
$$

where we have chosen the following basis of closed three-forms

$$\alpha_0 = 1\wedge 3\wedge 5\,,\ \alpha_1 = 2\wedge 3\wedge 5\,,\ \alpha_2 = 1\wedge 4\wedge 5\,,\ \alpha_3 = 1\wedge 3\wedge 6\,,$$
$$\beta^0 = 2\wedge 4\wedge 6,\ \beta^1 = -1\wedge 4\wedge 6,\ \beta^2 = -2\wedge 3\wedge 6,\ \beta^3 = -\,2\wedge 4\wedge 5\,,$$

where $1\wedge 3\wedge 5 = dx^1\wedge dx^3\wedge dx^5$ etc. Subsequently we find that

$$K_{cs} = -\ln\Big[i\,\Big(\overline{\mathcal{X}}^{\Lambda}\mathcal{F}_{\Lambda} - \mathcal{X}^{\Lambda}\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{\Lambda}\Big)\Big] = -\sum_{j=1}^{3}\ln\big(i(U_j-\overline{U}_j)\big)\,. \tag{4.7}$$

This also demands that $Im(U_i) < 0$ which is rooted from the condition of being inside the physical domain [43, 41], and this is equally important as to demand $Im(\tau) > 0$ and $Im(T_\alpha) < 0$ which are related to string coupling and volume moduli to take positive values. Now, the basis of orientifold even two-forms and four-forms are as under,

$$\mu_1 = dx^1\wedge dx^2,\quad \mu_2 = dx^3\wedge dx^4,\quad \mu_3 = dx^5\wedge dx^6 \tag{4.8}$$
$$\tilde{\mu}^1 = dx^3\wedge dx^4\wedge dx^5\wedge dx^6,\quad \tilde{\mu}^2 = dx^1\wedge dx^2\wedge dx^5\wedge dx^6,\quad \tilde{\mu}^3 = dx^1\wedge dx^2\wedge dx^3\wedge dx^4$$

implying that $\hat{d}_\alpha{}^\beta = \delta_\alpha{}^\beta$. The only non-trivial triple intersection number $(k_{\alpha\beta\gamma})$ is given as $\kappa_{123} = k_{123} = 1$ which implies the volume form to be $\mathcal{V}_E = t_1\,t_2 t_3$. Let us mention that for this example there are no two-forms anti-invariant under the orientifold projection, i.e. $h^{1,1}_-(X_6) = 0$, and therefore no B_2 and C_2 moduli as well as no geometric flux components such as $\omega_{a\Lambda}, \omega_a{}^\Lambda$ are present.

Now, the expressions for Kähler potential and the generalized flux-induced superpotential take the following simplified forms,

$$K = -\ln\left(-i(\tau-\overline{\tau})\right) - \sum_{j=1}^{3}\ln\big(i(U_j-\overline{U}_j)\big) - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3}\ln\left(\frac{i\,(T_\alpha-\overline{T}_\alpha)}{2}\right) \tag{4.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned} W = &\left[\Big(F_\Lambda + \tau\,H_\Lambda\Big) + \Big(\hat{Q}^\alpha{}_\Lambda + \tau\,\hat{P}^\alpha{}_\Lambda\Big)\,T_\alpha\right]\mathcal{X}^\Lambda \\ &+\left[\Big(F^\Lambda + \tau\,H^\Lambda\Big) + \Big(\hat{Q}^{\alpha\Lambda} + \tau\,\hat{P}^{\alpha\Lambda}\Big)T_\alpha\right]\mathcal{F}_\Lambda\,, \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

where $\Lambda = 0,1,2,3$ and $\alpha = 1,2,3$ implying the presence of 8 components for each of three-form fluxes H_3 and F_3, and similarly 24 flux components for each of the Q/P fluxes. Now to analyze and express the scalar potential in our symplectic formulation, first we utilize the Kähler potential (4.9) and superpotential (4.10) which results in 9661 terms in the total F-term contributions. Subsequently, using our symplectic rearrangement in eqn. (3.17) results in a precise recovery of the total 9661 terms of the scalar potential. To reflect the involvement of terms within each kind of pieces, we express the counting of terms distributed as under,

$$V_{\mathbb{F}\mathbb{F}} = -\frac{1}{4\,s\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\int_{X_6}\mathbb{F}\wedge *\mathbb{F}, \qquad \#(V_{\mathbb{F}\mathbb{F}}) = 4108$$
$$V_{\mathbb{H}\mathbb{H}} = -\frac{s}{4\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\int_{X_6}\mathbb{H}\wedge *\mathbb{H}, \qquad \#(V_{\mathbb{H}\mathbb{H}}) = 1054$$
$$V_{\mathbb{H}\hat{\mathbb{Q}}} = -\frac{1}{4\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\int_{X_6}\Big(-2\,\mathbb{H}\wedge *\hat{\mathbb{Q}} - 4\,\mathbb{H}\wedge *\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}\Big), \qquad \#(V_{\mathbb{H}\mathbb{Q}}) = 1350$$
$$V_{\mathbb{F}\hat{\mathbb{P}}} = -\frac{1}{4\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\int_{X_6}\Big(2\,\mathbb{F}\wedge *\hat{\mathbb{P}} + 4\,\mathbb{F}\wedge *\tilde{\mathcal{P}}\Big), \qquad \#(V_{\mathbb{F}\mathbb{P}}) = 1350$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{\hat{\mathbb{Q}}\hat{\mathbb{Q}}} &= -\frac{1}{4\,s\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\int_{X_6}\Big(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}\wedge *\hat{\mathbb{Q}} - (\mathcal{V}_E\,\hat{\kappa}_{\alpha\beta})\;\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^\alpha\wedge *\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^\beta\Big)\,, && \#(V_{\mathbb{Q}\mathbb{Q}}) = 1551\\
V_{\hat{\mathbb{P}}\hat{\mathbb{P}}} &= -\frac{s}{4\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\int_{X_6}\Big(\hat{\mathbb{P}}\wedge *\hat{\mathbb{P}} - (\mathcal{V}_E\,\hat{\kappa}_{\alpha\beta})\;\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\alpha\wedge *\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\beta\Big)\,, && \#(V_{\mathbb{P}\mathbb{P}}) = 408\\
V_{\hat{\mathbb{P}}\hat{\mathbb{Q}}} &= -\frac{1}{4\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\int_{X_6}(-2)\Big[\hat{\mathbb{P}}\wedge\hat{\mathbb{Q}} - (\mathcal{V}_E\,\hat{\kappa}_{\alpha\beta})\;\Big(\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha\wedge\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^\beta - \hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\alpha\wedge\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\beta\Big)\Big], && \#(V_{\hat{\mathbb{P}}\hat{\mathbb{P}}}) = 972\\
V_{\mathbb{H}\mathbb{F}} &= -\frac{1}{4\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\int_{X_6}(+2)\times(\,\mathbb{F}\wedge\mathbb{H})\,, && \#(V_{\mathbb{H}\mathbb{F}}) = 128\\
V_{\mathbb{F}\hat{\mathbb{Q}}} &= -\frac{1}{4\,s\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\int_{X_6}(-2)\times\Big(\mathbb{F}\wedge\hat{\mathbb{Q}}\Big)\,, && \#(V_{\mathbb{F}\hat{\mathbb{Q}}}) = 288\\
V_{\mathbb{H}\hat{\mathbb{P}}} &= -\frac{s}{4\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\int_{X_6}(-2)\times\Big(\mathbb{H}\wedge\hat{\mathbb{P}}\Big)\,, && \#(V_{\mathbb{H}\hat{\mathbb{P}}}) = 72\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.11}
$$

While collecting the various pieces, here we have used the following simplified relations for the current example,

$$
\left(\frac{4}{9}\,k_0^2\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\alpha\beta}\right) \equiv 4\,\sigma_\alpha\sigma_\beta - 4\mathcal{V}_E\,k_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 4\,\sigma_1^2 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 4\,\sigma_2^2 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 4\,\sigma_3^2\end{pmatrix} \tag{4.12}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{V}_E\,k_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_1\,\sigma_2 & \sigma_1\,\sigma_3\\ \sigma_1\,\sigma_2 & 0 & \sigma_2\,\sigma_3\\ \sigma_1\,\sigma_3 & \sigma_2\,\sigma_3 & 0\end{pmatrix} \tag{4.13}
$$

Note that in the aforementioned collection, $V_{\mathbb{H}\hat{\mathbb{Q}}}$ and $V_{\mathbb{F}\hat{\mathbb{P}}}$ pieces have some terms which are not part of F-term contributions, i.e. do not follow within the 9661 terms obtained from K and W in eqns. (4.9)-(4.10). However such terms are absent in $(V_{\mathbb{H}\hat{\mathbb{Q}}} + V_{\mathbb{F}\hat{\mathbb{P}}})$ due to internal cancellations. The same results in $\#(V_{\mathbb{H}\hat{\mathbb{Q}}} + V_{\mathbb{F}\hat{\mathbb{P}}}) = 1080$ instead of their individual sum being as 2700. Taking the same into account, one finds that the total pieces sum up into 9661 terms as is the case for the total F-term contributions. It is worth to mention the following points,

- We observe that we are able to rewrite the total F-term scalar potential in terms of symplectic ingredients and *without using the internal background metric*. Therefore we can compare the results with previous studies of [47] in which the rearrangement was performed via using the internal background metric, and not the symplectic ingredients.

- In this context, one also observes that all the pieces except $V_{\mathbb{H}\mathbb{F}}, V_{\mathbb{F}\hat{\mathbb{Q}}}, V_{\mathbb{H}\hat{\mathbb{P}}}$ and $V_{\hat{\mathbb{P}}\hat{\mathbb{Q}}}$ are written as $\mathcal{O}_1\wedge *\mathcal{O}_2$ indicating that the analogous pieces involving internal metric can be written with all the real six-dimensional indices properly contracted through the internal background metric as in [47].

- The last four pieces $V_{\mathbb{H}\mathbb{F}}, V_{\mathbb{F}\hat{\mathbb{Q}}}, V_{\mathbb{H}\hat{\mathbb{P}}}$ and $V_{\hat{\mathbb{P}}\hat{\mathbb{Q}}}$ written as $\mathcal{O}_1\wedge\mathcal{O}_2$ are naively looking like tadpole terms. However, note that they are not, especially the $V_{\hat{\mathbb{P}}\hat{\mathbb{Q}}}$ piece which is peculiar in many sense ! For example, this term has some information about the internal background via period/metric inputs. This can also be seen from their analogous parts in [47] which explicitly involves the internal metric implying that the $V_{\hat{\mathbb{P}}\hat{\mathbb{Q}}}$ piece is not topological. For the current symplectic representation, this information gets incorporated via introducing $\mathcal{S}$ matrices within $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}$ redefinitions as in eqn. (3.18).

Comments on generalized tadpole terms

Let us make some comments about the tadpole terms. In this regard, note that although the explicit forms of Bianchi identities in the presence of "all" fluxes along with P-flux is not known, however for the cases which correspond to the type IIB orientifold examples with $h^{1,1}_{-}(CY/\sigma) = 0 = h^{2,1}_{+}(CY/\sigma)$, the same is known [29, 22, 24]. Nevertheless, based on modular completion arguments, we expect that a subset of the NS-NS Bianchi identities, should be the following,

$$\begin{array}{lll} H^\Lambda\,\hat{Q}_\Lambda{}^\alpha - H_\Lambda\hat{Q}^{\alpha\Lambda} = 0, & F^\Lambda\,\hat{P}_\Lambda{}^\alpha - F_\Lambda\hat{P}^{\alpha\Lambda} = 0, & H_\Lambda\,\omega_a{}^\Lambda - H^\Lambda\,\omega_{ak} = 0, \\ \hat{Q}^{\alpha\Lambda}\hat{Q}^\beta{}_\Lambda - \hat{Q}^{\beta\Lambda}\hat{Q}^\alpha{}_\Lambda = 0, & \omega_a{}^\Lambda\omega_{b\Lambda} - \omega_b{}^\Lambda\omega_{ak} = 0, & \hat{P}^{\alpha\Lambda}\hat{P}^\beta{}_\Lambda - \hat{P}^{\beta\Lambda}\hat{P}^\alpha{}_\Lambda = 0 \\ \omega_{a\Lambda}\hat{Q}^{\alpha\Lambda} - \omega_a{}^\Lambda\hat{Q}^\alpha{}_\Lambda = 0, & \omega_{a\Lambda}\hat{P}^{\alpha\Lambda} - \omega_a{}^\Lambda\hat{P}^\alpha{}_\Lambda = 0, & \hat{P}^{\alpha\Lambda}\hat{Q}^\beta{}_\Lambda - \hat{Q}^{\beta\Lambda}\hat{P}^\alpha{}_\Lambda = 0 \end{array} \tag{4.14}$$

On the lines of studies made for this toroidal example in a non-symplectic approach of [47], we expect that the last three pieces of the scalar potential rearrangement in eqn. (3.17) should generically correspond to the generalized 3/7-brane tadpoles to be nullified via a combination of NS-NS and RR Bianchi identities[4], i.e. by adding contributions from the local sources such as branes/orientifold planes. To elaborate more on it, we find that the total of 488 terms of the last three pieces of (4.11) corresponds to 128 terms for RR tadpoles, while remaining ones can be eliminated via generalized modular completed version of NS-NS Bianchi identities (4.14) as seen in the analysis of [47, 71]. For example, the actual 3/7-brane tadpoles contributions can be written in terms of the older generalized flux orbits as under,

$$V_3 = \frac{1}{2\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\left(F_\Lambda H^\Lambda - H_\Lambda F^\Lambda\right), \qquad V_7 = V_7^{(1)} + V_7^{(2)} + V_7^{(3)} \tag{4.15}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} V_7^{(1)} &= -\frac{1}{2\,s\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\left(F_\Lambda\hat{Q}^{\alpha\Lambda} - \hat{Q}^\alpha{}_\Lambda F^\Lambda\right)\sigma_\alpha\,, \quad V_7^{(2)} = -\frac{(C_0^2+s^2)}{2\,s\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\left(H_\Lambda\hat{P}^{\alpha\Lambda} - \hat{P}^\alpha{}_\Lambda H^\Lambda\right)\sigma_\alpha \\ V_7^{(3)} &= -\frac{1}{2\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\left(\frac{C_0}{s}\right)\left[(H_\Lambda\hat{Q}^{\alpha\Lambda} + F_\Lambda\hat{P}^{\alpha\Lambda}) - (\hat{Q}^\alpha{}_\Lambda H^\Lambda + \hat{P}^\alpha{}_\Lambda F^\Lambda)\right]\sigma_\alpha \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

The three pieces of 7-brane tadpoles correspond to the triplet of RR eight-form potential $(C_8, \tilde{C}_8, C_8')$ which transform under S-duality as,

$$C_8 \to \tilde{C}_8, \qquad \tilde{C}_8 \to C_8, \qquad C_8' \to -\,C_8' \tag{4.17}$$

The triplet of eight-form potentials couples to $D7$, NS_{7_i} and I_{7_i} branes resulting in FQ, HP and $(HQ + FP)$ type of tadpole terms [29, 22, 24]. Also, observe that under S-duality $V_3 \leftrightarrow V_3$, $V_7^{(1)} \leftrightarrow V_7^{(2)}$ while $V_7^{(3)}$ remains invariant even though the combinations $(HQ+FP)$ is anti-invariant. It is because of an additional anti-invariant factor $C_0/s \to -\,C_0/s$ which makes the third piece $V_7^{(3)}$ survive. Further, out of the total of 488 number of terms, the "actual" tadpole terms are 128 enumerated as: $\#(V_3) = 8, \#(V_7^{(1)}) = 24, \#(V_7^{(1)}) = 48$ and $\#(V_7^{(1)}) = 48$. All the remaining pieces are nullified by the flux constraints given in eqn. (4.14). However, the use of new generalized flux orbits has helped in nicely combining the three 7-brane tadpole pieces into two pieces $-(V_{\mathbb{F}\hat{\mathbb{Q}}} + V_{\mathbb{H}\hat{\mathbb{P}}})$ by taking appropriate care of C_0 factors as well. Moreover, had it not been inspired by the collection through new generalized flux orbits, it would have been rather difficult to invoke the explicit form of I_{7_i}-brane tadpoles which has a peculiar nature to appear with a factor C_0/s being anti-invariant under S-duality.

[4]Moreover, the first piece of $(\hat{\mathbb{P}}\wedge\hat{\mathbb{Q}})$-type in the last line of eqn. (3.17) should also be generically canceled by a subset of the Bianchi identities in eqn. (4.14). However the same is not generically true for all the other two contributions of $(\hat{\mathbb{P}}\wedge\tilde{\mathcal{Q}})$- and $(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}\wedge\tilde{\mathcal{P}})$-types as could be indirectly seen from the analysis of [47].

4.2 Example B: Type IIB $\hookrightarrow \mathbb{T}^6/(\mathbb{Z}_4)$-orientifold

As this toroidal orientifolds example has been considered previously with the presence of P-flux in [52], we will not reconsider the same in full detail rather we aim to provide the aspects which were not understood in [52] from a generic point of view.

Leaving all the orientifold construction related details to be directly referred from [52, 46], let us start with the following explicit expressions of the Kähler- and super-potentials for analyzing F-term scalar potential,

$$\begin{aligned} K &= -\ln 2 \, - \ln\left(-i(\tau-\overline{\tau})\right) - 2\,\ln \mathcal{V}_E(T_\alpha,\tau,G^a;\overline{T}_\alpha,\overline{\tau},\overline{G}^a) \\ W &= \Bigg[\left(F_0 + \tau\, H_0 + \omega_{a0}\, G^a + \hat{Q}^\alpha{}_0\, T_\alpha + \tau\, \hat{P}^\alpha{}_0\, T_\alpha - \, \hat{P}^\alpha{}_0 \left(\frac{1}{2}\hat{\kappa}_{\alpha ab} G^a G^b\right)\right) \\ &\quad -i\left(F^0 + \tau\, H^0 + \omega_a{}^0\, G^a + \hat{Q}^{\alpha 0}\, T_\alpha + \tau\, \hat{P}^{\alpha 0}\, T_\alpha - \, \hat{P}^{\alpha 0} \left(\frac{1}{2}\hat{\kappa}_{\alpha ab} G^a G^b\right)\right)\Bigg], \end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

where $a=\{1,2\}$, $\alpha=\{1,2,3\}$ showing the presence of 2 complexified odd axions (G^a) and 3 complexified Kähler moduli (T_α). Moreover, the Einstein frame sixfold volume written in terms of chiral variables is given as,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_E \equiv \mathcal{V}_E(T_\alpha,S,G^a) = \frac{1}{4}\, &\left(\frac{i(T_3-\overline{T}_3)}{2} - \frac{i}{4(\tau-\overline{\tau})}\,\hat{\kappa}_{3ab}\,(G^a-\overline{G}^a)(G^b-\overline{G}^b)\right)^{1/2} \\ &\times\left[\left(\frac{i(T_1-\overline{T}_1)}{2}\right)^2 - 2\left(\frac{i(T_2-\overline{T}_2)}{2}\right)^2\right]^{1/2} \end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

Now, we will use the following new generalized flux orbits,

$$\mathbb{H}_0 = \mathbf{h}_0\ , \quad \hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\alpha{}_0 = \hat{\mathbf{q}}^\alpha{}_{\mathbf{0}} + C_0\,\hat{\mathbf{p}}^\alpha{}_{\mathbf{0}}\ , \qquad \mathbb{F}_0 = \mathbf{f}_0 + C_0\ \mathbf{h}_0\ , \quad \hat{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha{}_0 = \hat{\mathbf{p}}^\alpha{}_{\mathbf{0}}\ ; \tag{4.20a}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{h}_0 &= H_0 + (\omega_{01}\, b^1 + \omega_{02}\, b^2) + \frac{1}{2}\hat{Q}^3{}_0\left(\hat{\kappa}_{311}(b^1)^2 + \hat{\kappa}_{322}(b^2)^2\right) + \left(\hat{P}^1{}_0\,\rho_1 + \hat{P}^2{}_0\,\rho_2 + \hat{P}^3{}_0\,\rho_3\right) \\ \mathbf{f}_0 &= F_0 + (\omega_{01}\, c^1 + \omega_{02}\, c^2) - \hat{P}^3{}_0\,\left(\frac{1}{2}\,\hat{\kappa}_{311}(c^1)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\,\hat{\kappa}_{322}(c^2)^2\right) \\ &\qquad + \hat{Q}^1{}_0\,\rho_1 + \hat{Q}^2{}_0\,\rho_2 + \hat{Q}^3{}_0\,\left(\rho_3 + \hat{\kappa}_{311}c^1b^1 + \hat{\kappa}_{322}c^2b^2\right) \\ \mho_{01} &= \omega_{01} + \hat{Q}^3{}_0\,\left(\hat{\kappa}_{311}\,b^1\right) - \,\hat{P}^3{}_0\,\left(\hat{\kappa}_{311}\,c^1\right),\ \mho_{02} = \omega_{02} + \hat{Q}^3{}_0\,\left(\hat{\kappa}_{322}\,b^2\right) - \,\hat{P}^3{}_0\,\left(\hat{\kappa}_{322}\,c^2\right) \\ \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathbf{1}}{}_{\mathbf{0}} &= \hat{Q}^1{}_0, \quad \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathbf{2}}{}_{\mathbf{0}} = \hat{Q}^2{}_0, \quad \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathbf{3}}{}_{\mathbf{0}} = \hat{Q}^3{}_0, \qquad \hat{\mathbf{p}}^{\mathbf{1}}{}_{\mathbf{0}} = \hat{P}^1{}_0, \quad \hat{\mathbf{p}}^{\mathbf{2}}{}_{\mathbf{0}} = \hat{P}^2{}_0, \quad \hat{\mathbf{p}}^{\mathbf{3}}{}_{\mathbf{0}} = \hat{P}^3{}_0 \end{aligned} \tag{4.20b}$$

and similarly all the other components with upper index being '$\Lambda=0$' can be written. Now, the huge F-term scalar potential, which results in a total of 960 terms, can be very compactly rewritten as under [52].

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\mathbb{F}\mathbb{F}} &= \frac{\mathbb{F}_0^2 + (\mathbb{F}^0)^2}{4\,s\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\,, \quad V_{\mathbb{H}\mathbb{H}} = \frac{s\left(\mathbb{H}_0^2 + \,(\mathbb{H}^0)^2\right)}{4\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\,, \quad V_{\mathbb{H}\hat{\mathbb{Q}}} = \frac{3\left(\mathbb{H}^0\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^0 + \hat{\mathbb{Q}}_0\,\mathbb{H}_0\right)}{2\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}, \\ V_{\mho\mho} &= \frac{\sigma_3\,(\mho_{01}\mho_{01} + \mho_1{}^0\mho_1{}^0) + 2\sigma_3\,(\mho_{02}\mho_{02} + \mho_2{}^0\mho_2{}^0)}{4\mathcal{V}_E^2}, \quad V_{\mathbb{F}\hat{\mathbb{P}}} = -\frac{3\left(\mathbb{F}^0\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^0 + \hat{\mathbb{P}}_0\mathbb{F}_0\right)}{2\mathcal{V}_E^2}, \\ V_{\mathbb{F}\mathbb{H}} &= \frac{\mathbb{H}_0\mathbb{F}^0 - \mathbb{F}_0\mathbb{H}^0}{2\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}, \quad V_{\mathbb{F}\hat{\mathbb{Q}}} = \frac{\mathbb{F}_0\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^0 - \hat{\mathbb{Q}}_0\,\mathbb{F}^0}{2\,s\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}, \quad V_{\mathbb{H}\hat{\mathbb{P}}} = \frac{s\left(\mathbb{H}_0\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^0 - \hat{\mathbb{P}}_0\,\mathbb{H}^0\right)}{2\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{\hat{\mathbb{Q}}\hat{\mathbb{Q}}} = \frac{1}{4\,s\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\Big[&\left(4\sigma_2^2-\sigma_1^2\right)\left(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^1{}_0\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^1{}_0+\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{10}\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{10}\right)+\left(\sigma_1^2-\sigma_2^2\right)\left(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_0{}^2\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_0{}^2+\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{20}\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{20}\right)\\
&+\sigma_3^2\left(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_0{}^3\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_0{}^3+\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{30}\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{30}\right)+2\,\sigma_1\sigma_2\left(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_0{}^1\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_0{}^2+\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{10}\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{20}\right)-6\,\sigma_2\sigma_3\left(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_0{}^2\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_0{}^3+\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{20}\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{30}\right)\\
&-6\,\sigma_1\sigma_3\left(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_0{}^1\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_0{}^3+\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{10}\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{30}\right)\Big]\\
V_{\hat{\mathbb{P}}\hat{\mathbb{P}}} = \frac{s}{4\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\Big[&\left(4\sigma_2^2-\sigma_1^2\right)\left(\hat{\mathbb{P}}_0{}^1\hat{\mathbb{P}}_0{}^1+\hat{\mathbb{P}}^{10}\hat{\mathbb{P}}^{10}\right)+\left(\sigma_1^2-\sigma_2^2\right)\left(\hat{\mathbb{P}}_0{}^2\hat{\mathbb{P}}_0{}^2+\hat{\mathbb{P}}^{20}\mathbb{P}^{20}\right)\\
&+\sigma_3^2\left(\hat{\mathbb{P}}_0{}^3\hat{\mathbb{P}}_0{}^3+\hat{\mathbb{P}}^{30}\hat{\mathbb{P}}^{30}\right)+2\,\sigma_1\sigma_2\left(\hat{\mathbb{P}}_0{}^1\hat{\mathbb{P}}_0{}^2+\hat{\mathbb{P}}^{10}\hat{\mathbb{P}}^{20}\right)-6\,\sigma_2\sigma_3\left(\hat{\mathbb{P}}_0{}^2\hat{\mathbb{P}}_0{}^3+\hat{\mathbb{P}}^{20}\hat{\mathbb{P}}^{30}\right)\\
&-6\,\sigma_1\sigma_3\left(\hat{\mathbb{P}}_0{}^1\hat{\mathbb{P}}_0{}^3+\hat{\mathbb{P}}^{10}\hat{\mathbb{P}}^{30}\right)\Big] \qquad (4.22)\\
V_{\hat{\mathbb{P}}\hat{\mathbb{Q}}} = \frac{1}{2\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\Big[&(3\sigma_1^2-4\sigma_2^2)\,(\hat{\mathbb{P}}_0{}^1\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{10}-\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_0{}^1\hat{\mathbb{P}}^{10})-(\sigma_1^2-3\sigma_2^2)\,(\hat{\mathbb{P}}_0{}^2\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{20}-\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_0{}^2\hat{\mathbb{P}}^{20})\\
&+\sigma_3^2\,(\hat{\mathbb{P}}_0{}^3\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{30}-\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_0{}^3\hat{\mathbb{P}}^{30})+\sigma_1\,\sigma_2\,(\hat{\mathbb{P}}_0{}^2\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{10}+\hat{\mathbb{P}}_0{}^1\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{20}-\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_0{}^2\hat{\mathbb{P}}^{10}-\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_0{}^1\hat{\mathbb{P}}^{20})\\
&+5\sigma_2\,\sigma_3\,(\hat{\mathbb{P}}_0{}^2\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{30}+\hat{\mathbb{P}}_0{}^3\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{20}-\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_0{}^3\hat{\mathbb{P}}^{20}-\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_0{}^2\hat{\mathbb{P}}^{30})\\
&+5\sigma_1\,\sigma_3\,(\hat{\mathbb{P}}_0{}^1\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{30}+\hat{\mathbb{P}}_0{}^3\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{10}-\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_0{}^3\hat{\mathbb{P}}^{10}-\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_0{}^1\hat{\mathbb{P}}^{30})\Big]\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, using the following symplectic ingredients,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{M}^{00}=-1,\quad \mathcal{M}^0{}_0=0,\quad \mathcal{M}_0{}^0=0,\quad \mathcal{M}_{00}=1\\
&\mathcal{L}^{00}=-1,\quad \mathcal{L}^0{}_0=0,\quad \mathcal{L}_0{}^0=0,\quad \mathcal{L}_{00}=1\\
&\mathcal{S}^{00}=0,\quad \mathcal{S}^0{}_0=2,\quad \mathcal{S}_0{}^0=-2,\quad \mathcal{S}_{00}=0
\end{aligned}
\qquad (4.23)
$$

it is obvious to read off the pieces in eqn. (4.21) from our symplectic formulation given in eqn. (3.17). For example, the most complicated looking piece of eqn. (4.21), which is $V_{\mho\mho}$, can be known simply by considering $\mathcal{G}^{ab}=-4\,\mathcal{V}_E\,\hat{k}^{ab}$. Now $\hat{k}_{ab}=\hat{k}_{\alpha ab}t^\alpha$, so one has the only non-zero components given as $\mathcal{G}^{11}=\sigma_3$ and $\mathcal{G}^{22}=2\,\sigma_3$. Here we recall that $\sigma_\alpha=\frac{1}{2}\,\kappa_{\alpha\beta\gamma}t^\alpha\,t^\beta\,t^\gamma$ and results in $\sigma_1=t_1\,t_3,\sigma_2=t_2\,t_3$ and $\sigma_3=(t_1^2-2\,t_2^2)$ following from the intersection numbers $k_{311}=1/2,k_{322}=-1,\hat{k}_{311}=-1,\hat{k}_{322}=-1/2$ along with $\hat{d}_\alpha{}^\beta=diag\{1/2,-1,1/4\}$ and $d^a{}_b=diag\{-1,-1/2\}$ [46].

To see the same for the complicated pieces $V_{\hat{\mathbb{Q}}\hat{\mathbb{Q}}}$, $V_{\hat{\mathbb{P}}\hat{\mathbb{P}}}$ and $V_{\hat{\mathbb{P}}\hat{\mathbb{Q}}}$ is not that straight. However, a careful observation tells us how the volume moduli dependent factors of $V_{\hat{\mathbb{Q}}\hat{\mathbb{Q}}}$, $V_{\hat{\mathbb{P}}\hat{\mathbb{P}}}$ as well as $V_{\hat{\mathbb{P}}\hat{\mathbb{Q}}}$ can be read off from the following coefficient matrix depending on the Kähler moduli-space metric,

$$
\mathrm{QQ/PP}:\quad \left(\frac{4}{9}\,k_0^2\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\alpha\beta}-4\sigma_\alpha\,\sigma_\beta\right)+\sigma_\alpha\,\sigma_\beta=\begin{pmatrix}4\sigma_2^2-\sigma_1^2 & \sigma_1\,\sigma_2 & -3\sigma_1\,\sigma_3\\ \sigma_1\,\sigma_2 & \sigma_1^2-\sigma_2^2 & -3\sigma_2\,\sigma_3\\ -3\sigma_1\,\sigma_3 & -3\sigma_2\,\sigma_3 & \sigma_3^2\end{pmatrix} \qquad (4.24)
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{PQ}:(-2)\left[\left(\frac{4}{9}\,k_0^2\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\alpha\beta}-4\sigma_\alpha\,\sigma_\beta\right)-\sigma_\alpha\,\sigma_\beta\right]=(-2)\begin{pmatrix}4\sigma_2^2-3\,\sigma_1^2 & -\sigma_1\,\sigma_2 & -5\sigma_1\,\sigma_3\\ -\sigma_1\,\sigma_2 & \sigma_1^2-3\sigma_2^2 & -5\sigma_2\,\sigma_3\\ -5\sigma_1\,\sigma_3 & -5\sigma_2\,\sigma_3 & -\sigma_3^2\end{pmatrix} \qquad (4.25)
$$

This origin of correct volume moduli dependent pieces was not clear from the analysis of [52] in which the use of modular completed orbits was main focus rather than looking at the other structures. Note that this analysis demonstrates the fact that by merely knowing the intersection numbers and eqn. (4.23), we can directly *read off* all the pieces of eqns. (4.21) and (4.22) from our symplectic formulation given in eqn. (3.17).

5 Expressing the symplectic ingredients in terms of the c.s. moduli

By the end of the previous section, in our analysis made for the second toroidal model "Example B", we realized that all the scalar potential pieces can be directly read off if the various intersection numbers (along with the even/odd hodge numbers) are known, and there is no need to perform the detailed computation for the scalar potential by starting form the Kähler and superpotential expressions. Let us mention that this observation with "Example B" can be extended for arbitrary compactifications with rigid CY threefolds as one can always read off the (modular invariant) scalar potential directly from our proposal in eqn. (3.17) just by knowing the various new flux orbits from eqns. (2.21)-(2.23) (via looking at the non-vanishing intersection numbers) and the simplified version of the symplectic quantities given in eqn. (4.23).

Though, the aforementioned class of examples has been quite simple due to the absence of complex structure moduli, nevertheless it motivates us to look for a new form of the scalar potential in which one could directly read off the complex structure moduli dependent pieces as well. On these lines of motivation, now we provide a more explicit version of the generic non-geometric scalar potential[5] by explicitly expanding out the symplectic ingredients in such a way that one could directly write down the scalar potential via merely knowing the topological data of the compactifying Calabi Yau and its mirror. As we have already argued the applicability of our proposal for the rigid CY cases separately, the computation now onwards is focused on the cases where $h^{2,1}_{-}(X)$ is non zero, i.e. at least one complex structure modulus is present.

5.1 Symplectic quantities through the axionic/saxionic components of c.s. moduli

Let us consider the following form of the prepotential,

$$\mathcal{F} = \frac{l_{ijk}\,\mathcal{X}^i\,\mathcal{X}^j\,\mathcal{X}^k}{\mathcal{X}^0} + \frac{1}{2}\,a_{ij}\,\mathcal{X}^i\,\mathcal{X}^j + b_i\,\mathcal{X}^0\,\mathcal{X}^i + \frac{1}{2}\,i\,\gamma\,(\mathcal{X}^0)^2 \tag{5.1}$$

which still remain quite generic though we have neglected the non-perturbative contributions assuming the large complex structure limit. Also, as compared to the prepotential in eqn. (2.7), a factor of 3! has been absorbed in the first term via a redefinition of the mirror triple-intersection numbers as $l_{ijk} = \frac{1}{3!}\,\hat{l}_{ijk}$. This has been done just to avoid the repetitive appearance of the rational pre-factors at the intermediate stage of computations, e.g. in the various derivatives of the prepotential $\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{F}_i, \mathcal{F}_{00}$ etc. With this normalization, the first derivatives of the prepotential $\mathcal{F}$ are given as under,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_0 &= -\,l_{ijk}\,U^i\,U^j\,U^k + b_i\,U^i + i\,\gamma, \\ \mathcal{F}_i &= 3\,l_{ijk}\,U^j\,U^k + a_{ij}\,U^j + b_i \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

Here $\mathcal{X}^0 = 1$ and $\mathcal{X}^i = U^i$ have been utilized. Now the complex structure moduli dependent part of the Kähler potential is simplified as,

[5]Here by being "generic", we mean to be considering arbitrary Calabi Yau compactification with arbitrary number of complex structure and Kähler moduli, along with odd-axions. It is worth to mention that we are still assuming the large volume and large complex structure limits to avoid the non-perturbative effects.

$$K_{cs} \equiv -\ln\left(i\int_X \Omega_3\wedge\bar{\Omega}_3\right) = -\ln\left[i\,\left(\overline{\mathcal{X}}^{\Lambda}\,\mathcal{F}_{\Lambda}-\mathcal{X}^{\Lambda}\,\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{\Lambda}\right)\right] \tag{5.3}$$
$$= -\ln\left[i\;l_{ijk}\,\left(-U^iU^jU^k+3\,\overline{U}^iU^jU^k-3\,U^i\overline{U}^j\overline{U}^k+\overline{U}^i\overline{U}^j\overline{U}^k\right)-2\,\gamma\right]$$

Further considering the saxionic/axionic parts of complex structure moduli to be given as $U^i = v^i + i\,u^i$, one finds that

$$K_{cs} = -\ln\left(-8\,l_{ijk}\,u^iu^ju^k-2\gamma\right) = -\ln\left(-2(4\,l+\gamma)\right). \tag{5.4}$$

where we have used the short hand notations $l = l_{ijk}\,u^i\,u^j\,u^k$, $l_i = l_{ijk}\,u^j\,u^k$ and $l_{ij} = l_{ijk}\,u^k$. Let us note that the real parameters a_{ij} and b_i's do not appear in the Kähler potential, however γ parameter, which has a perturbative origin on the mirror side, does appear in the simplified Kähler potential. Now, the double derivatives of prepotential (5.1) are given as,

$$\mathcal{F}_{00} = 2\,l_{ijk}\,U^i\,U^j\,U^k + i\,\gamma, \quad \mathcal{F}_{0i} = -3\,l_{ijk}\,U^j\,U^k + b_i, \quad \mathcal{F}_{ij} = 6\,l_{ijk}\,U^k + a_{ij}. \tag{5.5}$$

Subsequently, the real and imaginary parts are separated out as under,

$$\begin{aligned}
&Re(\mathcal{F}_{00}) = 2\,l_{ijk}\,v^i\,v^j\,v^k - 6\,l_i\,v^i, && Im(\mathcal{F}_{00}) = 6\,l_{ij}\,v^i\,v^j - 2\,l + \gamma\\
&Re(\mathcal{F}_{0i}) = 3\,l_i - 3\,l_{ijk}\,v^j\,v^k + b_i, && Im(\mathcal{F}_{0i}) = -6\,l_{ij}\,v^j\\
&Re(\mathcal{F}_{ij}) = 6\,l_{ijk}\,v^k + a_{ij}, && Im(\mathcal{F}_{ij}) = 6\,l_{ij}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

Now the various components of the inverse matrix $Im(\mathcal{F}^{\Lambda\Delta})$ are given as under,

$$Im(\mathcal{F}^{00}) = -\frac{1}{(2\,l-\gamma)}, \qquad Im(\mathcal{F}^{0i}) = -\frac{v^i}{(2\,l-\gamma)}, \quad Im(\mathcal{F}^{ij}) = \frac{1}{6}\,l^{ij} - \frac{v^i\,v^j}{(2\,l-\gamma)}\,. \tag{5.7}$$

Using the derivatives of the prepotential in period matrix eqn. (2.27), one gets the following form of the period matrix components,

$$\begin{aligned}
&Re(\mathcal{N}_{00}) = 2\,l_{ijk}\,v^i\,v^j\,v^k - 2\,\beta\,l_i\,v^i, && Im(\mathcal{N}_{00}) = x_0 - x_{ij}\,v^i\,v^j\\
&Re(\mathcal{N}_{0i}) = -3\,l_{ijk}\,v^j\,v^k + b_i + \beta\,l_i, && Im(\mathcal{N}_{0i}) = x_{ij}\,v^j\\
&Re(\mathcal{N}_{ij}) = 6\,l_{ijk}\,v^k + a_{ij}, && Im(\mathcal{N}_{ij}) = -x_{ij}\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

where we have used the following redefinitions,

$$\beta = \left(\frac{9\gamma}{8\,l-\gamma}\right), \quad x_0 = \frac{(4\,l+\gamma)(2\,l-\gamma)}{(8\,l-\gamma)}, \quad x_{ij} = 6\left[l_{ij} - \frac{12\,l_i\,l_j}{(8\,l-\gamma)}\right]. \tag{5.9}$$

Now the various components of the inverse period matrix $Im(\mathcal{N}^{\Lambda\Delta})$ are given as under,

$$Im(\mathcal{N}^{00}) = \frac{1}{x_0}, \qquad Im(\mathcal{N}^{0i}) = \frac{v^i}{x_0}, \qquad Im(\mathcal{N}^{ij}) = \frac{v^i\,v^j}{x_0} - x^{ij} \tag{5.10}$$

where the inverse of x_{ij} turns out to be having the following form,

$$x^{ij} = \tfrac{1}{6}\,l^{ij} - \tfrac{2}{4\,l+\gamma}\,u^i\,u^j \tag{5.11}$$

Here, the inverse quantity l^{ij} is defined from the relation $l^{ij}\,l_j = u^i$. Utilizing the simplifications derived in this section, now one can simplify the complicated expressions of the various

matrices $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}, (\mathcal{L}+\mathcal{M})$ and $\mathcal{S}$ which, being too lengthy, are presented in the appendix C. Moreover, we have also shown in the appendix C that the two main symplectic identities in eqns. (2.32)-(2.33) generically hold. Here we just provide the compact versions of the relevant matrices. For that, we have defined the following quantities, which can be directly read-off from the topological data of the mirror CY threefolds,

$$\begin{aligned}
r_0 &= \left(b_i\, v^i - \beta\, l_i\, v^i - l_{ijk} v^i v^j v^k\right), & \epsilon_i &= \left(b_i + \beta\, l_i\, - 3\, l_{ijk} v^j v^k\right), \\
r_i &= \left(b_i + a_{ij} v^j + \beta\, l_i + 3\, l_{ijk}\, v^j v^k\right), & \eta_{ij} &= \left(a_{ij} + 6\, l_{ijk}\, v^k\right), \\
\phi_0 &= \left(b_i\, v^i + 3\, l_i\, v^i - l_{ijk} v^i v^j v^k\right), & \psi_0 &= \left(l + \gamma + b_i\, u^i - 3\, l_{ij} v^i v^j\right), \\
\phi_i &= \left(b_i + a_{ij} v^j - 3\, l_i + 3\, l_{ijk}\, v^j v^k\right), & \psi_i &= \left(a_{ij}\, u^j + 6\, l_{ij}\, v^j\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\beta = \frac{9\gamma}{8\, l - \gamma}, \qquad x_0 = \frac{(4\, l + \gamma)(2\, l - \gamma)}{(8\, l - \gamma)}, \qquad y_0 = -\frac{2}{(4\, l + \gamma)}\,, \\
&x_{ij} = 6 l_{ij} - \frac{72\, l_i\, l_j}{(8\, l - \gamma)}, \qquad x^{ij} = \frac{1}{6}\, l^{ij} - \frac{2}{4\, l + \gamma}\, u^i\, u^j\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Components of $\mathcal{M}$-matrix :

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\mathbf{i}).\quad \mathcal{M}^{00} = \frac{1}{x_0}, \qquad \mathcal{M}^{0i} = \frac{v^i}{x_0} = \mathcal{M}^{i0}, \qquad \mathcal{M}^{ij} = \frac{v^i\, v^j}{x_0} - x^{ij}\,, \\
&(\mathbf{ii}).\quad \mathcal{M}^0{}_0 = -\frac{r_0}{x_0}, \quad \mathcal{M}^i{}_0 = -\frac{r_0\, v^i}{x_0} + x^{ij}\, \epsilon_j, \quad \mathcal{M}_0{}^i = -\frac{r_i}{x_0}, \quad \mathcal{M}^i{}_j = -\frac{r_j\, v^i}{x_0} + x^{ik}\, \eta_{kj}\,, \\
&(\mathbf{iii}).\quad \mathcal{M}_0{}^0 = \frac{r_0}{x_0}, \quad \mathcal{M}_i{}^0 = \frac{r_i}{x_0}, \quad \mathcal{M}_0{}^i = \frac{r_0\, v^i}{x_0} - x^{ij}\, \epsilon_j, \quad \mathcal{M}_i{}^j = \frac{r_i\, v^j}{x_0} - x^{jk}\, \eta_{ki}\,, \\
&(\mathbf{iv}).\quad \mathcal{M}_{00} = -\frac{r_0^2 + x_0^2}{x_0} + x_{ij}\, v^i\, v^j + \epsilon_i x^{ij} \epsilon_j, \quad \mathcal{M}_{0i} = -\frac{r_0\, r_i}{x_0} - x_{ij}\, v^j + \eta_{ij} x^{jk} \epsilon_k = \mathcal{M}_{i0} \\
&\qquad\qquad \mathcal{M}_{ij} = -\frac{r_i\, r_j}{x_0} + x_{ij} + \eta_{im} x^{mn} \eta_{nj}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

Components of $\mathcal{M}_1 = (\mathcal{L}+\mathcal{M})$-matrix :

Similarly, we can compactly rewrite a new kind of $\mathcal{M}_1$-matrices defined as a sum of $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ matrices as under,

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\mathbf{i}).\quad (\mathcal{M}_1)^{00} = -y_0, \quad (\mathcal{M}_1)^{0i} = -y_0\, v^i = (\mathcal{M}_1)^{i0}, \quad (\mathcal{M}_1)^{ij} = -y_0 \left(u^i\, u^j + v^i\, v^j\right) \\
&(\mathbf{ii}).\quad (\mathcal{M}_1)^0{}_0 = y_0\, \phi_0, \quad (\mathcal{M}_1)^0{}_i = y_0\, \phi_i, \quad (\mathcal{M}_1)^i{}_0 = y_0 \left(\phi_0\, v^i + \psi_0\, u^i\right), \\
&\qquad\qquad (\mathcal{M}_1)^i{}_j = y_0 \left(u^i\, \psi_j + v^i\, \phi_j\right) \\
&(\mathbf{iii}).\quad (\mathcal{M}_1)_0{}^0 = -y_0\, \phi_0, \quad (\mathcal{M}_1)_0{}^i = -y_0 \left(\psi_0\, u^i + \phi_0\, v^i\right), \quad (\mathcal{M}_1)_i{}^0 = -y_0\, \phi_i, \\
&\qquad\qquad (\mathcal{M}_1)_i{}^j = -y_0 \left(v^j\, \phi_i + u^j\, \psi_i\right) \\
&(\mathbf{iv}).\quad (\mathcal{M}_1)_{00} = y_0 \left(\phi_0^2 + \psi_0^2\right), \quad (\mathcal{M}_1)_{0i} = y_0 \left(\phi_0\, \phi_i + \psi_0\, \psi_i\right) = (\mathcal{M}_1)_{i0}, \\
&\qquad\qquad (\mathcal{M}_1)_{ij} = y_0 \left(\phi_i\, \phi_j + \psi_i\, \psi_j\right)\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

Components of $\mathcal{S}$-matrix :

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\mathbf{i}).\quad \mathcal{S}^{00} = 0, \quad \mathcal{S}^{0i} = -y_0\, u^i = -\mathcal{S}^{i0}, \quad \mathcal{S}^{ij} = y_0 \left(u^i\, v^j - v^i\, u^j\right) \\
&(\mathbf{ii}).\quad \mathcal{S}^0{}_0 = -y_0\, \psi_0, \quad \mathcal{S}^0{}_i = -y_0\, \psi_i, \quad \mathcal{S}^i{}_0 = y_0 \left(\phi_0\, u^i - \psi_0\, v^i\right), \quad \mathcal{S}^i{}_j = y_0 \left(u^i\, \phi_j - v^i\, \psi_j\right) \\
&(\mathbf{iii}).\quad \mathcal{S}_0{}^0 = y_0\, \psi_0, \quad \mathcal{S}_0{}^i = y_0 \left(\psi_0\, v^i - \phi_0\, u^i\right), \quad \mathcal{S}_i{}^0 = y_0\, \psi_i, \quad \mathcal{S}_i{}^j = y_0 \left(v^j\, \psi_i - u^j\, \phi_i\right) \\
&(\mathbf{iv}).\quad \mathcal{S}_{00} = 0, \quad \mathcal{S}_{0i} = y_0 \left(\psi_0\, \phi_i - \phi_0\, \psi_i\right) = -\mathcal{S}_{i0}, \quad \mathcal{S}_{ij} = y_0 \left(\psi_i\, \phi_j - \phi_i\, \psi_j\right)\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

Note that the tilde flux-matrices $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^\alpha, \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_\alpha$ and $\tilde{\mho}_a$ as defined in eqn.(3.18) can be further simplified using these compactly written $\mathcal{S}$-matrix components in eqn. (5.15). For example, the electric and magnetic components of $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^\alpha$ which are given as,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^{\alpha\Sigma} = -\left(\mathcal{S}^{\Sigma\Delta}\mathbb{Q}^\alpha{}_\Delta + \mathcal{S}^\Sigma{}_\Delta\mathbb{Q}^{\alpha\Delta}\right), \qquad \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^\alpha{}_\Sigma = +\left(\mathcal{S}_\Sigma{}^\Delta\mathbb{Q}^\alpha{}_\Delta + \mathcal{S}_{\Sigma\Delta}\mathbb{Q}^{\alpha\Delta}\right),$$

are simplified as under,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^{\alpha 0} &= y_0\,\left(u^i\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_i{}^\alpha + \psi_0\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{\alpha 0} + \psi_i\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{\alpha i}\right) \\
\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^{\alpha j} &= y_0\Big[v^j\,\left(u^i\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_i{}^\alpha + \psi_0\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{\alpha 0} + \psi_i\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{\alpha i}\right) - u^j\,\left(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_0{}^\alpha + v^i\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_i{}^\alpha + \phi_0\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{\alpha 0} + \phi_i\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{\alpha i}\right)\Big] \\
\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^\alpha{}_0 &= -y_0\Big[\phi_0\,\left(u^i\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_i{}^\alpha + \psi_0\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{\alpha 0} + \psi_i\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{\alpha i}\right) - \psi_0\,\left(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_0{}^\alpha + v^i\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_i{}^\alpha + \phi_0\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{\alpha 0} + \phi_i\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{\alpha i}\right)\Big] \\
\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^\alpha{}_j &= -y_0\Big[\phi_j\,\left(u^i\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_i{}^\alpha + \psi_0\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{\alpha 0} + \psi_i\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{\alpha i}\right) - \psi_j\,\left(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_0{}^\alpha + v^i\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_i{}^\alpha + \phi_0\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{\alpha 0} + \phi_i\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{\alpha i}\right)\Big].
\end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

Similar components for other tilde fluxes $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_\alpha$ and $\tilde{\mho}_a$ can also be analogously written.

Using these explicit ingredients in eqns. (5.13), (5.14), (5.15) and (5.16), *the collection of scalar potential pieces given in eqn. (3.17) can be explicitly written out in terms of real moduli and axions*, however that results in a quite lengthy collection and therefore has been places as eqn. (D.1) in the appendix D. Moreover, this lengthy collection in eqn. (D.1) suggests for using the following flux combinations which mix not only the volume moduli and RR axions but also the complex structure moduli and their axionic partners,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Theta^0[\mathbb{Y}] = \mathbb{Y}^0, && \Theta_0[\mathbb{Y}] = \mathbb{Y}_0 + v^i\,\mathbb{Y}_i + r_0\,\mathbb{Y}^0 + r_i\,\mathbb{Y}^i\,, \\
&\Theta^i[\mathbb{Y}] = \mathbb{Y}^i - v^i\,\mathbb{Y}^0, && \Theta_i[\mathbb{Y}] = \mathbb{Y}_i + \epsilon_i\,\mathbb{Y}^0 + \eta_{ij}\,\mathbb{Y}^j\,, \\
&\Phi[\mathbb{Y}] = \mathbb{Y}_0 + v^i\,\mathbb{Y}_i + \phi_0\,\mathbb{Y}^0 + \phi_i\,\mathbb{Y}^i, && \Psi[\mathbb{Y}] = u^i\,\mathbb{Y}_i + \psi_0\,\mathbb{Y}^0 + \psi_i\,\mathbb{Y}^i\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.17}$$

where the flux parameters $\mathbb{Y} \in \{\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{H}, \mho_a, \hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\alpha, \hat{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha\}$ have appropriate upper and lower $h^{21}_-(CY)$-indices. Moreover, here we have denoted $\Theta[\mathbb{Y}]$ etc. in such a way so that one could distinguish among various flux orbits; for example, $\Theta^0[\mathbb{H}] = \mathbb{H}^0$ and $\Theta_0[\mathbb{F}] = \mathbb{F}_0 + v^i\,\mathbb{F}_i + r_0\mathbb{F}^0 + r_i\mathbb{F}^i$ etc.

5.2 Scalar potential with explicit dependence on saxions and axions of c.s. moduli

Utilizing the additional simplifications through the collection (D.1) and the flux-redefinitions given in eqn. (5.17), we arrive at the following compact version of the previous scalar potential rearrangement given in eqn. (3.17),

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{\mathbb{F}\mathbb{F}} &= -\frac{1}{4\,s\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\left[\frac{(\Theta_0[\mathbb{F}])^2}{x_0} - \Theta^i[\mathbb{F}]\,x_{ij}\,\Theta^j[\mathbb{F}] - \Theta_i[\mathbb{F}]\,x^{ij}\Theta_j[\mathbb{F}] + x_0\,(\Theta^0[\mathbb{F}])^2\right] \\
V_{\mathbb{H}\mathbb{H}} &= -\frac{s}{4\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\left[\frac{(\Theta_0[\mathbb{H}])^2}{x_0} - \Theta^i[\mathbb{H}]\,x_{ij}\,\Theta^j[\mathbb{H}] - \Theta_i[\mathbb{H}]\,x^{ij}\Theta_j[\mathbb{H}] + x_0\,(\Theta^0[\mathbb{H}])^2\right] \\
V_{\hat{\mathbb{Q}}\hat{\mathbb{Q}}} &= -\frac{1}{4\,s\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\left[\left\{\frac{(\Theta_0[\hat{\mathbb{Q}}])^2}{x_0} - \Theta^i[\hat{\mathbb{Q}}]\,x_{ij}\,\Theta^j[\hat{\mathbb{Q}}] - \Theta_i[\hat{\mathbb{Q}}]\,x^{ij}\Theta_j[\hat{\mathbb{Q}}] + x_0\,(\Theta^0[\hat{\mathbb{Q}}])^2\right\}\right. \\
&\qquad \left. + \left(\frac{1}{4\,l+\gamma}\right)\left(\frac{4\,k_0^2}{9}\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\alpha\beta} - 4\sigma_\alpha\,\sigma_\beta\right)\left\{\Psi[\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\alpha]\,\Psi[\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\beta] + \Phi[\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\alpha]\,\Phi[\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\beta]\right\}\right] \\
V_{\hat{\mathbb{P}}\hat{\mathbb{P}}} &= -\frac{s}{4\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\left[\left\{\frac{(\Theta_0[\hat{\mathbb{P}}])^2}{x_0} - \Theta^i[\hat{\mathbb{P}}]\,x_{ij}\,\Theta^j[\hat{\mathbb{P}}] - \Theta_i[\hat{\mathbb{P}}]\,x^{ij}\Theta_j[\hat{\mathbb{P}}] + x_0\,(\Theta^0[\hat{\mathbb{P}}])^2\right\}\right.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.18}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\left(\frac{1}{4\,l+\gamma}\right)\left(\frac{4\,k_0^2}{9}\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\alpha\beta}-4\sigma_\alpha\,\sigma_\beta\right)\,\Big\{\Psi[\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha]\,\Psi[\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\beta]+\Phi[\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha]\,\Phi[\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\beta]\Big\}\Big]\\
V_{\mho\mho} &= -\frac{1}{4\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\Big[\left(\frac{1}{4\,l+\gamma}\right)\,\mathcal{G}^{ab}\,\Big\{\Psi[\mho_a]\,\Psi[\mho_b]+\Phi[\mho_a]\,\Phi[\mho_b]\Big\}\Big]\\
V_{\mathbb{H}\hat{\mathbb{Q}}} &= +\frac{1}{2\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\Big[\Big\{\frac{\Theta_0[\mathbb{H}]\,\Theta_0[\hat{\mathbb{Q}}]}{x_0}-\Theta^i[\mathbb{H}]\,x_{ij}\,\Theta^j[\hat{\mathbb{Q}}]-\Theta_i[\mathbb{H}]\,x^{ij}\Theta_j[\hat{\mathbb{Q}}]+x_0\,\Theta^0[\mathbb{H}]\,\Theta^0[\hat{\mathbb{Q}}]\Big\}\\
&\quad-\left(\frac{4}{4\,l+\gamma}\right)\,\Big\{\Psi[\mathbb{H}]\,\Psi[\hat{\mathbb{Q}}]+\Phi[\mathbb{H}]\,\Phi[\hat{\mathbb{Q}}]\Big\}\Big]\\
V_{\mathbb{F}\hat{\mathbb{P}}} &= -\frac{1}{2\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\Big[\Big\{\frac{\Theta_0[\mathbb{F}]\,\Theta_0[\hat{\mathbb{P}}]}{x_0}-\Theta^i[\mathbb{F}]\,x_{ij}\,\Theta^j[\hat{\mathbb{P}}]-\Theta_i[\mathbb{F}]\,x^{ij}\Theta_j[\hat{\mathbb{P}}]+x_0\,\Theta^0[\mathbb{F}]\,\Theta^0[\hat{\mathbb{P}}]\Big\}\\
&\quad-\left(\frac{4}{4\,l+\gamma}\right)\,\Big\{\Psi[\mathbb{F}]\,\Psi[\hat{\mathbb{P}}]+\Phi[\mathbb{F}]\,\Phi[\hat{\mathbb{P}}]\Big\}\Big]\\
V_{\hat{\mathbb{P}}\hat{\mathbb{Q}}} &= \frac{1}{2\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\Big[\frac{1}{4}\,\left(\frac{4\,k_0^2}{9}\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\alpha\beta}\,-4\,\sigma_\alpha\sigma_\beta\right)\left(\frac{2}{4\,l+\gamma}\right)\Big\{\Psi[\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\alpha]\,\Phi[\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\beta]-\Psi[\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha]\,\Phi[\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\beta]\\
&\quad+\Phi[\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha]\,\Psi[\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\beta]-\Phi[\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\alpha]\,\Psi[\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\beta]\Big\}\Big]\,,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the new flux combinations defined in eqn. (5.17) involve the quantities which entirely depend on the topological data of the mirror CY as defined in eqn. (5.12).

Summary of the second proposal for the scalar potential

Analogous to the compact symplectic version in eqn. (3.17) and the alternate version in eqn. (3.24), now the scalar potential in eqn. (5.18) can be further, very compactly, rewritten as

$$
\begin{aligned}
V = -\frac{1}{4\,s\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\Bigg[&\sum_{\mathbb{Y}\in\Big\{\mathfrak{F},\,(s\,\mathfrak{H})\Big\}}\left(\frac{(\Theta_0[\mathbb{Y}])^2}{x_0}-\Theta^i[\mathbb{Y}]\,x_{ij}\,\Theta^j[\mathbb{Y}]-\Theta_i[\mathbb{Y}]\,x^{ij}\Theta_j[\mathbb{Y}]+x_0\,(\Theta^0[\mathbb{Y}])^2\right) \qquad (5.19)\\
&+\left(\frac{1}{4\,l+\gamma}\right)\left(\frac{4\,k_0^2}{9}\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\alpha\beta}-4\sigma_\alpha\,\sigma_\beta\right)\,\Big\{\Big(\Phi[\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\alpha]-s\,\Psi[\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha]\Big)\,\Big(\Phi[\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\beta]-s\,\Psi[\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\beta]\Big)\\
&+\Big(\Psi[\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\alpha]+s\,\Phi[\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha]\Big)\,\Big(\Psi[\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\beta]+s\,\Phi[\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\beta]\Big)\Big\}+\left(\frac{1}{4\,l+\gamma}\right)\Big\{s\,\mathcal{G}^{ab}\,\Big(\Psi[\mho_a]\,\Psi[\mho_b]+\Phi[\mho_a]\,\Phi[\mho_b]\Big)\\
&+8\,s\Big(\Psi[\mathbb{H}]\,\Psi[\hat{\mathbb{Q}}]+\Phi[\mathbb{H}]\,\Phi[\hat{\mathbb{Q}}]\Big)-8\,s\Big(\Psi[\mathbb{F}]\,\Psi[\hat{\mathbb{P}}]+\Phi[\mathbb{F}]\,\Phi[\hat{\mathbb{P}}]\Big)\Big\}\Bigg]+V_{tad}\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, the tadpole terms denoted as V_{tad} are to be nullified via adding additional sources, and are given in eqn. (D.2). Further, motivated by the alternate representation of the symplectic formulation given in eqn. (3.24), the flux combinations $\mathbb{Y}$ appearing in the summation of the first line represent $\mathfrak{F}=\mathbb{F}+(s\;\hat{\mathbb{P}})$ and $(s\,\mathfrak{H})=(s\;\mathbb{H})-\;\hat{\mathbb{Q}}$ with appropriate indices. Moreover, all the flux orbits appearing via $\mathbb{Y}$ are defined through eqns. (2.21)-(2.23) while those with are Θ,Φ and Ψ are defined through the eqns. (5.17) and (5.12).

Note that, for an arbitrary non-geometric flux compactification setup, in order to write down the total F-term scalar potential from our proposal in eqn. (5.19) we just need the topological data of the compactifying (CY) threefold and its mirror. The data on the compactifying threefold side help in writing the flux orbits in eqns. (2.21)-(2.23) while data on the mirror threefold side help in expressing the parameters given in eqn. (5.12) to be used for writing Θ,Φ and Ψ which are defined through the eqns. (5.17), and these are all what one needs to express the total F-term scalar potential.

6 Reading off scalar potential via the topological data of CY and its mirror

To demonstrate how one could directly use the generic results in eqn. (5.19) to know the scalar potential just via knowing some topological data on the (CY) threefold and its mirror, let us reconsider our first toroidal model, i.e. Example A[6].

Step 0: Given data

In this example, the relevant data for the untwisted sector of the toroidal orientifold (X) is,

$$\begin{aligned} h^{11}_{+}(X) = 3,\ h^{11}_{-}(X) = 0, \quad h^{21}_{-}(X) = 3,\ h^{21}_{+}(X) = 0, \quad k_{123} = 1,\ \hat{d}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} = \delta^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}\,, \\ \hat{l}_{123} = 1, \quad b_i = 0, \quad a_{ij} = 0, \quad \gamma = 0\,, \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

where the data in the second line corresponds to $\mathcal{F} = U_1\,U_2\,U_3$ which we have used earlier. Also recall that we have set a normalization of 3! for l_{ijk}, and so $l_{123} = \hat{l}_{123}/6 = 1/6$.

Step 1: Checking the counting of fluxes, moduli and axions

The very first implication this data provides is the fact that odd axions G^a, geometric flux ω and the non-geometric R-flux are absent, while there are eight components of F_3/H_3 flux each, along with 24 components for Q/P-fluxes each. These can be denoted as

$$F^0, F^i, F_i, F_0, \quad H^0, H^i, H_i, H_0, \quad \hat{Q}^{\alpha 0}, \hat{Q}^{\alpha i}, \hat{Q}^{\alpha}{}_0, \hat{Q}^{\alpha}{}_i, \quad \hat{P}^{\alpha 0}, \hat{P}^{\alpha i}, \hat{P}^{\alpha}{}_0, \hat{P}^{\alpha}{}_i,\ . \tag{6.2}$$

where $\{i, \alpha\} \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ corresponds to the three c.s. moduli and Kähler moduli each.

Step 2: Writing out the new generalized flux orbits

Now considering the new flux orbits from eqns. (2.21)-(2.23), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{H}^0 = H^0 + \rho_\alpha\,\hat{P}^{\alpha 0}, \quad \mathbb{H}^i = H^i + \rho_\alpha\,\hat{P}^{\alpha i}, \quad \mathbb{H}_i = H_i + \rho_\alpha\,\hat{P}^{\alpha}{}_i, \quad \mathbb{H}_0 = H_0 + \rho_\alpha\,\hat{P}^{\alpha}{}_0 \\ &\mathbb{F}^0 = \left(F^0 + \rho_\alpha\,\hat{Q}^{\alpha 0}\right) + C_0\,\mathbb{H}^0, \quad \mathbb{F}^i = \left(F^i + \rho_\alpha\,\hat{Q}^{\alpha i}\right) + C_0\,\mathbb{H}^i, \\ &\mathbb{F}_i = \left(F_i + \rho_\alpha\,\hat{Q}^{\alpha}{}_i\right) + C_0\,\mathbb{H}_i, \quad \mathbb{F}_0 = \left(F_0 + \rho_\alpha\,\hat{Q}^{\alpha}{}_0\right) + C_0\,\mathbb{H}_0 \\ &\hat{\mathbb{Q}} = \hat{Q} + C_0\,\hat{P}, \qquad \hat{\mathbb{P}} = \hat{P} \qquad \text{with similar appropriate indices.} \end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

Step 3: Writing out the ingredients with complex structure moduli

With a form of the prepotential to be $\mathcal{F} = U_1\,U_2\,U_3$, we find that the complex structure moduli dependent intermediate quantities defined in eqn. (5.12) are reduced into,

$$\begin{aligned} &x_0 = l\ = u_1\,u_2\,u_3, \qquad y_0 = -1/(2\,u_1\,u_2\,u_3), \qquad \beta = 0 = \gamma, \qquad r_0 = -\,v_1\,v_2\,v_3, \\ &\phi_0 = u_1\,u_2\,v_3 + u_1\,v_2\,u_3 + v_1\,u_2\,u_3 - v_1\,v_2\,v_3, \quad \psi_0 = u_1\,u_2\,u_3 - v_1\,v_2\,u_3 - v_1\,u_2\,v_3 - u_1\,v_2\,v_3, \\ &\phi_i = \begin{pmatrix} v_2\,v_3 - u_2\,u_3 \\ v_3\,v_1 - u_3\,u_1 \\ v_1\,v_2 - u_1\,u_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \psi_i = \begin{pmatrix} u_2\,v_3 + v_2\,u_3 \\ u_3\,v_1 + v_3\,u_1 \\ u_1\,v_2 + v_1\,u_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad r_i = \begin{pmatrix} v_2\,v_3 \\ v_3\,v_1 \\ v_1\,v_2 \end{pmatrix} = -\epsilon_i \quad , \\ &\eta_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & v_3 & v_2 \\ v_3 & 0 & v_1 \\ v_2 & v_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{u_2\,u_3}{u_1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{u_1\,u_3}{u_2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{u_1\,u_2}{u_3} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

[6]Recall that, we have already demonstrated the reading off scalar potential pieces for simpler topological data for Example B with no complex structure moduli, which has motivated us for a more general analysis.

Using these simplified relations in eqns. (6.3)-(6.4) along with the flux combinations in eqn. (5.17), we have subsequently verified that the proposed form of the scalar potential given in eqn. (5.19) indeed reproduces all the 9661 terms generated from the Kähler- and super-potentials as given by eqns. (4.9)-(4.10). To conclude, it may be attractive to mention that, for this particular example, we have obtained the same scalar potential having a total of 9661 terms via three different routes [7],

- first one being obtained from the Kähler and super-potentials in eqns. (4.9)-(4.10).
- second one being obtained from 'symplectic proposal' in eqn. (3.17). This has been elaborated in eqn. (4.11).
- third one being directly read off from the final proposal given in eqn. (5.19).

This appears to be quite remarkable !

7 Summary and conclusions

In this article, we have proposed a modular invariant symplectic formulation for the non-geometric scalar potential obtained from a modular completed flux superpotential within the framework of type IIB orientifold compactification. We provide two *additional* ways of representing the total F-term scalar potential arising from a generic Kähler potential (K) and a modular completed version of the flux superpotential (W) involving (non-)geometric fluxes on top of standard NS-NS flux H_3 and RR flux F_3.

In the first proposal, we have presented the scalar potential in a symplectic formulation via utilizing a new set of generalized flux orbits along with some crucial symplectic identities. Motivated by the recent studies in [45, 47, 51, 52], this representation has generalized the results of [42] by including the non-geometric P-flux leading to modular completion of the scalar potential. We have been able to reduce the scalar potential into a form from which their ten-dimensional origin could be invoked. This argument is based on the recent dimensional oxidation proposal on the lines of [42, 45, 47, 51, 52] where one considers all the fluxes as constant parameters. This generalization with P-flux inclusion results in the following form,

$$
\begin{aligned}
V = -\frac{1}{4\,s\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\int_{X_6}\Big[&\mathbb{F}\wedge *\mathbb{F} + s^2\,\mathbb{H}\wedge *\mathbb{H} + \hat{\mathbb{Q}}\wedge *\hat{\mathbb{Q}} + s^2\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}\wedge *\hat{\mathbb{P}} + \frac{s}{4}\,\mathcal{G}^{ab}\,\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_a\wedge *\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_b \\
&+\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{4\,k_0^2}{9}\,\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\alpha\beta} - 4\,\sigma_\alpha\,\sigma_\beta\right)\left(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^\alpha\wedge *\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^\beta + s^2\,\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\alpha\wedge *\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\beta\right) - 2\,s\,\left(\mathbb{H}\wedge *\hat{\mathbb{Q}} - \mathbb{F}\wedge *\hat{\mathbb{P}}\right) \\
&-4\,s\,\left(\mathbb{H}\wedge *\tilde{\mathcal{Q}} - \mathbb{F}\wedge *\tilde{\mathcal{P}}\right) + \frac{1}{4}\times(-2\,s)\left(\frac{4\,k_0^2}{9}\,\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\alpha\beta} - 4\,\sigma_\alpha\,\sigma_\beta\right)\left(\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha\wedge\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^\beta - \hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\alpha\wedge\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\beta\right) \\
&-2\,s\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}\wedge\hat{\mathbb{Q}} + \left(2\,s\,\mathbb{F}\wedge\mathbb{H} - 2\,\mathbb{F}\wedge\hat{\mathbb{Q}} - 2\,s^2\,\mathbb{H}\wedge\hat{\mathbb{P}}\right)\Big]\,,
\end{aligned}
\tag{7.1}
$$

where the various flux-combinations $\{\mathbb{F},\mathbb{H},\hat{\mathbb{Q}},\hat{\mathbb{P}}\}$ are defined in eqns. (2.21)-(2.23) while the other ones $\{\tilde{\mathcal{U}},\tilde{\mathcal{Q}},\tilde{\mathcal{P}}\}$ are defined in eqn. (3.18).

Though our current analysis has not been intended to find a concrete ten-dimensional theory which, after dimensional reduction, could result in the generic modular completed

[7] One may count a fourth route of arriving at the same scalar potential (up to satisfying a subset of NS-NS Bianchi identities) via utilizing the metric of the compactifying toroidal sixfold as shown in [47]. However, the lack of knowledge about CY metric has motivated us to find alternatives via utilizing the period matrices, and the moduli space matrices involving the complex structure moduli and the Kähler moduli.

scalar potential in eqn. (7.1), however on the lines of recent analysis based on the reduction of Double Field Theory (DFT) on CYs without P-flux, it would be interesting to look for a modular completion of the proposal made in [50], and then compare the same with those of ours. For looking at the connections between the approach of [50] and that of ours, see [42].

In the first proposal, all the information about the complex structure moduli have been encoded into the symplectic quantities, and so the full scalar potential was still not explicitly written in terms of real scalars coming from the complex structure moduli. For that purpose, in the second step we have explicitly investigated the saxionic/axionic dependence of complex structure moduli by expanding out the sympletic sector of the first proposal. A summary of the final version of the scalar potential can be presented as under,

$$
\begin{aligned}
V = & -\frac{1}{4\,s\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\Bigg[\sum_{\mathbb{Y}\in\Big\{\mathfrak{F},\,(s\,\mathfrak{H})\Big\}}\left(\frac{(\Theta_0[\mathbb{Y}])^2}{x_0}-\Theta^i[\mathbb{Y}]\,x_{ij}\,\Theta^j[\mathbb{Y}]-\Theta_i[\mathbb{Y}]\,x^{ij}\Theta_j[\mathbb{Y}]+x_0\,(\Theta^0[\mathbb{Y}])^2\right) \qquad (7.2)\\
& +\left(\frac{1}{4\,l+\gamma}\right)\left(\frac{4\,k_0^2}{9}\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\alpha\beta}-4\sigma_\alpha\,\sigma_\beta\right)\Big\{\Big(\Phi[\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\alpha]-s\,\Psi[\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha]\Big)\,\Big(\Phi[\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\beta]-s\,\Psi[\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\beta]\Big)\\
& +\Big(\Psi[\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\alpha]+s\,\Phi[\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha]\Big)\,\Big(\Psi[\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\beta]+s\,\Phi[\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\beta]\Big)\Big\}+\left(\frac{1}{4\,l+\gamma}\right)\Big\{s\,\mathcal{G}^{ab}\Big(\Psi[\mathcal{U}_a]\,\Psi[\mathcal{U}_b]+\Phi[\mathcal{U}_a]\,\Phi[\mathcal{U}_b]\Big)\\
& +8\,s\Big(\Psi[\mathbb{H}]\,\Psi[\hat{\mathbb{Q}}]+\Phi[\mathbb{H}]\,\Phi[\hat{\mathbb{Q}}]\Big)-8\,s\Big(\Psi[\mathbb{F}]\,\Psi[\hat{\mathbb{P}}]+\Phi[\mathbb{F}]\,\Phi[\hat{\mathbb{P}}]\Big)\Big\}\Bigg]+V_{tad}\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

Here flux combinations $\mathbb{Y}$ appearing in the summation of the first line represent $\mathfrak{F}=\mathbb{F}+(s\;\hat{\mathbb{P}})$ and $(s\,\mathfrak{H})=(s\;\mathbb{H})-\;\hat{\mathbb{Q}}$ with appropriate indices. Moreover, all the flux orbits represented via $\mathbb{Y}$ are defined through eqns. (2.21)-(2.23) while those with Θ,Φ and Ψ are defined through the eqns. (5.17) and (5.12). As we have demonstrated, all these combinations can indeed be directly read-off from some topological data of the compactifying (CY) threefolds and their mirrors. It will be interesting to find some fundamental reasons behind the new flux combinations in eqn. (5.17) similar to the previous flux orbits given in eqns. (2.21)-(2.23) which have been motivated by T-duality and S-duality arguments.

In a nutshell, we have expressed the generic tree level F-term non-geometric scalar potential into a very compact form *written explicitly in terms of the real moduli and axions*. Subsequently we have illustrated how the compact form of the generic scalar potential proposed in eqn. (7.2) can be useful for writing down the full scalar potential via merely knowing some topological data of the orientifold. The proposal being valid for a generic Calabi Yau compactification with arbitrary number of complex structure moduli as well as Kähler moduli may help in utilizing the same towards some model independent applications, e.g. for making attempts in moduli stabilization and realization of de-Sitter vacua. We hope to get back to these issues through a detailed investigation in a future work.

Acknowledgments

I am grateful to Michele Cicoli and Fernando Quevedo for their kind support and encouragements. I am very thankful to Michele Cicoli, David Ciupke, Gustavo A. Duran, Francesco Muia and Damian M. Pena for useful discussions. I would like to thank Ralph Blumenhagen for many useful discussions and enlightening learning of the subject during earlier collaborations. I also thank the High Energy Physics group at University of Bologna and INFN-Bologna, Bologna (Italy), for their kind hospitality during short visits to the centers where some parts of this work have been done.

A A summary of various symbols and redefinitions

As we have utilized various distinct symbols for fluxes and moduli at different intermediate stages, let us list them here at one place for the convenience to the readers[8],

Moduli and axions

- The three types of chiral variables τ, G^a and T_α are defined in eqn. (2.9). Here the indices a and α are counted via odd/even Hodge numbers $h^{11}_-(CY)$ and $h^{11}_+(CY)$ respectively.

- The S-duality invariant quantities σ_α and $\tilde{\rho}_\alpha$ are defined below eqn. (2.10) which are, respectively the four-cycle volume moduli and an axionic combination involving C_2, C_4 and B_2 axions. These quantities are utilized for constructing the flux orbits as given in eqn. (2.20) and eqn. (2.22).

- We have also used short hand notations: $k_0 = k_{\alpha\beta\gamma}t^\alpha t^\beta t^\gamma \equiv 6\mathcal{V}_E, k_\alpha = k_{\alpha\beta\gamma}t^\beta t^\gamma, k_{\alpha\beta} = k_{\alpha\beta\gamma}t^\gamma$ and $\hat{k}_{ab} = \hat{k}_{\alpha ab}t^\alpha$. Moreover, moduli space matrices $(\mathcal{G}_{\alpha\beta}, \mathcal{G}_{ab})$ and their respective inverses $(\mathcal{G}^{\alpha\beta}, \mathcal{G}^{ab})$ are defined in eqns. (3.4).

- We have used $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\alpha\beta} = \left((\hat{d}^{-1})_\alpha{}^{\alpha'}\,\mathcal{G}_{\alpha'\beta'}\,(\hat{d}^{-1})_\beta{}^{\beta'}\right)$ and $\hat{\kappa}_{\alpha ab} = (\hat{d}^{-1})_\alpha{}^\beta \hat{k}_{\beta ab}$. Note that, from eqn. (2.2), in case of four-forms being dual to the respective two-forms, these redefinitions will be trivial as $d^b_a = \delta^b_a$ and $\hat{d}^\beta_\alpha = \delta^\beta_\alpha$, e.g. in Example A. However, for Example B, we have $\hat{d}_\alpha{}^\beta = diag\{1/2, -1, 1/4\}$ and $d^a{}_b = diag\{-1, -1/2\}$ (following the notation of [46]), and so this distinction is relevant otherwise we will not end up having the result in eqns. (4.24)-(4.25) needed for matching the scalar potential pieces.

Fluxes and superpotential

- The svarious NS-NS and RR fluxes are denoted as,

$$H \equiv \left(H_\Lambda, H^\Lambda\right),\ \omega \equiv \left(\omega_a{}^\Lambda, \omega_{a\Lambda}, \hat{\omega}_\alpha{}^K, \hat{\omega}_{\alpha K}\right),\ Q \equiv \left(Q^{aK},\, Q^a{}_K,\, \hat{Q}^{\alpha\Lambda},\, \hat{Q}^\alpha{}_\Lambda\right),$$
$$R \equiv \left(R_K, R^K\right),\ F \equiv \left(F_\Lambda, F^\Lambda\right),\quad P \equiv \left(P^{aK},\, P^a{}_K,\, \hat{P}^{\alpha\Lambda},\, \hat{P}^\alpha{}_\Lambda\right). \tag{A.1}$$

- All these fluxes are counted via the various hodge numbers $\Lambda \in \{0, 1, 2, .., h^{21}_-(CY)\}$, $K \in \{1, 2, .., h^{21}_+(CY)\}$, $\alpha \in \{1, 2, .., h^{11}_+(CY)\}$ and $a \in \{1, 2, .., h^{11}_-(CY)\}$. Later on, we split the indices Λ as: $\Lambda = \{0, i\}$ where i's are counted via $h^{21}_-(CY)$.

- Flux components counted via $h^{2,1}_+(CY)$ indices do not appear in our current (F-term) analysis, i.e. fluxes $\{\hat{\omega}_\alpha{}^K, \hat{\omega}_{\alpha K}, Q^{aK},\, Q^a{}_K, P^{aK},\, P^a{}_K\}$ are not relevant in this article.

- Flux combinations denoted with small and bold letters, e.g. $(\mathbf{h^\Lambda}, \mathbf{h_\Lambda}; \omega_{\mathbf{a\Lambda}}, \omega_{\mathbf{a}}{}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}; \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{\alpha\mathbf{\Lambda}}$ etc.) as defined in eqns. (2.22)-(2.23) are motivated by the $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ arguments as seen from their nice transformation structures given in eqns. (2.24)-(2.25). However, these fluxes do not explicitly appear in our final scalar potential rearrangements.

- *The explicit flux combinations which are directly utilized for representing the scalar potential terms* turn out to be the ones denoted by capital letters like $\mathbb{F}_\Lambda, \mathbb{H}_\Lambda, \mathfrak{V}_{a\Lambda}, \hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\alpha{}_\Lambda, \hat{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha{}_\Lambda$ etc. as defined in eqns. (2.21) and (2.23).

[8] We thank the referee for her/his important suggestions to list all the distinct symbols, and for an overall improvement of the presentation of the article.

- If a Q-flux component appears in the scalar potential piece as $\{\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\Lambda, \hat{\mathbb{Q}}_\Lambda\}$, i.e. without an $(1,1)$-cohomology index α, this means that $\{\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\Lambda = \hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{\Lambda\alpha}\sigma_\alpha, \hat{\mathbb{Q}}_\Lambda = \hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\alpha_\Lambda\sigma_\alpha\}$ has been considered, and similar is the case for P-flux components as well. This helps in denoting the non-geometric fluxes in the same way as the standard flux F/H being expanded in the basis of odd-(2,1) forms, namely $\hat{\mathbb{Q}} = \hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\Lambda\mathcal{A}_\Lambda + \hat{\mathbb{Q}}_\Lambda\mathcal{B}^\Lambda$.

- For presenting an alternate compact way of the scalar potential pieces in eqn. (3.24), we have used another flux combination denoted as $(\mathfrak{F}, s\,\mathfrak{H})$ as defined in eqn. (3.20).

- The superpotential involves two symplectic vectors, namely (e_Λ, m^Λ) as defined in eqns. (2.17)- (2.18) and $(\mathcal{X}^\Lambda, \mathcal{F}_\Lambda)$ as defined from the (3,0)-form Ω_3 in eqn. (2.6).

Pre-potential and periods

- It is worth to recall that while $(\mathbb{F}_\Lambda, \mathbb{F}^\Lambda)$ denote the components of generalized new flux orbits for F_3-flux, we denote $(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda, \mathcal{F}_{\Lambda\Sigma})$ as the derivatives of the prepotential denoted as $\mathcal{F}$ and defined in eqn. (2.7) or equivalently in eqn. (5.1).

- The prepotentials in eqns. (2.7) and (5.1) have a slight difference between the mirror triple-intersection numbers as we have $l_{ijk} = \frac{1}{3!}\,\hat{l}_{ijk}$. This is just to avoid the repetitive appearance of the rational pre-factors at many places in the intermediate stages of computations presented in section 5.

- Period vectors $\mathcal{N}_{\Lambda\Delta}$ to be determined by the prepotential derivatives $\mathcal{F}_{\Lambda\Delta}$ are defined in eqn. (2.27). Moreover, the reverse case of $\mathcal{F}_{\Lambda\Delta}$ being also determined via $\mathcal{N}_{\Lambda\Delta}$ corresponds to an analogous relation as given in eqn. (2.30).

Other symplectic quantities

- The set of matrices $\{\mathcal{M}^{\Lambda\Delta}, \mathcal{M}^\Lambda{}_\Delta, \mathcal{M}_\Lambda{}^\Delta, \mathcal{M}_{\Lambda\Delta}\}$, which appear in the definition of Hodge start of odd three-forms $\{\mathcal{A}_\Lambda, \mathcal{B}^\Lambda\}$ as given eqn. (2.28), are defined in eqn. (2.29). Moreover, an analogous set of matrices denoted as $\{\mathcal{L}^{\Lambda\Delta}, \mathcal{L}^\Lambda{}_\Delta, \mathcal{L}_\Lambda{}^\Delta, \mathcal{L}_{\Lambda\Delta}\}$ are defined in eqn. (2.31) via exchanging the role of $\mathcal{N}_{\Lambda\Delta}$ with $\mathcal{F}_{\Lambda\Delta}$ in eqn. (2.29).

- These two sets of matrices $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ are utilized to define a new collection of matrices $\{\mathcal{S}^{\Lambda\Delta}, \mathcal{S}^\Lambda{}_\Delta, \mathcal{S}_\Lambda{}^\Delta, \mathcal{S}_{\Lambda\Delta}\}$ as defined in eqn. (B.2).

- Using these sets of four $\mathcal{S}$ matrices and $\{\mathcal{U}_a, \hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\alpha, \hat{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha\}$, we have defined another set of new flux components with calligraphic letters and tildes, being denoted $\{\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_a, \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^\alpha, \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\alpha\}$ as mentioned in eqn. (3.18).

- Finally, there are only two classes ($\{\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{H}, \mathcal{U}_a, \hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\alpha, \hat{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha\}$ and $\{\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_a, \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^\alpha, \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\alpha\}$) of flux combinations which appear in the final symplectic version of the potential in eqn. (3.17).

Some redefinitions for invoking explicit c.s. moduli dependence

For rewriting the scalar potential pieces using explicit dependencies on the saxionic components (u^i) and the axionic components (v^i) of complex structure moduli as given in eqn. (5.19), we have introduced the following more redefinitions,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Theta^0[\mathbb{Y}] = \mathbb{Y}^0, && \Theta_0[\mathbb{Y}] = \mathbb{Y}_0 + v^i\,\mathbb{Y}_i + r_0\mathbb{Y}^0 + r_i\mathbb{Y}^i\,,\\
&\Theta^i[\mathbb{Y}] = \mathbb{Y}^i - v^i\,\mathbb{Y}^0, && \Theta_i[\mathbb{Y}] = \mathbb{Y}_i + \epsilon_i\,\mathbb{Y}^0 + \eta_{ij}\,\mathbb{Y}^j\,,\\
&\Phi[\mathbb{Y}] = \mathbb{Y}_0 + v^i\,\mathbb{Y}_i + \phi_0\,\mathbb{Y}^0 + \phi_i\,\mathbb{Y}^i, && \Psi[\mathbb{Y}] = u^i\,\mathbb{Y}_i + \psi_0\,\mathbb{Y}^0 + \psi_i\,\mathbb{Y}^i\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

where the flux parameters $\mathbb{Y} \in \{\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{H}, \mathcal{U}_a, \hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\alpha, \hat{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha\}$ have appropriate upper and lower $h^{21}_{-}(CY)$-indices. Moreover, here we have denoted $\Theta[\mathbb{Y}]$ etc. in such a way so that one could distinguish among various flux orbits; for example, $\Theta^0[\mathbb{H}] = \mathbb{H}^0$ and $\Theta_0[\mathbb{F}] = \mathbb{F}_0 + v^i\,\mathbb{F}_i + r_0\mathbb{F}^0 + r_i\mathbb{F}^i$ etc. In addition, we have used the followings,

$$\begin{aligned}
r_0 &= \left(b_i\,v^i - \beta\,l_i\,v^i - l_{ijk}v^iv^jv^k\right), & \epsilon_i &= \left(b_i + \beta\,l_i\; - 3\,l_{ijk}v^jv^k\right) \\
r_i &= \left(b_i + a_{ij}v^j + \beta\,l_i + 3\,l_{ijk}\,v^jv^k\right), & \eta_{ij} &= \left(a_{ij} + 6\,l_{ijk}\,v^k\right). \\
\phi_0 &= \left(b_i\,v^i + 3\,l_i\,v^i - l_{ijk}v^iv^jv^k\right), & \psi_0 &= \left(l + \gamma + b_i\,u^i - 3\,l_{ij}v^iv^j\right) \\
\phi_i &= \left(b_i + a_{ij}v^j - 3\,l_i + 3\,l_{ijk}\,v^jv^k\right), & \psi_i &= \left(a_{ij}\,u^j + 6\,l_{ij}\,v^j\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

$$\beta = \frac{9\gamma}{8\,l-\gamma},\; x_0 = \frac{(4\,l+\gamma)(2\,l-\gamma)}{(8\,l-\gamma)}, \qquad x_{ij} = 6l_{ij} - \frac{72\,l_i\,l_j}{(8\,l-\gamma)},\; x^{ij} = \frac{1}{6}\,l^{ij} - \frac{2}{4\,l+\gamma}\,u^i\,u^j\,,$$

where we have utilized short hand notations: $l = l_{ijk}u^iu^ju^k, l_i = l_{ijk}u^ju^k$ and $l_{ij} = l_{ijk}u^k$.

B Expanded version of the symplectic formulation of the scalar potential

For arriving at a symplectic formulation for the scalar potential collection presented in eqn. (3.16), all we need to do is to replace the quantities $Re(\mathcal{X}^\Lambda\overline{\mathcal{X}}^\Delta), Im(\mathcal{X}^\Lambda\overline{\mathcal{X}}^\Delta)$ etc. into the ingredients which could be written in terms of the symplectic matrices $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ defined in (2.29) and (2.31) respectively. Apart from the relations (2.32)-(2.33), one can further show that the following non-trivial relations holds which will be more directly useful (as in [42]),

$$\begin{aligned}
8\,e^{K_{cs}}Re(\mathcal{X}^\Gamma\,\overline{\mathcal{X}}^\Delta) &= \mathcal{S}^\Gamma{}_\Lambda\left(\mathcal{M}^{\Lambda\Sigma}\mathcal{S}_\Sigma{}^\Delta + \mathcal{M}^\Lambda{}_\Sigma\mathcal{S}^{\Sigma\Delta}\right) - \mathcal{S}^{\Gamma\Lambda}\left(\mathcal{M}_\Lambda{}^\Sigma\mathcal{S}_\Sigma{}^\Delta + \mathcal{M}_{\Lambda\,\Sigma}\mathcal{S}^{\Sigma\Delta}\right) \\
8\,e^{K_{cs}}Re(\mathcal{X}^\Gamma\,\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\Delta) &= \mathcal{S}^\Gamma{}_\Lambda\left(\mathcal{M}^{\Lambda\Sigma}\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma\Delta} + \mathcal{M}^\Lambda{}_\Sigma\mathcal{S}^\Sigma{}_\Delta\right) - \mathcal{S}^{\Gamma\Lambda}\left(\mathcal{M}_\Lambda{}^\Sigma\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma\,\Delta} + \mathcal{M}_{\Lambda\,\Sigma}\mathcal{S}^\Sigma{}_\Delta\right) \\
8\,e^{K_{cs}}Re(\mathcal{F}_\Gamma\,\overline{\mathcal{X}}^\Delta) &= \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma\Lambda}\left(\mathcal{M}^{\Lambda\Sigma}\mathcal{S}_\Sigma{}^\Delta + \mathcal{M}^\Lambda{}_\Sigma\mathcal{S}^{\Sigma\Delta}\right) - \mathcal{S}_\Gamma{}^\Lambda\left(\mathcal{M}_\Lambda{}^\Sigma\mathcal{S}_\Sigma{}^\Delta + \mathcal{M}_{\Lambda\,\Sigma}\mathcal{S}^{\Sigma\Delta}\right) \\
8\,e^{K_{cs}}Re(\mathcal{F}_\Gamma\,\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\Delta) &= \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma\Lambda}\left(\mathcal{M}^{\Lambda\Sigma}\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma\Delta} + \mathcal{M}^\Lambda{}_\Sigma\,\mathcal{S}^\Sigma{}_\Delta\right) - \mathcal{S}_\Gamma{}^\Lambda\left(\mathcal{M}_\Lambda{}^\Sigma\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma\,\Delta} + \mathcal{M}_{\Lambda\,\Sigma}\,\mathcal{S}^\Sigma{}_\Delta\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{B.1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}^{\Lambda\Delta} &= \left(\mathcal{M}^\Lambda{}_\Sigma\,\mathcal{L}^{\Sigma\Delta} + \mathcal{M}^{\Lambda\Sigma}\,\mathcal{L}_\Sigma{}^\Delta\right), & \mathcal{S}_\Lambda{}^\Delta &= \left(\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda\Sigma}\,\mathcal{L}^{\Sigma\Delta} + \mathcal{M}_\Lambda{}^\Sigma\,\mathcal{L}_\Sigma{}^\Delta\right) - \delta_\Lambda{}^\Delta \\
\mathcal{S}^\Lambda{}_\Delta &= -\left(\mathcal{M}^\Lambda{}_\Sigma\,\mathcal{L}^\Sigma{}_\Delta + \mathcal{M}^{\Lambda\Sigma}\,\mathcal{L}_{\Sigma\Delta}\right) + \delta^\Lambda{}_\Delta, & \mathcal{S}_{\Lambda\Delta} &= -\left(\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda\Sigma}\,\mathcal{L}^\Sigma{}_\Delta + \mathcal{M}_\Lambda{}^\Sigma\,\mathcal{L}_{\Sigma\Delta}\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{B.2}$$

Now utilizing the relations given in eqns. (2.32)-(2.33) and (B.1)-(B.2), we can summarize the various pieces of the scalar potential in eqn. (3.16) to be given as,

$$V_{\mathbb{F}\mathbb{F}} = -\frac{1}{4\,s\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\left[\mathbb{F}_\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}^{\Lambda\Delta}\,\mathbb{F}_\Delta - \mathbb{F}_\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}^\Lambda{}_\Delta\,\mathbb{F}^\Delta + \mathbb{F}^\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}_\Lambda{}^\Delta\,\mathbb{F}_\Delta - \mathbb{F}^\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda\Delta}\,\mathbb{F}^\Delta\right] \tag{B.3}$$

$$V_{\mathbb{H}\mathbb{H}} = -\frac{s}{4\;\mathcal{V}_E^2}\left[\mathbb{H}_\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}^{\Lambda\Delta}\,\mathbb{H}_\Delta - \mathbb{H}_\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}^\Lambda{}_\Delta\,\mathbb{H}^\Delta + \mathbb{H}^\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}_\Lambda{}^\Delta\,\mathbb{H}_\Delta - \mathbb{H}^\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda\Delta}\,\mathbb{H}^\Delta\right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{\hat{\mathbb{Q}}\hat{\mathbb{Q}}} = -\frac{1}{4\,s\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\Bigg[&\left(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}^{\Lambda\Delta}\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_\Delta - \hat{\mathbb{Q}}_\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}^\Lambda{}_\Delta\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\Delta + \hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}_\Lambda{}^\Delta\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_\Delta - \hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda\Delta}\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\Delta\right) \\
&+\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{4\,k_0^2}{9}\,\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\alpha\beta} - 4\,\sigma_\alpha\,\sigma_\beta\right)\Bigg(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^\alpha{}_\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}^{\Lambda\Delta}\,\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^\beta{}_\Delta - \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^\alpha{}_\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}^\Lambda{}_\Delta\,\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^{\beta\Delta} \\
&\qquad +\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^{\alpha\Lambda}\,\mathcal{M}_\Lambda{}^\Delta\,\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^\beta{}_\Delta - \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^{\alpha\Lambda}\,\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda\Delta}\,\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^{\beta\Delta}\Bigg)\Bigg]
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{\hat{\mathbb{P}}\hat{\mathbb{P}}} &= -\frac{s}{4\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\Big[\Big(\hat{\mathbb{P}}_\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}^{\Lambda\Delta}\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}_\Delta - \hat{\mathbb{P}}_\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}^{\Lambda}{}_{\Delta}\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\Delta + \hat{\mathbb{P}}^\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda}{}^{\Delta}\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}_\Delta - \hat{\mathbb{P}}^\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda\Delta}\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\Delta\Big) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{4\,k_0^2}{9}\,\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\alpha\beta} - 4\,\sigma_\alpha\,\sigma_\beta\right)\Big(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\alpha{}_\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}^{\Lambda\Delta}\,\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\beta{}_\Delta - \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\alpha{}_\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}^{\Lambda}{}_{\Delta}\,\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{\beta\Delta} \\
&\qquad\qquad + \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{\alpha\Lambda}\,\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda}{}^{\Delta}\,\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\beta{}_\Delta - \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{\alpha\Lambda}\,\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda\Delta}\,\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{\beta\Delta}\Big)\Big] \\
V_{\mathbb{H}\hat{\mathbb{Q}}} &= -\frac{1}{4\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\Big[(-2)\,\Big\{\Big(\mathbb{H}_\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}^{\Lambda\Delta}\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_\Delta - \mathbb{H}_\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}^{\Lambda}{}_{\Delta}\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\Delta + \mathbb{H}^\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda}{}^{\Delta}\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_\Delta - \mathbb{H}^\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda\Delta}\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\Delta\Big) \\
&\qquad + 2\,\Big(\mathbb{H}_\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}^{\Lambda\Delta}\,\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_\Delta - \mathbb{H}_\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}^{\Lambda}{}_{\Delta}\,\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^\Delta + \mathbb{H}^\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda}{}^{\Delta}\,\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_\Delta - \mathbb{H}^\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda\Delta}\,\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^\Delta\Big)\Big\}\Big] \\
V_{\mathbb{F}\hat{\mathbb{P}}} &= -\frac{1}{4\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\Big[(+2)\,\Big\{\Big(\mathbb{F}_\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}^{\Lambda\Delta}\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}_\Delta - \mathbb{F}_\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}^{\Lambda}{}_{\Delta}\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\Delta + \mathbb{F}^\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda}{}^{\Delta}\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}_\Delta - \mathbb{F}^\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda\Delta}\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\Delta\Big) \\
&\qquad + 2\,\Big(\mathbb{F}_\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}^{\Lambda\Delta}\,\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_\Delta - \mathbb{F}_\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}^{\Lambda}{}_{\Delta}\,\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\Delta + \mathbb{F}^\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda}{}^{\Delta}\,\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_\Delta - \mathbb{F}^\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda\Delta}\,\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\Delta\Big)\Big\}\Big] \\
V_{\mho\mho} &= -\frac{1}{4\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\left[\frac{\mathcal{G}^{ab}}{4}\,\Big(\tilde{\mho}_{a\Lambda}\,\mathcal{M}^{\Lambda\Delta}\,\tilde{\mho}_{b\Delta} - \tilde{\mho}_{a\Lambda}\,\mathcal{M}^{\Lambda}{}_{\Delta}\,\tilde{\mho}_b{}^\Delta + \tilde{\mho}_a{}^\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda}{}^{\Delta}\,\tilde{\mho}_{b\Delta} - \tilde{\mho}_a{}^\Lambda\,\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda\Delta}\,\tilde{\mho}_b{}^\Delta\Big)\right] \\
V_{\hat{\mathbb{P}}\hat{\mathbb{Q}}} &= -\frac{1}{4\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\Big[(-2)\,\Big\{\Big(\hat{\mathbb{P}}_\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\Lambda - \hat{\mathbb{P}}^\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_\Lambda\Big) + \frac{1}{4}\,\left(\frac{4\,k_0^2}{9}\,\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\alpha\beta} - 4\,\sigma_\alpha\,\sigma_\beta\right) \\
&\qquad\qquad \times\Big(\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha{}_\Lambda\,\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^{\beta\Lambda} + \hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{\alpha\Lambda}\,\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\beta{}_\Lambda - \hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\alpha{}_\Lambda\,\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{\beta\Lambda} - \hat{\mathbb{P}}^{\alpha\Lambda}\,\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^\beta{}_\Lambda\Big)\Big\}\Big] \\
V_{\mathbb{F}\mathbb{H}} &= -\frac{1}{4\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\Big[(+2)\;\;\Big(\mathbb{F}_\Lambda\,\mathbb{H}^\Lambda - \mathbb{F}^\Lambda\,\mathbb{H}_\Lambda\Big)\Big] \\
V_{\mathbb{F}\hat{\mathbb{Q}}} &= -\frac{1}{4\,s\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\Big[(-2)\,\Big(\mathbb{F}_\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\Lambda - \mathbb{F}^\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_\Lambda\Big)\Big] \\
V_{\mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}} &= -\frac{s}{4\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\Big[(-2)\,\Big(\mathbb{H}_\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\Lambda - \mathbb{H}^\Lambda\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}_\Lambda\Big)\Big]
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have defined $\tilde{\mho}_a$, $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^\alpha$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\alpha$ as in eqn. (3.18). The good thing about the symplectic rearrangement in eqn. (B.3), via introducing symplectic matrices $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{S}$, is the fact that now one can express various pieces either as $\mathcal{O}_1 \wedge *\mathcal{O}_2$ or $\mathcal{O}_1 \wedge \mathcal{O}_2$ form. This has further helped to rewrite this huge collection, given in eqn. (B.3), in a couple of lines as presented in eqn. (3.17).

Let us emphasize here that although a naive looking at the various pieces of this collection in eqn. (B.3) gives an impression that they are very similar to those of [42] upto the places where P-flux is explicit seen to be present, however this is not true because as mentioned before, the crucial superpotential quantities e_Λ and m^Λ are internally very different after the inclusion of P-fluxes, which have also changed each of the similar looking flux orbits with the appearance of P-flux. Moreover, the searching/clubbing of various pieces together gets much more tedious, but it is the beauty of our new S-duality respecting flux-orbits which guides us throughout to help in collecting things in such a way that the overall symplectic structures within analogous pieces look quite similar to those of the case without P-flux !

C Proof of the crucial symplectic relations for generic prepotentials

C.1 Verifying the peculiar symplectic relation given in eqn. (2.30)

Using the results in eqns. (5.2)-(5.11), let us quickly verify the following interesting symplectic relation (which was given as eqn. (2.30),

$$\mathcal{F}_{\Lambda\Delta} = \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\Lambda\Delta} + 2\,i\,\frac{Im(\mathcal{N}_{\Lambda\Gamma})\,\mathcal{X}^{\Gamma}X^{\Sigma}\,(Im\mathcal{N}_{\Sigma\Delta})}{Im(\mathcal{N}_{\Gamma\Sigma})\mathcal{X}^{\Gamma}X^{\Sigma}}$$

Note that the same holds true in a very analogous manner as to the period matrices in eqn. (2.27) which are written in terms of derivatives of the prepotential $\mathcal{F}$. One can show that the denominator of the second piece turns out to be,

$$Im(\mathcal{N}_{\Gamma\Sigma})\mathcal{X}^{\Gamma}X^{\Sigma} = \left(x_0 + x_{ij}\,u^i\,u^j\right)\,, \tag{C.1}$$

and also one has,

$$\begin{aligned}
&Im(\mathcal{N}_{0\Gamma})\,\mathcal{X}^{\Gamma}X^{\Sigma}\,(Im\mathcal{N}_{\Sigma 0}) = \left(x_0 + i\,x_{ij}\,u^i\,v^j\right)^2\\
&Im(\mathcal{N}_{0\Gamma})\,\mathcal{X}^{\Gamma}X^{\Sigma}\,(Im\mathcal{N}_{\Sigma i}) = -i\,(x_{ij}\,u^j)\,\left(x_0 + i\,x_{i'j'}\,u^{i'}\,v^{j'}\right)\\
&Im(\mathcal{N}_{i\Gamma})\,\mathcal{X}^{\Gamma}X^{\Sigma}\,(Im\mathcal{N}_{\Sigma j}) = -(x_{ii'}\,u^{i'})\,(x_{jj'}\,u^{j'})
\end{aligned} \tag{C.2}$$

Now using

$$x_0 = \frac{(4\,l+\gamma)(2\,l-\gamma)}{(8\,l-\gamma)},\quad x_{ij} = 6\left[l_{ij} - \frac{12\,l_i\,l_j}{(8\,l-\gamma)}\right],\quad x^{ij} = \frac{1}{6}\,l^{ij} - \frac{2}{4\,l+\gamma}\,u^i\,u^j\,, \tag{C.3}$$

one can show that following relations hold,

$$\begin{aligned}
x_{ij}\,u^j &= -\frac{6\,l_i\,(4\,l+\gamma)}{(8\,l-\gamma)},\\
x_{ij}\,u^i\,u^j &= -\frac{6\,l\,(4\,l+\gamma)}{(8\,l-\gamma)},\\
x_{ij}\,u^i\,v^j &= -\frac{6\,(l_i\,v^i)\,(4\,l+\gamma)}{(8\,l-\gamma)},\\
x_{ij}\,v^i\,v^j &= 6\,(l_{ij}\,v^iv^j) - \frac{72\,(l_i\,v^i)^2}{(8\,l-\gamma)}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{C.4}$$

Using these relations, we find that the various components of the RHS of eqn. (2.30) are the same as those of respective LHS terms as we see below,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{00} + 2\,i\,\frac{Im(\mathcal{N}_{0\Gamma})\,\mathcal{X}^{\Gamma}X^{\Sigma}\,(Im\mathcal{N}_{\Sigma 0})}{Im(\mathcal{N}_{\Gamma\Sigma})\mathcal{X}^{\Gamma}X^{\Sigma}} = \left(2\,l_{ijk}\,v^i\,v^j\,v^k - 6\,l_i\,v^i\right) + i\,\left(6\,l_{ij}\,v^i\,v^j - 2\,l + \gamma\right) \equiv \mathcal{F}_{00}\\
&\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{0i} + 2\,i\,\frac{Im(\mathcal{N}_{0\Gamma})\,\mathcal{X}^{\Gamma}X^{\Sigma}\,(Im\mathcal{N}_{\Sigma i})}{Im(\mathcal{N}_{\Gamma\Sigma})\mathcal{X}^{\Gamma}X^{\Sigma}} = \left(3\,l_i - 3\,l_{ijk}\,v^j\,v^k + b_i\right) + i\,\left(-6\,l_{ij}\,v^j\right) \equiv \mathcal{F}_{0i}\\
&\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{ij} + 2\,i\,\frac{Im(\mathcal{N}_{i\Gamma})\,\mathcal{X}^{\Gamma}X^{\Sigma}\,(Im\mathcal{N}_{\Sigma j})}{Im(\mathcal{N}_{\Gamma\Sigma})\mathcal{X}^{\Gamma}X^{\Sigma}} = \left(6\,l_{ijk}\,v^k + a_{ij}\right) + i\,(6\,l_{ij}) \equiv \mathcal{F}_{ij}\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{C.5}$$

where a simplification with $Im(\mathcal{N}_{\Gamma\Sigma})\mathcal{X}^{\Gamma}X^{\Sigma} = -\frac{(4\,l+\gamma)^2}{(8\,l-\gamma)}$ have been utilized. This completes the proof of the symplectic relation in eqn. (2.30).

C.2 Verifying the other non-trivial symplectic identities given in eqns. (2.32)-(2.33)

Now the main goal is to prove the useful symplectic relations given in eqns. (2.32)-(2.33). Note that the first set of identities given in eqn. (2.32) has been the most crucial one for the symplectic rearrangement in [42], and after verifying these identities for $h^{2,1}_{-}(CY) \in \{0,1,2,3\}$, the same were conjectured to be true for arbitrary number of complex structure moduli, which now we prove to be generically true. For that we have to first collect the intermediate quantities, like $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ matrices obtained by using the results of eqn. (5.2)-(5.11) in their respective definitions.

Explicit expressions for $\mathcal{L}$-matrices using eqn. (2.31)

(**i**). $\mathcal{L}^{\mathbf{\Lambda\Sigma}}$:

$$\mathcal{L}^{00} = -\frac{1}{(2\,l-\gamma)}, \quad \mathcal{L}^{0i} = -\frac{v^i}{(2\,l-\gamma)} \equiv \mathcal{L}^{i0}, \quad \mathcal{L}^{ij} = \frac{1}{6}\,l^{ij} - \frac{v^i\,v^j}{(2\,l-\gamma)} \tag{C.6}$$

(**ii**). $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}}{}^{\mathbf{\Sigma}}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_0{}^0 &= -\frac{\left(b_i\,v^i - 3\,l_i\,v^i - l_{ijk}\,v^i\,v^j\,v^k\right)}{(2\,l-\gamma)}, \\
\mathcal{L}_i{}^0 &= -\frac{\left(3\,l_i + 3\,l_{ijk}\,v^j\,v^k + a_{ij}\,v^j + b_i\right)}{(2\,l-\gamma)}, \\
\mathcal{L}_0{}^i &= -\frac{v^i\,\left(b_j\,v^j - l_{i'j'k'}\,v^{i'}\,v^{j'}\,v^{k'} - 3\,l_j\,v^j\right)}{(2\,l-\gamma)} + \left(\frac{u^i}{2} - \frac{l^{ij}\,l_{jkp}\,v^k\,v^p}{2} + \frac{1}{6}\,b_j\,l^{ji}\right) \\
\mathcal{L}_i{}^j &= -\frac{\left(3\,l_{ij'k'}\,v^{j'}\,v^{k'}\,v^j + 3\,l_i\,v^j + b_i\,v^j + a_{ik}\,v^k\,v^j\right)}{(2\,l-\gamma)} + l_{ikp}\,v^p\,l^{kj} + \frac{1}{6}a_{ik}\,l^{kj},
\end{aligned} \tag{C.7}$$

(**iii**). $\mathcal{L}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}{}_{\mathbf{\Sigma}}$: $\quad \mathcal{L}^{\Lambda}{}_{\Sigma} = -\left(\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda}{}^{\Sigma}\right)^T$ (C.8)

(**iv**). $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{\Lambda\Sigma}}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{00} &= \frac{\left(b_i v^i - l_{ijk}\,v^i v^j v^k - 3\,l_i v^i\right)^2}{(2\,l-\gamma)} + \left(2\,l - \gamma - 6\,l_{ij}\,v^i v^j\right) \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{6}\left(b_i - 3\,l_{ij'k'}\,v^{j'}v^{k'} + 3\,l_i\right)\,l^{ij}\,\left(b_j - 3\,l_{jmn}\,v^m v^n + 3\,l_j\right) \\
\mathcal{L}_{0i} &= \frac{\left(b_i + a_{im}\,v^m + 3\,l_{imn}\,v^m v^n + 3\,l_i\right)\,\left(b_j v^j - l_{jj'k'}\,v^j v^{j'} v^{k'} - 3\,l_j v^j\right)}{(2\,l-\gamma)} + 6\,l_{ij}\,v^j \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{6}\,\left(6\,l_{imm'}v^{m'} + a_{im}\right)\,l^{mn}\,\left(b_n - 3\,l_{nj'k'}\,v^{j'}v^{k'} + 3\,l_n\right) \equiv \mathcal{L}_{i0} \\
\mathcal{L}_{ij} &= \frac{\left(b_i + a_{im}\,v^m + 3\,l_{imn}\,v^m v^n + 3\,l_i\right)\,\left(b_j + a_{jm'}\,v^{m'} + 3\,l_{jm'n'}\,v^{m'}v^{n'} + 3\,l_j\right)}{(2\,l-\gamma)} \\
&\quad -6\,l_{ij} - \frac{1}{6}\,\left(6\,l_{imm'}v^{m'} + a_{im}\right)\,l^{mn}\,\left(6\,l_{jnn'}v^{n'} + a_{jn}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{C.9}$$

Explicit expressions for $\mathcal{M}$-matrices using eqn. (2.29)

(**i**). $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbf{\Lambda\Sigma}}$:

$$\mathcal{M}^{00} = \frac{1}{x_0}, \qquad \mathcal{M}^{0i} = \frac{v^i}{x_0} \equiv \mathcal{M}^{i0}, \qquad \mathcal{M}^{ij} = \frac{v^i\, v^j}{x_0} - x^{ij}\,, \tag{C.10}$$

(**ii**). $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}}{}^{\mathbf{\Sigma}}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_0{}^0 &= \frac{\left(b_i\, v^i - l_{ijk}\, v^i\, v^j\, v^k - \beta\; l_i\, v^i\right)}{x_0}, \\
\mathcal{M}_i{}^0 &= \frac{\left(b_i + a_{ij}\, v^j + 3\left(l_{ijk}\, v^j\, v^k\right) + \beta\; l_i\right)}{x_0}, \\
\mathcal{M}_0{}^i &= \frac{v^i\;\left(b_j\, v^j - l_{i'j'k'}\, v^{i'}\, v^{j'}\, v^{k'} - \beta\; l_i\, v^i\right)}{x_0} \;- x^{ij}\Big[\beta\; l_j - 3\, l_{jpq}\, v^p\, v^q) + b_j\Big], \\
\mathcal{M}_i{}^j &= \frac{\left(3\, l_{ij'k'}\, v^{j'}\, v^{k'} \;+ \beta\, l_i + b_i + a_{ik}\, v^k\right)\; v^j}{x_0} \;- \Big[(6 l_{ikp}\, v^p + a_{ik})\; x^{jk}\Big]\,;
\end{aligned} \tag{C.11}$$

(**iii**). $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}{}_{\mathbf{\Sigma}}$: $\qquad \mathcal{M}^{\Lambda}{}_{\Sigma} = -\left(\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda}{}^{\Sigma}\right)^T$ (C.12)

(**iv**). $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{\Lambda\Sigma}}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_{00} &= -\left(x_0 - x_{ij}\, v^i v^j\right) - \frac{\left(b_i\, v^i - l_{ijk}\, v^i v^j v^k - \beta\, l_i\, v^i\right)^2}{x_0} \\
&\quad + (b_i \,- 3\, l_{imn}\, v^m v^n + \beta\, l_i\,)\; x^{ij}\; (b_j \,- 3\, l_{jmn}\, v^m v^n + \beta\, l_j\,) \\
\mathcal{M}_{0i} &= -\frac{(b_i + a_{im}\, v^m + 3\, l_{imn}\, v^m v^n + \beta\, l_i)\;\left(b_j\, v^j - l_{jj'k'}\, v^j v^{j'} v^{k'} - \beta\, l_j\, v^j\right)}{x_0} \\
&\quad -x_{ij}\, v^j + \Big(6\, l_{imm'} v^{m'} + a_{im}\Big)\; x^{mn}\; \Big(b_n \,- 3\, l_{nm'n'}\, v^{m'} v^{n'} + \beta\, l_n\Big) \equiv \mathcal{M}_{i0} \\
\mathcal{M}_{ij} &= -\frac{(b_i + a_{im}\, v^m + 3\, l_{imn}\, v^m v^n + \beta\, l_i)\;\left(b_j + a_{jm'}\, v^{m'} + 3\, l_{jm'n'}\, v^{m'} v^{n'} + \beta\, l_j\right)}{x_0} \\
&\quad + x_{ij} \;+ \Big(6\, l_{imm'} v^{m'} + a_{im}\Big)\; x^{mn}\; \Big(6\, l_{jnn'} v^{n'} + a_{jn}\Big)\;,
\end{aligned} \tag{C.13}$$

where $\beta = \left(\frac{9\gamma}{8\, l - \gamma}\right)$ have been used.

Explicit expressions for $\mathcal{M}_1$-matrices defined as $\mathcal{M}_1 = (\mathcal{M} + \mathcal{L})$

For proving the symplectic identities in eqn. (2.32), let us simplify the various components of a new matrix $\mathcal{M}_1 = \mathcal{M} + \mathcal{L}$. Using sets of eqns. (C.6)-(C.9) and eqns. (C.10)-(C.13), the same are given as under,

(**i**). $(\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{1}})^{\mathbf{\Lambda\Sigma}}$:

$$(\mathcal{M}_1)^{00} = \frac{2}{(4l + \gamma)}, \quad (\mathcal{M}_1)^{0i} = \frac{2v^i}{(4l + \gamma)} \equiv (\mathcal{M}_1)^{i0}\,, \quad (\mathcal{M}_1)^{ij} = \frac{2\,(v^i v^j + u^i u^j)}{(4l + \gamma)} \tag{C.14}$$

(ii). $(\mathcal{M}_1)_{\mathbf{\Lambda}}{}^{\mathbf{\Sigma}}$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{M}_1)_0{}^0 &= \frac{2\left(b_i\, v^i - l_{ijk}\, v^i\, v^j\, v^k + 3\, l_i\, v^i\right)}{(4\, l + \gamma)}, \\
(\mathcal{M}_1)_i{}^0 &= \frac{2\left(b_i + a_{ij}\, v^j - 3\, l_i + 3\, l_{ijk}\, v^j\, v^k\right)}{(4\, l + \gamma)}, \\
(\mathcal{M}_1)_0{}^i &= \frac{2\big[v^i\, \left(b_j\, v^j - l_{i'j'k'}\, v^{i'}\, v^{j'}\, v^{k'} + 3 l_j\, v^j\right) + u^i\, (l + \gamma + b_j\, u^j - 3 l_{mn} v^m v^n)\big]}{(4\, l + \gamma)}, \\
(\mathcal{M}_1)_i{}^j &= \frac{2\big[\left(b_i + a_{im} v^m - 3\, l_i + 3\, l_{ij'k'}\, v^{j'}\, v^{k'}\right) v^j\, + (6\, l_{im}\, v^m + a_{im}\, u^m)\, u^j\big]}{(4\, l + \gamma)},
\end{aligned}
\tag{C.15}
$$

(iii). $(\mathcal{M}_1)^{\mathbf{\Lambda}}{}_{\mathbf{\Sigma}}$:

$$
(\mathcal{M}_1)^{\Lambda}{}_{\Sigma} = -\left((\mathcal{M}_1)_{\Lambda}{}^{\Sigma}\right)^T \tag{C.16}
$$

(iv). $(\mathcal{M}_1)_{\mathbf{\Lambda\Sigma}}$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{M}_1)_{00} &= -\frac{2}{(4\, l + \gamma)}\left[\left(b_i\, v^i + 3\, l_i v^i - l_{ijk} v^i v^j v^k\right)^2 + \left(l + \gamma + b_j u^j - 3 l_{mn} v^m v^n\right)^2\right] \\
(\mathcal{M}_1)_{0i} &= -\frac{2}{(4\, l + \gamma)}\Big[\left(b_n\, v^n + 3\, l_n v^n - l_{njk} v^n v^j v^k\right)\left(b_i + a_{im} v^m - 3\, l_i + 3\, l_{imn} v^m v^n\right) \\
&\qquad + \left(a_{im} u^m + 6\, l_{im} v^m\right)\left(l + \gamma + b_j u^j - 3 l_{mn} v^m v^n\right)\Big] \equiv (\mathcal{M}_1)_{i0} \\
(\mathcal{M}_1)_{ij} &= -\frac{2}{(4\, l + \gamma)}\Big[\left(b_i + a_{im} v^m - 3\, l_i + 3\, l_{imn} v^m v^n\right)\left(b_j + a_{jp} v^p - 3\, l_j + 3\, l_{jpq} v^p v^q\right) \\
&\qquad + \left(a_{im} u^m + 6\, l_{im} v^m\right)\left(a_{jn} u^n + 6\, l_{jn} v^n\right)\Big].
\end{aligned}
\tag{C.17}
$$

Verifying the symplectic identities in eqn. (2.32)

Now using the fact that $e^{-K_{cs}} = -2(4\, l + \gamma)$ and expanding the left hand sides of identities in eqn. (2.32), we find that the right hand sides are exactly what we expect from the explicit expressions of $\mathcal{M}_1$ matrices as presented in eqns. (C.14)-(C.17). For example, if we consider the simplest case as being the first relation in eqn. (2.32), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
Re(X^0\, \overline{X}^0) = 1, \qquad & Re(X^0\, \overline{X}^i) = v^i, \qquad Re(X^i\, \overline{X}^j) = \left(v^i v^j + u^i u^j\right), \\
\Longrightarrow \qquad & Re(X^\Lambda \overline{X}^\Delta) = -\frac{1}{4}\, e^{-K_{cs}}\, (\mathcal{M}_1)^{\Lambda\Delta}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{C.18}
$$

Similarly for the LHS of the second set of identities in eqn. (2.32), we find that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
Re(F_0\, \overline{X}^0) &= Re(F_0) = \left(b_i\, v^i - l_{ijk}\, v^i\, v^j\, v^k + 3\, l_i\, v^i\right), \\
Re(F_i\, \overline{X}^0) &= Re(F_i) = \left(b_i + a_{ij}\, v^j - 3\, l_i + 3\, l_{ijk}\, v^j\, v^k\right), \\
Re(F_0\, \overline{X}^i) &= v^i\, Re(F_0) + u^i Im(F_0) \\
&= v^i\, \left(b_j\, v^j - l_{i'j'k'}\, v^{i'}\, v^{j'}\, v^{k'} + 3 l_j\, v^j\right) + u^i\, \left(l + \gamma + b_j\, u^j - 3 l_{mn} v^m v^n\right), \\
Re(F_i\, \overline{X}^j) &= Re(F_i)\, v^j + Im(F_i)\, u^j \\
&= \left(b_i + a_{im} v^m - 3\, l_i + 3\, l_{ij'k'}\, v^{j'}\, v^{k'}\right) v^j\, + (6\, l_{im}\, v^m + a_{im}\, u^m)\, u^j,
\end{aligned}
\tag{C.19}
$$

Considering eqn. (C.19) along with the explicit expressions of $\mathcal{M}_1$ matrices as presented in eqns. (C.15), it is straight to observe now, that the following relation holds,

$$Re(F_\Lambda \overline{X}^\Delta) = -\frac{1}{4}\, e^{-K_{cs}}\, (\mathcal{M}_1)_\Lambda{}^\Delta. \tag{C.20}$$

On the similar lines, the other two sets of symplectic identities of eqn. (2.32) can also be cross-checked component-by-component, and is found to hold generically. This completes the proof of symplectic identities in eqn. (2.32).

Verifying the symplectic identities in eqn. (2.33)

For proving the second class of relevant identities in eqn. (2.33) let us consider the various components of the factor $\left(\mathcal{M}^\Lambda{}_\Sigma\, \mathcal{L}^{\Sigma\Delta} + \mathcal{M}^{\Lambda\Sigma}\, \mathcal{L}_\Sigma{}^\Delta\right)$, for which we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\frac{1}{4}\, e^{-K_{cs}}\right)\, \left(\mathcal{M}^0{}_\Sigma\, \mathcal{L}^{\Sigma 0} + \mathcal{M}^{0\Sigma}\, \mathcal{L}_\Sigma{}^0\right) = \frac{1}{4}\left[-2(4\,l+\gamma)\right] \times 0 = 0, \qquad \text{(C.21)}\\
&\left(\frac{1}{4}\, e^{-K_{cs}}\right)\, \left(\mathcal{M}^0{}_\Sigma\, \mathcal{L}^{\Sigma i} + \mathcal{M}^{0\Sigma}\, \mathcal{L}_\Sigma{}^i\right) = \frac{1}{4}\left[-2(4\,l+\gamma)\right] \times \left(\frac{2\,u^i}{(4\,l+\gamma)}\right) = -u^i\\
&\left(\frac{1}{4}\, e^{-K_{cs}}\right)\, \left(\mathcal{M}^i{}_\Sigma\, \mathcal{L}^{\Sigma j} + \mathcal{M}^{i\Sigma}\, \mathcal{L}_\Sigma{}^j\right) = \frac{1}{4}\left[-2(4\,l+\gamma)\right] \times \left(\frac{2(v^i\,u^j - u^i\,v^j)}{4\,l+\gamma}\right) = u^i\,v^j - v^i\,u^j
\end{aligned}$$

Now it is straight forward to observe that these three relations verify the first identity of eqn. (2.33) which is,

$$Im(\mathcal{X}^\Lambda\, \overline{\mathcal{X}}^\Delta) = \frac{1}{4}\, e^{-K_{cs}} \left[\left(\mathcal{M}^\Lambda{}_\Sigma\, \mathcal{L}^{\Sigma\Delta} + \mathcal{M}^{\Lambda\Sigma}\, \mathcal{L}_\Sigma{}^\Delta\right)\right]$$

Similarly the other relations of (2.33) can also be explicitly checked by using the collection of various intermediate symplectic quantities we have earlier derived.

D Expanded version of the explicit c.s. moduli dependent scalar potential

Utilizing the compact expressions of $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{S}$-matrices given in eqns. (5.13) and (5.15), the various pieces of the scalar potential (3.16) can be rewritten as under,

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{\mathbb{F}\mathbb{F}} = &-\frac{1}{4\,s\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\Bigg[\frac{\left(\mathbb{F}_0 + v^i\,\mathbb{F}_i + r_0\,\mathbb{F}^0 + r_i\,\mathbb{F}^i\right)^2}{x_0} - \left(\mathbb{F}^i - v^i\,\mathbb{F}^0\right)\, x_{ij}\, \left(\mathbb{F}^j - v^j\,\mathbb{F}^0\right) \qquad \text{(D.1)}\\
&- \left(\mathbb{F}_i + \epsilon_i\,\mathbb{F}^0 + \eta_{im}\,\mathbb{F}^m\right)\, x^{ij}\, \left(\mathbb{F}_j + \epsilon_j\,\mathbb{F}^0 + \eta_{jm}\,\mathbb{F}^m\right) + x_0\,(\mathbb{F}^0)^2\Bigg]\\
V_{\mathbb{H}\mathbb{H}} = &-\frac{s}{4\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\Bigg[\frac{\left(\mathbb{H}_0 + v^i\,\mathbb{H}_i + r_0\,\mathbb{H}^0 + r_i\,\mathbb{H}^i\right)^2}{x_0} - \left(\mathbb{H}^i - v^i\,\mathbb{H}^0\right)\, x_{ij}\, \left(\mathbb{H}^j - v^j\,\mathbb{H}^0\right)\\
&- \left(\mathbb{H}_i + \epsilon_i\,\mathbb{H}^0 + \eta_{im}\,\mathbb{H}^m\right)\, x^{ij}\, \left(\mathbb{H}_j + \epsilon_j\,\mathbb{H}^0 + \eta_{jm}\,\mathbb{H}^m\right) + x_0\,(\mathbb{H}^0)^2\Bigg]\\
V_{\hat{\mathbb{Q}}\hat{\mathbb{Q}}} = &-\frac{1}{4\,s\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\Bigg[\Bigg\{\frac{\left(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_0 + v^i\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_i + r_0\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^0 + r_i\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^i\right)^2}{x_0} - \left(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^i - v^i\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^0\right)\, x_{ij}\, \left(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^j - v^j\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^0\right)\\
&- \left(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_i + \epsilon_i\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^0 + \eta_{im}\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^m\right)\, x^{ij}\, \left(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_j + \epsilon_j\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^0 + \eta_{jm}\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^m\right) + x_0\,(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^0)^2\Bigg\} + \left(\frac{1}{4\,l+\gamma}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\times\left(\frac{4\,k_0^2}{9}\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\alpha\beta}-4\sigma_\alpha\,\sigma_\beta\right)\,\Big\{\Big(u^i\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_i{}^\alpha+\psi_0\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{\alpha 0}+\psi_i\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{\alpha i}\Big)\Big(u^i\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_i{}^\beta+\psi_0\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{\beta 0}+\psi_i\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{\beta i}\Big)$$
$$+\Big(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_0{}^\alpha+v^i\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_i{}^\alpha+\phi_0\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{\alpha 0}+\phi_i\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{\alpha i}\Big)\Big(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_0{}^\beta+v^i\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_i{}^\beta+\phi_0\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{\beta 0}+\phi_i\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{\beta i}\Big)\Big\}\Big]$$

$$V_{\hat{\mathbb{P}}\hat{\mathbb{P}}}=-\frac{s}{4\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\Big[\Big\{\frac{\Big(\hat{\mathbb{P}}_0+v^i\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}_i+r_0\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^0+r_i\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^i\Big)^2}{x_0}-\Big(\hat{\mathbb{P}}^i-v^i\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^0\Big)\,x_{ij}\,\Big(\hat{\mathbb{P}}^j-v^j\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^0\Big)$$
$$-\Big(\hat{\mathbb{P}}_i+\epsilon_i\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^0+\eta_{im}\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^m\Big)\,x^{ij}\,\Big(\hat{\mathbb{P}}_j+\epsilon_j\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^0+\eta_{jm}\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^m\Big)+x_0\,(\hat{\mathbb{P}}^0)^2\Big\}+\Big(\frac{1}{4\,l+\gamma}\Big)$$
$$\times\left(\frac{4\,k_0^2}{9}\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\alpha\beta}-4\sigma_\alpha\,\sigma_\beta\right)\,\Big\{\Big(u^i\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}_i{}^\alpha+\psi_0\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^{\alpha 0}+\psi_i\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^{\alpha i}\Big)\Big(u^i\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}_i{}^\beta+\psi_0\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^{\beta 0}+\psi_i\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^{\beta i}\Big)$$
$$+\Big(\hat{\mathbb{P}}_0{}^\alpha+v^i\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}_i{}^\alpha+\phi_0\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^{\alpha 0}+\phi_i\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^{\alpha i}\Big)\Big(\hat{\mathbb{P}}_0{}^\beta+v^i\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}_i{}^\beta+\phi_0\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^{\beta 0}+\phi_i\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^{\beta i}\Big)\Big\}\Big]$$

$$V_{\mho\mho}=-\frac{1}{4\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\Big[\Big(\frac{1}{4\,l+\gamma}\Big)\,\mathcal{G}^{ab}\,\Big\{\Big(u^i\,\mho_{ai}+\psi_0\,\mho_a{}^0+\psi_i\,\mho_a{}^i\Big)\Big(u^i\,\mho_{bi}+\psi_0\,\mho_b{}^0+\psi_i\,\mho_b{}^i\Big)$$
$$+\Big(\mho_{a0}+v^i\,\mho_{ai}+\phi_0\,\mho_a{}^0+\phi_i\,\mho_a{}^i\Big)\Big(\mho_{b0}+v^i\,\mho_{bi}+\phi_0\,\mho_b{}^0+\phi_i\,\mho_b{}^i\Big)\Big\}\Big]$$

$$V_{\mathbb{H}\hat{\mathbb{Q}}}=+\frac{1}{2\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\Big[\Big\{\frac{(\mathbb{H}_0+v^i\,\mathbb{H}_i+r_0\,\mathbb{H}^0+r_i\,\mathbb{H}^i)\,\Big(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_0+v^i\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_i+r_0\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^0+r_i\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^i\Big)}{x_0}+x_0\,\mathbb{H}^0\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^0$$
$$-\big(\mathbb{H}^i-v^i\,\mathbb{H}^0\big)\,x_{ij}\,\Big(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^j-v^j\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^0\Big)-\big(\mathbb{H}_i+\epsilon_i\,\mathbb{H}^0+\eta_{im}\,\mathbb{H}^m\big)\,x^{ij}\,\Big(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_j+\epsilon_j\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^0+\eta_{jm}\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^m\Big)\Big\}$$
$$-\Big(\frac{4}{4\,l+\gamma}\Big)\,\Big\{\big(u^i\,\mathbb{H}_i+\psi_0\,\mathbb{H}^0+\psi_i\,\mathbb{H}^i\big)\Big(u^i\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_i+\psi_0\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^0+\psi_i\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^i\Big)$$
$$+\big(\mathbb{H}_0+v^i\,\mathbb{H}_i+\phi_0\,\mathbb{H}^0+\phi_i\,\mathbb{H}^i\big)\Big(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_0+v^i\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_i+\phi_0\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^0+\phi_i\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^i\Big)\Big\}\Big]$$

$$V_{\mathbb{F}\hat{\mathbb{P}}}=-\frac{1}{2\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\Big[\Big\{\frac{(\mathbb{F}_0+v^i\,\mathbb{F}_i+r_0\,\mathbb{F}^0+r_i\,\mathbb{F}^i)\,\Big(\hat{\mathbb{P}}_0+v^i\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}_i+r_0\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^0+r_i\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^i\Big)}{x_0}+x_0\,\mathbb{F}^0\hat{\mathbb{P}}^0$$
$$-\big(\mathbb{F}^i-v^i\,\mathbb{F}^0\big)\,x_{ij}\,\Big(\hat{\mathbb{P}}^j-v^j\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^0\Big)-\big(\mathbb{F}_i+\epsilon_i\,\mathbb{F}^0+\eta_{im}\,\mathbb{F}^m\big)\,x^{ij}\,\Big(\hat{\mathbb{P}}_j+\epsilon_j\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^0+\eta_{jm}\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^m\Big)\Big\}$$
$$-\Big(\frac{4}{4\,l+\gamma}\Big)\,\Big\{\big(u^i\,\mathbb{F}_i+\psi_0\,\mathbb{F}^0+\psi_i\,\mathbb{F}^i\big)\Big(u^i\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}_i+\psi_0\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^0+\psi_i\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^i\Big)$$
$$+\big(\mathbb{F}_0+v^i\,\mathbb{F}_i+\phi_0\,\mathbb{F}^0+\phi_i\,\mathbb{F}^i\big)\Big(\hat{\mathbb{P}}_0+v^i\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}_i+\phi_0\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^0+\phi_i\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^i\Big)\Big\}\Big]$$

$$V_{\hat{\mathbb{P}}\hat{\mathbb{Q}}}=\frac{1}{2\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\Big[\frac{1}{2}\,\left(\frac{4\,k_0^2}{9}\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\alpha\beta}\,-4\,\sigma_\alpha\sigma_\beta\right)\Big\{\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha{}_0\,\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^{\beta 0}+\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{\alpha 0}\;\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\beta{}_0$$
$$-\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\alpha{}_0\,\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{\beta 0}-\hat{\mathbb{P}}^{\alpha 0}\;\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^\beta{}_0+\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha{}_i\,\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^{\beta i}+\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^{\alpha i}\;\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\beta{}_i-\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\alpha{}_i\,\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{\beta i}-\hat{\mathbb{P}}^{\alpha i}\;\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^\beta{}_i\Big\}\Big]$$

Here, the remaining collection of pieces which is independent of the complex structure saxions/axions are collected as V_{tad} to be given as under,

$$V_{tad}=-\frac{1}{2\,s\,\mathcal{V}_E^2}\,\Big[s\;\big(\mathbb{F}_0\,\mathbb{H}^0+\mathbb{F}_i\,\mathbb{H}^i-\mathbb{F}^0\,\mathbb{H}_0-\mathbb{F}^i\,\mathbb{H}_i\big)-s\;\Big(\hat{\mathbb{P}}_0\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^0+\hat{\mathbb{P}}_i\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^i-\hat{\mathbb{P}}^0\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_0-\hat{\mathbb{P}}^i\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_i\Big)$$
$$-\Big(\mathbb{F}_0\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^0+\mathbb{F}_i\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}^i-\mathbb{F}^0\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_0-\mathbb{F}^i\,\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_i\Big)-\,s^2\,\Big(\mathbb{H}_0\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^0+\mathbb{H}_i\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}^i-\mathbb{H}^0\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}_0-\mathbb{H}^i\,\hat{\mathbb{P}}_i\Big)\Big]\,. \qquad \text{(D.2)}$$

Given that V_{tad} corresponds to the generalized tadpoles to be canceled by the local sources on top of satisfying a set of Bianchi identities, we will ignore this piece in our discussion from now onwards, and will focus only on the remaining pieces in (D.1).

Now let us observe here that at the level of scalar potential given in eqn. (D.1), the sole effect of the real quantities b_i and a_{ij} appearing in the prepotential given in eqn. (2.7) can be absorbed by some redefinitions of the flux parameters. For the illustration, let us consider the following redefinitions for the flux parameters,

$$\overline{\mathbb{Y}}_0 = \mathbb{Y}_0 + b_i\,\mathbb{Y}^i, \qquad \overline{\mathbb{Y}}_i = \mathbb{Y}_i + b_i\,\mathbb{Y}^0 + a_{ij}\mathbb{Y}^j\,, \qquad \mathbb{Y} \in \{\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{H}, \mathfrak{U}_a, \hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\alpha, \hat{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha\} \tag{D.3}$$

along with a redefined version of the subset of other parameters given in eqn. (5.12),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overline{r}_0 = -l_{ijk}v^iv^jv^k - \beta\,l_i\,v^i, \quad \overline{r}_i = 3\,l_{ijk}\,v^jv^k + \beta\,l_i, \quad \overline{\epsilon}_i = \beta\,l_i - 3\,l_{ijk}v^jv^k, \quad \overline{\eta}_{ij} = 6\,l_{ijk}\,v^k,\\
&\overline{\phi}_0 = -l_{ijk}v^iv^jv^k + 3\,l_i\,v^i, \quad \overline{\phi}_i = 3\,l_{ijk}\,v^jv^k - 3\,l_i, \quad \overline{\psi}_0 = l + \gamma - 3\,l_{ij}v^iv^j, \quad \overline{\psi}_i = 6\,l_{ij}\,v^j\,,
\end{aligned}$$

where the flux parameters $\mathbb{Y} \in \{\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{H}, \mathfrak{U}_a, \hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\alpha, \hat{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha\}$ have appropriate upper and lower $h^{21}_-(CY)$-indices. Now the total scalar potential (D.1) is invariant under the following transformation,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{Y}_0 \to \overline{\mathbb{Y}}_0, \quad \mathbb{Y}_i \to \overline{\mathbb{Y}}_i, \quad r_0 \to \overline{r}_0, \quad r_i \to \overline{r}_i,\\
&\phi_0 \to \overline{\phi}_0, \quad \phi_i \to \overline{\phi}_i, \quad \psi_0 \to \overline{\psi}_0, \quad \psi_i \to \overline{\psi}_i, \quad \epsilon_i \to \overline{\epsilon}_i, \quad \eta_{ij} \to \overline{\eta}_{ij}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{D.4}$$

This can be easily checked as the only types of flux combinations involving b_i and a_{ij} (rational) parameters sitting inside the various pieces of the scalar potential (D.1) are as given under,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\mathbb{Y}_0 + v^i\,\mathbb{Y}_i + r_0\,\mathbb{Y}^0 + r_i\,\mathbb{Y}^i\right) = \left(\overline{\mathbb{Y}}_0 + v^i\,\overline{\mathbb{Y}}_i + \overline{r}_0\,\mathbb{Y}^0 + \overline{r}_i\,\mathbb{Y}^i\right),\\
&\left(\mathbb{Y}_i + \epsilon_i\,\mathbb{Y}^0 + \eta_{ij}\,\mathbb{Y}^j\right) = \left(\overline{\mathbb{Y}}_i + \overline{\epsilon}_i\,\mathbb{Y}^0 + \overline{\eta}_{ij}\,\mathbb{Y}^j\right),\\
&\left(u^i\,\mathbb{Y}_i + \psi_0\,\mathbb{Y}^0 + \psi_i\,\mathbb{Y}^i\right) = \left(u^i\,\overline{\mathbb{Y}}_i + \overline{\psi}_0\,\mathbb{Y}^0 + \overline{\psi}_i\,\mathbb{Y}^i\right)\\
&\left(\mathbb{Y}_0 + v^i\,\mathbb{Y}_i + \phi_0\,\mathbb{Y}^0 + \phi_i\,\mathbb{Y}^i\right) = \left(\overline{\mathbb{Y}}_0 + v^i\,\overline{\mathbb{Y}}_i + \overline{\phi}_0\,\mathbb{Y}^0 + \overline{\phi}_i\,\mathbb{Y}^i\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{D.5}$$

Such observations of getting rid of the explicit dependences on the a_{ij} and b_i parameters, via a redefinition of fluxes appearing in the scalar potential, have also been also made in [66] with the presence of only standard fluxes, H_3 and F_3, and now we find the same to be true with the inclusion of (non-)geometric fluxes as well. However note that effects of γ, which comes from the perturbative α'-corrections on the mirror side, cannot be absorbed in such a manner. Nevertheless the corrections involving γ parameter can also be ignored (along with the non-perturbative effects) in the large complex structure limit.

Note that the new generalized flux orbits which have been defined in eqns. (2.21)-(2.23) have already taken care of a proper reshuffling of volume moduli, their respective axions as well as the odd axions, while from the new explicit collection of scalar potential in eqn. (D.1), we observe that the saxions/axions of the complex structure moduli are also incorporating some mixings of fluxes and moduli/axions to form a new set of peculiar flux combinations relevant for expressing the scalar potential. For example, the following flux combinations do appear in the scalar potential collection (D.1),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Theta^0[\mathbb{Y}] = \mathbb{Y}^0, && \Theta_0[\mathbb{Y}] = \mathbb{Y}_0 + v^i\,\mathbb{Y}_i + r_0\mathbb{Y}^0 + r_i\mathbb{Y}^i\,,\\
&\Theta^i[\mathbb{Y}] = \mathbb{Y}^i - v^i\,\mathbb{Y}^0, && \Theta_i[\mathbb{Y}] = \mathbb{Y}_i + \epsilon_i\,\mathbb{Y}^0 + \eta_{ij}\,\mathbb{Y}^j\,,\\
&\Phi[\mathbb{Y}] = \mathbb{Y}_0 + v^i\,\mathbb{Y}_i + \phi_0\,\mathbb{Y}^0 + \phi_i\,\mathbb{Y}^i, && \Psi[\mathbb{Y}] = u^i\,\mathbb{Y}_i + \psi_0\,\mathbb{Y}^0 + \psi_i\,\mathbb{Y}^i\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{D.6}$$

where the flux parameters $\mathbb{Y} \in \{\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{H}, \mathfrak{U}_a, \hat{\mathbb{Q}}^\alpha, \hat{\mathbb{P}}^\alpha\}$ have appropriate upper and lower $h^{21}_-(CY)$-indices. Moreover, here we have denoted $\Theta[\mathbb{Y}]$ etc. in such a way so that one could distinguish among various flux orbits; for example, $\Theta^0[\mathbb{H}] = \mathbb{H}^0$ and $\Theta_0[\mathbb{F}] = \mathbb{F}_0 + v^i\,\mathbb{F}_i + r_0\mathbb{F}^0 + r_i\mathbb{F}^i$ etc.

References

[1] S. Kachru, R. Kallosh, A. D. Linde, and S. P. Trivedi, "De Sitter vacua in string theory," *Phys. Rev.* **D68** (2003) 046005, hep-th/0301240.

[2] V. Balasubramanian, P. Berglund, J. P. Conlon, and F. Quevedo, "Systematics of moduli stabilisation in Calabi-Yau flux compactifications," *JHEP* **03** (2005) 007, hep-th/0502058.

[3] M. Grana, "Flux compactifications in string theory: A Comprehensive review," *Phys. Rept.* **423** (2006) 91–158, hep-th/0509003.

[4] R. Blumenhagen, B. Kors, D. Lust, and S. Stieberger, "Four-dimensional String Compactifications with D-Branes, Orientifolds and Fluxes," *Phys.Rept.* **445** (2007) 1–193, hep-th/0610327.

[5] M. R. Douglas and S. Kachru, "Flux compactification," *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **79** (2007) 733–796, hep-th/0610102.

[6] F. Denef, M. R. Douglas, B. Florea, A. Grassi, and S. Kachru, "Fixing all moduli in a simple f-theory compactification," *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **9** (2005) 861–929, hep-th/0503124.

[7] R. Blumenhagen, S. Moster, and E. Plauschinn, "Moduli Stabilisation versus Chirality for MSSM like Type IIB Orientifolds," *JHEP* **01** (2008) 058, 0711.3389.

[8] J.-P. Derendinger, C. Kounnas, P. M. Petropoulos, and F. Zwirner, "Superpotentials in IIA compactifications with general fluxes," *Nucl.Phys.* **B715** (2005) 211–233, hep-th/0411276.

[9] M. Graña and D. Marques, "Gauged Double Field Theory," *JHEP* **1204** (2012) 020, 1201.2924.

[10] G. Dibitetto, J. Fernandez-Melgarejo, D. Marques, and D. Roest, "Duality orbits of non-geometric fluxes," *Fortsch.Phys.* **60** (2012) 1123–1149, 1203.6562.

[11] U. Danielsson and G. Dibitetto, "On the distribution of stable de Sitter vacua," *JHEP* **1303** (2013) 018, 1212.4984.

[12] J. Blbck, U. Danielsson, and G. Dibitetto, "Fully stable dS vacua from generalised fluxes," *JHEP* **1308** (2013) 054, 1301.7073.

[13] C. Damian, L. R. Diaz-Barron, O. Loaiza-Brito, and M. Sabido, "Slow-Roll Inflation in Non-geometric Flux Compactification," *JHEP* **1306** (2013) 109, 1302.0529.

[14] C. Damian and O. Loaiza-Brito, "More stable de Sitter vacua from S-dual nongeometric fluxes," *Phys.Rev.* **D88** (2013), no. 4, 046008, 1304.0792.

[15] F. Hassler, D. Lust, and S. Massai, "On Inflation and de Sitter in Non-Geometric String Backgrounds," 1405.2325.

[16] M. Ihl, D. Robbins, and T. Wrase, "Toroidal orientifolds in IIA with general NS-NS fluxes," *JHEP* **0708** (2007) 043, 0705.3410.

[17] B. de Carlos, A. Guarino, and J. M. Moreno, "Complete classification of Minkowski vacua in generalised flux models," *JHEP* **1002** (2010) 076, 0911.2876.

[18] U. H. Danielsson, S. S. Haque, G. Shiu, and T. Van Riet, "Towards Classical de Sitter Solutions in String Theory," *JHEP* **09** (2009) 114, 0907.2041.

[19] J. Blbck, U. H. Danielsson, G. Dibitetto, and S. C. Vargas, "Universal dS vacua in STU-models," *JHEP* **10** (2015) 069, 1505.04283.

[20] G. Dibitetto, A. Guarino, and D. Roest, "Vacua Analysis in Extended Supersymmetry Compactifications," *Fortsch. Phys.* **60** (2012) 987–990, 1112.1306.

[21] J. Shelton, W. Taylor, and B. Wecht, "Nongeometric flux compactifications," *JHEP* **0510** (2005) 085, hep-th/0508133.

[22] G. Aldazabal, P. G. Camara, and J. Rosabal, "Flux algebra, Bianchi identities and Freed-Witten anomalies in F-theory compactifications," *Nucl.Phys.* **B814** (2009) 21–52, 0811.2900.

[23] A. Font, A. Guarino, and J. M. Moreno, "Algebras and non-geometric flux vacua," *JHEP* **0812** (2008) 050, 0809.3748.

[24] A. Guarino and G. J. Weatherill, "Non-geometric flux vacua, S-duality and algebraic geometry," *JHEP* **0902** (2009) 042, 0811.2190.

[25] C. Hull, "A Geometry for non-geometric string backgrounds," *JHEP* **0510** (2005) 065, hep-th/0406102.

[26] A. Kumar and C. Vafa, "U manifolds," *Phys.Lett.* **B396** (1997) 85–90, hep-th/9611007.

[27] C. M. Hull and A. Catal-Ozer, "Compactifications with S duality twists," *JHEP* **0310** (2003) 034, hep-th/0308133.

[28] J.-P. Derendinger, C. Kounnas, P. Petropoulos, and F. Zwirner, "Fluxes and gaugings: N=1 effective superpotentials," *Fortsch.Phys.* **53** (2005) 926–935, hep-th/0503229.

[29] G. Aldazabal, P. G. Camara, A. Font, and L. Ibanez, "More dual fluxes and moduli fixing," *JHEP* **0605** (2006) 070, hep-th/0602089.

[30] G. Dall'Agata, G. Villadoro, and F. Zwirner, "Type-IIA flux compactifications and N=4 gauged supergravities," *JHEP* **0908** (2009) 018, 0906.0370.

[31] G. Aldazabal, D. Marques, C. Nunez, and J. A. Rosabal, "On Type IIB moduli stabilization and N = 4, 8 supergravities," *Nucl.Phys.* **B849** (2011) 80–111, 1101.5954.

[32] G. Aldazabal, W. Baron, D. Marques, and C. Nunez, "The effective action of Double Field Theory," *JHEP* **1111** (2011) 052, 1109.0290.

[33] D. Geissbuhler, "Double Field Theory and N=4 Gauged Supergravity," *JHEP* **1111** (2011) 116, 1109.4280.

[34] G. Villadoro and F. Zwirner, "N=1 effective potential from dual type-IIA D6/O6 orientifolds with general fluxes," *JHEP* **0506** (2005) 047, hep-th/0503169.

[35] R. Blumenhagen, A. Font, M. Fuchs, D. Herschmann, and E. Plauschinn, "Towards Axionic Starobinsky-like Inflation in String Theory," 1503.01607.

[36] R. Blumenhagen, A. Font, M. Fuchs, D. Herschmann, E. Plauschinn, *et al.*, "A Flux-Scaling Scenario for High-Scale Moduli Stabilization in String Theory," 1503.07634.

[37] R. Blumenhagen, A. Font, M. Fuchs, D. Herschmann, and E. Plauschinn, "Large field inflation and string moduli stabilization," in *18th International Conference From the Planck Scale to the Electroweak Scale (Planck 2015) Ioannina, Greece, May 25-29, 2015*. 2015. 1510.04059.

[38] R. Blumenhagen, C. Damian, A. Font, D. Herschmann, and R. Sun, "The Flux-Scaling Scenario: De Sitter Uplift and Axion Inflation," 1510.01522.

[39] T. Li, Z. Li, and D. V. Nanopoulos, "Helical Phase Inflation via Non-Geometric Flux Compactifications: from Natural to Starobinsky-like Inflation," *JHEP* **10** (2015) 138, 1507.04687.

[40] T. R. Taylor and C. Vafa, "R R flux on Calabi-Yau and partial supersymmetry breaking," *Phys.Lett.* **B474** (2000) 130–137, hep-th/9912152.

[41] R. Blumenhagen, D. Lust, and T. R. Taylor, "Moduli stabilization in chiral type IIB orientifold models with fluxes," *Nucl.Phys.* **B663** (2003) 319–342, hep-th/0303016.

[42] P. Shukla, "A symplectic rearrangement of the four dimensional non-geometric scalar potential," *JHEP* **11** (2015) 162, 1508.01197.

[43] A. Ceresole, R. D'Auria, and S. Ferrara, "The Symplectic structure of N=2 supergravity and its central extension," *Nucl.Phys.Proc.Suppl.* **46** (1996) 67–74, hep-th/9509160.

[44] R. D'Auria, S. Ferrara, and M. Trigiante, "On the supergravity formulation of mirror symmetry in generalized Calabi-Yau manifolds," *Nucl. Phys.* **B780** (2007) 28–39, hep-th/0701247.

[45] R. Blumenhagen, X. Gao, D. Herschmann, and P. Shukla, "Dimensional Oxidation of Non-geometric Fluxes in Type II Orientifolds," *JHEP* **1310** (2013) 201, 1306.2761.

[46] D. Robbins and T. Wrase, "D-terms from generalized NS-NS fluxes in type II," *JHEP* **0712** (2007) 058, 0709.2186.

[47] X. Gao and P. Shukla, "Dimensional oxidation and modular completion of non-geometric type IIB action," *JHEP* **1505** (2015) 018, 1501.07248.

[48] D. Andriot and A. Betz, "β-supergravity: a ten-dimensional theory with non-geometric fluxes, and its geometric framework," *JHEP* **1312** (2013) 083, 1306.4381.

[49] D. Andriot, M. Larfors, D. Lust, and P. Patalong, "A ten-dimensional action for non-geometric fluxes," *JHEP* **1109** (2011) 134, 1106.4015.

[50] R. Blumenhagen, A. Font, and E. Plauschinn, "Relating Double Field Theory to the Scalar Potential of N=2 Gauged Supergravity," 1507.08059.

[51] P. Shukla, "On modular completion of generalized flux orbits," *JHEP* **11** (2015) 075, 1505.00544.

[52] P. Shukla, "Implementing odd-axions in dimensional oxidation of 4D non-geometric type IIB scalar potential," *Nucl. Phys.* **B902** (2016) 458–482, 1507.01612.

[53] D. Andriot, O. Hohm, M. Larfors, D. Lust, and P. Patalong, "A geometric action for non-geometric fluxes," *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **108** (2012) 261602, 1202.3060.

[54] D. Andriot, O. Hohm, M. Larfors, D. Lust, and P. Patalong, "Non-Geometric Fluxes in Supergravity and Double Field Theory," *Fortsch.Phys.* **60** (2012) 1150–1186, 1204.1979.

[55] D. Andriot and A. Betz, "Supersymmetry with non-geometric fluxes, or a β-twist in Generalized Geometry and Dirac operator," 1411.6640.

[56] C. D. A. Blair and E. Malek, "Geometry and fluxes of SL(5) exceptional field theory," 1412.0635.

[57] D. Lust, S. Reffert, E. Scheidegger, W. Schulgin, and S. Stieberger, "Moduli Stabilization in Type IIB Orientifolds (II)," *Nucl. Phys.* **B766** (2007) 178–231, hep-th/0609013.

[58] D. Lust, S. Reffert, E. Scheidegger, and S. Stieberger, "Resolved Toroidal Orbifolds and their Orientifolds," *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **12** (2008) 67–183, hep-th/0609014.

[59] R. Blumenhagen, V. Braun, T. W. Grimm, and T. Weigand, "GUTs in Type IIB Orientifold Compactifications," *Nucl.Phys.* **B815** (2009) 1–94, 0811.2936.

[60] M. Cicoli, S. Krippendorf, C. Mayrhofer, F. Quevedo, and R. Valandro, "D-Branes at del Pezzo Singularities: Global Embedding and Moduli Stabilisation," *JHEP* **09** (2012) 019, 1206.5237.

[61] X. Gao and P. Shukla, "F-term Stabilization of Odd Axions in LARGE Volume Scenario," *Nucl.Phys.* **B878** (2014) 269–294, 1307.1141.

[62] X. Gao and P. Shukla, "On Classifying the Divisor Involutions in Calabi-Yau Threefolds," *JHEP* **1311** (2013) 170, 1307.1139.

[63] T. W. Grimm and J. Louis, "The Effective action of N = 1 Calabi-Yau orientifolds," *Nucl.Phys.* **B699** (2004) 387–426, hep-th/0403067.

[64] S. Hosono, A. Klemm, and S. Theisen, "Lectures on mirror symmetry," hep-th/9403096. [Lect. Notes Phys.436,235(1994)].

[65] M. Arends, A. Hebecker, K. Heimpel, S. C. Kraus, D. Lust, C. Mayrhofer, C. Schick, and T. Weigand, "D7-Brane Moduli Space in Axion Monodromy and Fluxbrane Inflation," *Fortsch. Phys.* **62** (2014) 647–702, 1405.0283.

[66] R. Blumenhagen, D. Herschmann, and E. Plauschinn, "The Challenge of Realizing F-term Axion Monodromy Inflation in String Theory," 1409.7075.

[67] I. Benmachiche and T. W. Grimm, "Generalized N=1 orientifold compactifications and the Hitchin functionals," *Nucl.Phys.* **B748** (2006) 200–252, hep-th/0602241.

[68] A. Font, L. E. Ibanez, D. Lust, and F. Quevedo, "Strong - weak coupling duality and nonperturbative effects in string theory," *Phys. Lett.* **B249** (1990) 35–43.

[69] M. Cvetic, A. Font, L. E. Ibanez, D. Lust, and F. Quevedo, "Target space duality, supersymmetry breaking and the stability of classical string vacua," *Nucl. Phys.* **B361** (1991) 194–232.

[70] T. W. Grimm, "Non-Perturbative Corrections and Modularity in N=1 Type IIB Compactifications," *JHEP* **0710** (2007) 004, 0705.3253.

[71] P. Shukla, "Revisiting the two formulations of Bianchi identities and their implications on moduli stabilization," *JHEP* **08** (2016) 146, 1603.08545.